

3-27-64

CODE

RADIOGRAM

DEFERRED

TO SAC MILWAUKEE
FROM DIRECTOR FBI

J. Wallace LaPrade

TWO-DAY CONFERENCES AND IN-SERVICE TRAINING.

REPORT FOR IN-SERVICE TRAINING JUNE TWENTY-TWO NEXT
INSTEAD OF OCTOBER NINETEEN NEXT AS PREVIOUSLY SCHEDULED.
ADVISE ASAC LAPRADE TO REPORT FOR HIS IN-SERVICE TRAINING
DECEMBER SEVEN NEXT INSTEAD OF APRIL SIX AS PREVIOUSLY
SCHEDULED. CONFIRM ATTENDANCE.

REC-139
420338-111
CODING

EW
EWW:mah
(4)

1 - Movement

1 - Mr. Hereford

Based on N. P. Callahan to Mohr memo 3-26-64 EWW:mah

If contact missed, send by deferred teletype, plain text.

Lead

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

57
VIA RADIOGRAM

MAR 27 1964

12:32 pm Mal

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

W

NR.	27/659
ENC.	
CK.	
APPROVED BY	<i>[Signature]</i>
TYPED BY	

SAC, Milwaukee

MAR 11 1964

Director, FBI

PERSONAL ATTENTION

**TWO-DAY CONFERENCES &
IN-SERVICE**

You are hereby instructed to report for Two-Day Conferences
at the Seat of Government commencing October 19, 1964.

Advise ASAC LaPrade to report to the Seat of Government
for ITSMV School commencing April 6, 1964.

Confirm attendance.

- 1 - Mr. Casper
- 1 - Mr. Hereford
- 1 - Personnel file of Richard J. Baker
- ① - Personnel file of J. Wallace LaPrade

bhd
(5)

DUPLICATE YELLOW

SEARCHED INDEXED



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20535

Dear Sir:

For inclusion in the fund to be paid to the designated beneficiary of any Special Agent of the FBI who has previously contributed to this fund and who dies from any cause except self-destruction while employed as a Special Agent, I am forwarding herewith (by Check - Money Order) the sum of \$10, payable to S.A.I.F., to be included in said fund. Payment will be made for death by self-destruction after the Agent has been a member of the fund for a continuous period of two years. It is understood and agreed that the sum tendered herewith is a voluntary, gratuitous contribution to said fund which I understand is to be administered in the following manner.

The Director of the FBI will appoint a committee which shall consider all matters pertaining to the acquisition, safe keeping and expending of said fund, which committee will recommend appropriate action to the Director in pertinent matters. The Assistant Director of the Administrative Division of the FBI shall receive all contributions and account for same to the Director. Upon the death of any Special Agent who is a member of said fund the appointed committee will consider the case and submit a recommendation to the Director as to its conclusions. Appropriate instructions will then be issued to the Assistant Director of the Administrative Division, directing him to pay to the designated beneficiary the sum of \$10,000. The liability of the fund shall not under any circumstances exceed the amount of monies in the fund at the time any liability shall occur.

EXECUTE IN DUPLICATE AND SUBMIT BOTH COPIES TO THE BUREAU

Official Bureau Name (please type or print)	Date	Office of Assignment (or SOG Division)
SA J. WALLACE LA PRADE	1-24-64	Milwaukee

The following person is designated as my beneficiary for Special Agents Insurance Fund:

Name (primary beneficiary; use given first name if female)	Relationship
ELIZABETH K. LA PRADE	Wife

Address	
5849 No. Witte Lane, Glendale, Wisconsin	

Name (contingent beneficiary, if desired; use given first name if female)	Relationship

Address	

The following person is designated as my beneficiary under the Chas. S. Ross Fund providing \$1500 death benefit to beneficiary of agents killed in the line of duty, other than travel accidents.

Name (primary beneficiary; use given first name if female)	Relationship
ELIZABETH K. LA PRADE	Wife

Address	
5849 No. Witte Lane, Glendale, Wisconsin	

Name (contingent beneficiary, if desired; use given first name if female)	Relationship

Address	

Very truly yours,

Payment Received
Special Agents Insurance Fund

FEB 11 1964

Special Agent

67-NOT RECORDED
7 MAR 4 1964

Sec

FBI

Date: 1/31/64

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI [REDACTED]

FROM: SAC, MILWAUKEE (66-922)

SUBJECT: EAST AFRICAN STUDENTS UNION
IN THE AMERICAS
IS - EAST AFRICA
RA - EAST AFRICA

ReBulet 1/24/64.

Attached are two copies of the memoranda from each of the following: SA JOHN T. MC CARRIER, Stenographer KATHLEEN ANN JASCHEK, Typist JACQUELINE ANN HOWE and ASAC J. WALLACE LA PRADE.

These memoranda, which are self-explanatory, relate to the circumstances surrounding the missing of a deadline for submission of material to the New York Office by Milwaukee, which deadline was established by the Bureau.

SA MC CARRIER states he was unable to complete his work on this assignment until 12/3/63 since the informant who had to be contacted was not available prior to that time and also due to the national day of mourning (11/25/63) and the Thanksgiving holiday (11/28/63). While these factors, especially the unavailability of the informant, were primarily responsible for the deadline not being met initially, further delay would have been avoided had SA MC CARRIER insured that proper instructions were furnished the stenographer with the memo belt prepared by him. Had he indicated this was an expedite and deadline matter it would have received the priority in the steno pool which was appropriate to the situation.

3-Bureau (encl-8) AM
1-Milwaukee
RJB:mg
(4)

REC-34

SEE ADDENDUM PAGES THREE AND FOUR

Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent 4/11/64 KEROX-M

Per

FEB 14 1964

PERK. REC. UNIT

b3
b7E

F B I

Date: 1/31/64

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via _____
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

MI 66-922

It is noted that during the latter part of November and entire month of December, 1963, a substantial delinquency existed in the steno pool of the Milwaukee Office. The Bureau, of course, was kept advised of this situation in conformance with existing regulations. As a result expedite and deadline material properly flagged in the pool was handled first and routine matters in due course.

I agree with the decision of the ASAC in having SA MC CARRIER handle this matter since he was the agent most familiar with this case and, accordingly, was the logical person to conduct the necessary investigation and file review. In addition it was SA MC CARRIER's primary responsibility to insure that the deadline was met and that no undue delay occurred.

While SA MC CARRIER is responsible for the delay which occurred, the information available to the Milwaukee Office concerning this matter would not indicate that a substantive delinquency was caused as a result of his action. Accordingly, a form error has been scored against SA MC CARRIER and no further administrative action is being recommended.

-2-

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

REPORT OF MEDICAL EXAMINATION

REH


88-105
1A-0,102-2007002

1. LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME WALLACE, J. PRADE				2. GRADE AND COMPONENT OR POSITION SPECIAL AGENT		3. IDENTIFICATION NO.			
4. HOME ADDRESS (Number, street or RFD, city or town, zone and State)				5. PURPOSE OF EXAMINATION ANNUAL		6. DATE OF EXAMINATION 13 Mar 1964			
7. SEX MALE		8. RACE CAUC.		9. TOTAL YEARS GOVERNMENT SERVICE MILITARY CIVILIAN		10. AGENCY FBI		11. ORGANIZATION UNIT MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN	
12. DATE OF BIRTH 7/27/26		13. PLACE OF BIRTH				14. NAME, RELATIONSHIP, AND ADDRESS OF NEXT OF KIN			
15. EXAMINING FACILITY OR EXAMINER, AND ADDRESS U. S. NAVAL HOSPITAL GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS						16. OTHER INFORMATION			
17. RATING OR SPECIALTY						TIME IN THIS CAPACITY (Total)		LAST SIX MONTHS	

CLINICAL EVALUATION		
NOR- MAL	(Check each item in appropriate col- umn; enter "NE" if not evaluated.)	ABNOR- MAL
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	18. HEAD, FACE, NECK, AND SCALP	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	19. NOSE	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	20. SINUSES	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	21. MOUTH AND THROAT	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	22. EARS—GENERAL (Int. & ext. canals) (Auditory acuity under items 70 and 71)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	23. DRUMS (Perforation)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	24. EYES—GENERAL (Visual acuity and refraction under items 59, 60 and 67)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25. OPHTHALMOSCOPIC	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	26. PUPILS (Equality and reaction)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	27. OCULAR MOTILITY (Associated parallel move- ments, nystagmus)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	28. LUNGS AND CHEST (Include breasts)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	29. HEART (Thrust, size, rhythm, sounds)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	30. VASCULAR SYSTEM (Varicosities, etc.)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	31. ABDOMEN AND VISCERA (Include hernia)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	32. ANUS AND RECTUM (Hemorrhoids, fistulae) (Prostate, if indicated)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	33. ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	34. G-U SYSTEM	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	35. UPPER EXTREMITIES (Strength, range of motion)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	36. FEET	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	37. LOWER EXTREMITIES (Except feet) (Strength, range of motion)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	38. SPINE, OTHER MUSCULOSKELETAL	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	39. IDENTIFYING BODY MARKS, SCARS, TATTOOS	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	40. SKIN, LYMPHATICS	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	41. NEUROLOGIC (Equilibrium tests under item 72)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	42. PSYCHIATRIC (Specify any personality deviation)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	43. PELVIC (Females only) (Check how done) <input type="checkbox"/> VAGINAL <input type="checkbox"/> RECTAL	

NOTES. (Describe every abnormality in detail. Enter pertinent item number before each comment. Continue in item 73 and use additional sheets if necessary.)

#39. VSULA
IS $\frac{1}{4}$ " BRIDGE OF NOSE.



ENCLOSURE

44. DENTAL (Place appropriate symbols above or below number of upper and lower teeth, respectively.)																REMARKS AND ADDITIONAL DENTAL DEFECTS AND DISEASES DENTALLY QUALIFIED			
O—Restorable teeth I—Nonrestorable teeth X—Missing teeth XXX—Replaced by dentures (6 X's)—Fixed bridge, brackets to include abutments																			
R I G H T																		L E F T	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 32 31 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17																			

LABORATORY FINDINGS

45. URINALYSIS: A. SPECIFIC GRAVITY 1.005		46. CHEST X-RAY (Place, date, film number and result) USNH GREAT LAKES, ILL. 13 Mar 1964 # 5600-64 ESS. NEGATIVE	
B. ALBUMIN NEG		D. MICROSCOPIC ESS, NEGATIVE	
C. SUGAR NEG		48. EKG WNL	
47. SEROLOGY (Specify test used and result) VDRL NEGATIVE 30		49. BLOOD TYPE AND RH FACTOR NE	
		50. OTHER TESTS WBC 7,700; SR 1; HCT 48; HGB 16.0	

APR 21 1964

APR 20 1951

MEASUREMENTS AND OTHER FINDINGS.

51. HEIGHT 70 1/2"		52. WEIGHT 155		53. COLOR HAIR BROWN		54. COLOR EYES BROWN		55. BUILD: <input type="checkbox"/> SLENDER <input type="checkbox"/> MEDIUM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HEAVY <input type="checkbox"/> OBESE			56. TEMPERATURE NORMAL																																																						
57. BLOOD PRESSURE (Arm at heart level)						58. PULSE (Arm at heart level)																																																											
A. SITTING SYS. 124 DIAS. 68		B. RECUMBENT SYS. DIAS. 		C. STANDING (3 min.) SYS. DIAS. 		A. SITTING 72		B. AFTER EXERCISE		C. 2 MIN. AFTER		D. RECUMBENT		E. AFTER STANDING 3 MIN.																																																			
59. DISTANT VISION						60. REFRACTION						61. NEAR VISION																																																					
RIGHT 20/ 20 CORR. TO 20/						BY S. OX						J-1 CORR. TO BY																																																					
LEFT 20/ 20 CORR. TO 20/						BY S. OX						J-1 CORR. TO BY																																																					
62. HETEROPHORIA (Specify distance)																																																																	
ES° NORMAL				EX°				R. H.				L. H.				PRISM DIV.				PRISM CONV. CT				PC				PD																																					
63. ACCOMMODATION						64. COLOR VISION (Test used and result)						65. DEPTH PERCEPTION (Test used and score)						UNCORRECTED																																															
RIGHT LEFT						FaLant PASSED												CORRECTED																																															
66. FIELD OF VISION NORMAL						67. NIGHT VISION (Test used and score)						68. RED LENS TEST						69. INTRAOCULAR TENSION NORMAL																																															
70. HEARING						71. AUDIOMETER										72. PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PSYCHOMOTOR (Tests used and score)																																																	
RIGHT WV 15 /15 SV 15 /15						<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td>250</td> <td>500</td> <td>1000</td> <td>2000</td> <td>3000</td> <td>4000</td> <td>5000</td> <td>6000</td> <td>8000</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>250</td> <td>512</td> <td>1024</td> <td>2048</td> <td>3072</td> <td>4096</td> <td>5120</td> <td>6144</td> <td>8192</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RIGHT</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>///</td> <td>10</td> <td>///</td> <td>10</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>LEFT</td> <td>20</td> <td>10</td> <td>10</td> <td>10</td> <td>///</td> <td>20</td> <td>///</td> <td>20</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>											250	500	1000	2000	3000	4000	5000	6000	8000		250	512	1024	2048	3072	4096	5120	6144	8192	RIGHT	0	0	0	0	///	10	///	10		LEFT	20	10	10	10	///	20	///	20											
	250	500	1000	2000	3000	4000	5000	6000	8000																																																								
	250	512	1024	2048	3072	4096	5120	6144	8192																																																								
RIGHT	0	0	0	0	///	10	///	10																																																									
LEFT	20	10	10	10	///	20	///	20																																																									
LEFT WV 15 /15 SV 15 /15																																																																	

73. NOTES (Continued) AND SIGNIFICANT OR INTERVAL HISTORY

#64. FaLant: One run no errors.

(Use additional sheets if necessary)

74. SUMMARY OF DEFECTS AND DIAGNOSES (List diagnoses with item numbers)

NONE

75. RECOMMENDATIONS—FURTHER SPECIALIST EXAMINATIONS INDICATED (Specify)

NONE

76. EXAMINEE (Check)

 A. ☐ IS QUALIFIED FOR
 B. ☐ IS NOT QUALIFIED FOR

FBI

76. A. PHYSICAL PROFILE

P	U	L	H	E	S

B. PHYSICAL CATEGORY

A	B	C	E

78. IF NOT QUALIFIED, LIST DISQUALIFYING DEFECTS BY ITEM NUMBER

NA

79. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN

SIGNATURE

80. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN

SIGNATURE

J. W. KENDALL, JR., LCDR MC USNR

81. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF DENTIST OR PHYSICIAN (Indicate which)

SIGNATURE

D. J. KNOEDLER, LCDR DC USN

82. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF REVIEWING OFFICER OR APPROVING AUTHORITY

SIGNATURE

NUMBER OF ATTACHED SHEETS

REPORT OF MEDICAL HISTORY

THIS INFORMATION IS FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY AND WILL NOT BE RELEASED TO UNAUTHORIZED PERSONS

1. LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME LA PRADE, J. WALLACE				2. GRADE AND COMPONENT OR POSITION Special Agent		3. IDENTIFICATION NO.	
4. HOME ADDRESS (Number, street or R.F.D., city or town, zone and State) 8549 No. Witte Lane Glendale, Wis.				5. PURPOSE OF EXAMINATION Annual Physical		6. DATE OF EXAMINATION 3-13-64	
7. SEX M	8. RACE W	9. TOTAL YRS. GOVT. SERVICE MILITARY CIVILIAN	10. DEPARTMENT, AGENCY, OR SERVICE FBI		11. ORGANIZATION UNIT Milwaukee		
12. DATE OF BIRTH 7-27-26		13. PLACE OF BIRTH Franklin County, Va.		14. NAME, RELATIONSHIP, AND ADDRESS OF NEXT OF KIN			
15. EXAMINING FACILITY OR EXAMINER, AND ADDRESS USNH #13				16. OTHER INFORMATION			
17. STATEMENT OF EXAMINEE'S PRESENT HEALTH IN OWN WORDS. (Follow by description of past history, if complaint exists)							

18. FAMILY HISTORY					19. HAS ANY BLOOD RELATION (Parent, brother, sister, other) OR HUSBAND OR WIFE?			
RELATION	AGE	STATE OF HEALTH	IF DEAD, CAUSE OF DEATH	AGE AT DEATH	YES	NO	(Check each item)	RELATION(S)
FATHER	63	Good				X	HAD TUBERCULOSIS	
MOTHER		Deceased	Heart failure	62		X	HAD SYPHILIS	
SPOUSE	37	Very Good				X	HAD DIABETES	
BROTHERS AND SISTERS	40	Good			X	X	HAD CANCER	Mother
					X		HAD KIDNEY TROUBLE	
						X	HAD HEART TROUBLE	
CHILDREN	8	Excellent				X	HAD STOMACH TROUBLE	
	6	"				X	HAD RHEUMATISM (Arthritis)	
	3	"				X	HAD "ASTHMA" HAY FEVER, HIVES	
						X	HAD EPILEPSY (Fits)	
						X	COMMITTED SUICIDE	
						X	BEEN INSANE	

20. HAVE YOU EVER HAD OR HAVE YOU NOW? (Place check at left of each item)											
YES	NO	(Check each item)	YES	NO	(Check each item)	YES	NO	(Check each item)	YES	NO	(Check each item)
	X	SCARLET FEVER, CRYSPLEAS		X	GOITER		X	TUMOR, GROWTH, CYST, CANCER		X	"TRICK" OR LOCKED KNEE
	X	DIPHTHERIA		X	TUBERCULOSIS		X	RUPTURE		X	FOOT TROUBLE
	X	RHEUMATIC FEVER		X	SOAKING SWEATS (Night sweats)		X	APPENDICITIS		X	NEURITIS
	X	SWOLLEN OR PAINFUL JOINTS		X	ASTHMA		X	TIRES OR RECTAL DISEASE		X	PARALYSIS (Inc. Infantile)
X		RUMPS		X	SHORTNESS OF BREATH	X		FREQUENT OR PAINFUL URINATION		X	EPILEPSY OR FITS
X		WHOOPING COUGH		X	PAIN OR PRESSURE IN CHEST		X	KIDNEY STONE OR BLOOD IN URINE		X	CAR, TRAIN, SEA, OR AIR SICKNESS
	X	FREQUENT OR SEVERE HEADACHE		X	CHRONIC COUGH		X	SUGAR OR ALBUMIN IN URINE		X	FREQUENT TROUBLE SLEEPING
	X	DIZZINESS OR FARTING SPELLS		X	PALPITATION OR TOUNDING HEART		X	DOILS		X	FREQUENT OR TERRIFYING NIGHTMARES
	X	EYE TROUBLE		X	HIGH OR LOW BLOOD PRESSURE		X	VENEREAL DISEASE		X	DEPRESSION OR EXCESSIVE WORRY
	X	EAR, NOSE OR THROAT TROUBLE		X	CRAMPS IN YOUR LEGS		X	RECENT GAIN OR LOSS OF WEIGHT		X	LOSS OF MEMORY OR AMNESIA
	X	RUNNING EARS		X	FREQUENT INDIGESTION		X	ARTHRITIS OR RHEUMATISM		X	ELD WETTING
	X	CHRONIC OR FREQUENT COLDS		X	STOMACH, LIVER OR INTESTINAL TROUBLE		X	BONE, JOINT, OR OTHER DEFORMITY		X	NERVOUS TROUBLE OF ANY SORT
	X	SEVERE TOOTH OR GUM TROUBLE		X	GALLBLADDER TROUBLE OR GALL STONES		X	LAMENESS		X	ANY DRUG OR NARCOTIC HABIT
X		SINUSITIS		X	JAUNDICE		X	LOSS OF ARM, LEG, FINGER, OR TOE		X	EXCESSIVE DRINKING HABIT
X		HAY FEVER		X	ANY REACTION TO SERUM, DRUG OR MEDICINE		X	PARELS OR "TRICK" SHOULDER OR ELBOW		X	HOMOSEXUAL TENDENCIES

21. HAVE YOU EVER (Check each item)				22. FEMALES ONLY: A. HAVE YOU EVER—				B. COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:			
	X	WORN GLASSES		X	ATTEMPTED SUICIDE			BEEN PREGNANT			AGE AT ONSET OF MENSTRUATION
	X	WORN AN ARTIFICIAL EYE		X	BEEN A SLEEP WALKER			HAD A VAGINAL DISCHARGE			INTERVAL BETWEEN PERIODS
	X	WORN HEARING AIDS		X	LIVED WITH ANYONE WHO HAD TUBERCULOSIS			BEEN TREATED FOR A FEMALE DISORDER			DURATION OF PERIODS
	X	STUTTERED OR STAMMERED		X	COUGHED UP BLOOD			HAD PAINFUL MENSTRUATION			DATE OF LAST PERIOD
	X	WORN A BRACE OR BACK SUPPORT		X	BLED EXCESSIVELY AFTER INJURY OR TOOTH EXTRACTION			HAD IRREGULAR MENSTRUATION			QUANTITY: <input type="checkbox"/> NORMAL <input type="checkbox"/> EXCESSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> SCANTY
23. HOW MANY JOBS HAVE YOU HAD IN THE PAST THREE YEARS? One				24. WHAT IS THE LONGEST PERIOD YOU HELD ANY OF THESE JOBS? MONTHS 13 years				25. WHAT IS YOUR USUAL OCCUPATION? Investigator			
								26. ARE YOU (Check one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RIGHT HANDED <input type="checkbox"/> LEFT HANDED			

ENCLOSURE 67-430338-112

YES	NO	CHECK EACH ITEM YES OR NO. EVERY ITEM CHECKED "YES" MUST BE FULLY EXPLAINED IN BLANK SPACE ON RIGHT
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	27. HAVE YOU BEEN UNABLE TO HOLD A JOB BECAUSE OF: A. SENSITIVITY TO CHEMICALS, DUST, SUNLIGHT, ETC.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	B. INABILITY TO PERFORM CERTAIN MOTIONS
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	C. INABILITY TO ASSUME CERTAIN POSITIONS
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	D. OTHER MEDICAL REASONS (If yes, give reasons)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	28. HAVE YOU EVER WORKED WITH RADIOACTIVE SUBSTANCES?
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	29. DID YOU HAVE DIFFICULTY WITH SCHOOL STUDIES OR TEACHERS? (If yes, give details)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	30. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED EMPLOYMENT BECAUSE OF YOUR HEALTH? (If yes, state reason and give details)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	31. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN DENIED LIFE INSURANCE? (If yes, state reason and give details)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	32. HAVE YOU HAD, OR HAVE YOU BEEN ADVISED TO HAVE, ANY OPERATIONS? (If yes, describe and give age at which occurred)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	33. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PATIENT (committed or voluntary) IN A MENTAL HOSPITAL OR SANATORIUM? (If yes, specify when, where, why, and name of doctor, and complete address of hospital or clinic)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		34. HAVE YOU EVER HAD ANY ILLNESS OR INJURY OTHER THAN THOSE ALREADY NOTED? (If yes, specify when, where, and give details)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		35. HAVE YOU CONSULTED OR BEEN TREATED BY CLINICS, PHYSICIANS, HEALERS, OR OTHER PRACTITIONERS WITHIN THE PAST 5 YEARS? (If yes, give complete address of doctor, hospital, clinic, and details)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	36. HAVE YOU TREATED YOURSELF FOR ILLNESSES OTHER THAN MINOR COLDS? (If yes, which illnesses)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	37. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REJECTED FOR MILITARY SERVICE BECAUSE OF PHYSICAL, MENTAL, OR OTHER REASONS? (If yes, give date and reason for rejection)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	38. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN DISCHARGED FROM MILITARY SERVICE BECAUSE OF PHYSICAL, MENTAL, OR OTHER REASONS? (If yes, give date, reason, and type of discharge; whether honorable, other than honorable, for unfitness or unsuitability)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	39. HAVE YOU EVER RECEIVED, IS THERE PENDING, HAVE YOU APPLIED FOR, OR DO YOU INTEND TO APPLY FOR PENSION OR COMPENSATION FOR EXISTING DISABILITY? (If yes, specify what kind, granted by whom, and what amount, when, why)

34. Acute prostaticitis 11/62. Treated by medication and released 12/62.

35. Dr. Parker S. Darman
1302 18th, N.W.
Washington, D.C.
treated for above.

I CERTIFY THAT I HAVE REVIEWED THE FOREGOING INFORMATION SUPPLIED BY ME AND THAT IT IS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE. I AUTHORIZE ANY OF THE DOCTORS, HOSPITALS, OR CLINICS MENTIONED ABOVE TO FURNISH THE GOVERNMENT A COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF MY MEDICAL RECORD FOR PURPOSES OF PROCESSING MY APPLICATION FOR THIS EMPLOYMENT OR SERVICE.

TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF EXAMINEE

SIGNATURE

40. PHYSICIAN'S SUMMARY AND ELABORATION OF ALL PERTINENT DATA (Physician shall comment on all positive answers in items 20 thru 39)

McShane
Mumps and whooping cough NCD.
Sinusitis - now in the area NCD
Painful urinal. - 7 prostatic
Now usually
history & exam

TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN OR EXAMINER

DATE

SIGNATURE

NUMBER OF ATTACHED SHEETS

J. W. KENDALL, JR., LCDR USNR

13 Mar 1961

J. W. Kendall

2

Attachment to Standard Form 88, Report of Medical Examination
For Information and Guidance of Medical Examiner

Name of Examinee LA PRADE J. WALLACE
(Type or print) *Last First Middle*

The following portions of the attached examination report form need not be completed:

2	14	68
3	17	69
4	62	72
9	65	76
11	67	

46. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.
48. Not required unless examinee is over 35 years of age or examination indicates such is desirable.
49. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.
71. Audiometer examinations should be afforded whenever possible for all Special Agent applicants and Special Agents. Applicants for the Special Agent position will not be accepted if the hearing loss exceeds a 15 decibel average in each ear in the conversational speech range (500, 1000, 2000 cycles).

For All Examinees, Whether Clerical or Special Agent Applicants or Employees:

The medical examiner should answer the following question:

Examinee ☒ is ☐ is not qualified for strenuous physical exertion.

To be Answered in the Case of All Male Employees and Male Applicants:

1. Does examinee have any defects restricting or prohibiting his participation in defensive tactics and dangerous assignments which might entail the practical use of firearms?

☒ No ☐ Yes If "yes" please specify defects. _____

2. Does examinee have any defects prohibiting safe operation of motor vehicles?

☒ No ☐ Yes If "yes" please specify defects. _____

3. For safe driving of motor vehicles, Civil Service Commission requires distant vision must test at least 20/40 in one eye and 20/100 in the other, corrected or uncorrected. Should examinee wear corrective glasses while operating a motor vehicle? ☐ Yes ☒ No
If recommendation is based on a factor other than above standard, indicate basis _____

ENCLOSURE 67-430 338-112

REC'D - ADMIN. DIV.

F B I

Desirable Weight Ranges for Males

Height	Small Frame	Medium Frame	Large Frame
5' 4"	117 - 125	123 - 135	131 - 148
5' 5"	120 - 129	126 - 139	134 - 152
5' 6"	124 - 133	130 - 143	138 - 157
5' 7"	128 - 137	134 - 148	143 - 162
5' 8"	132 - 141	138 - 152	147 - 166
5' 9"	136 - 146	142 - 156	151 - 170
5' 10"	140 - 150	146 - 161	155 - 175
5' 11"	144 - 154	150 - 166	160 - 180
6'	148 - 158	154 - 171	164 - 185
6' 1"	152 - 163	158 - 176	169 - 190
6' 2"	156 - 167	163 - 181	174 - 195
6' 3"	160 - 171	168 - 186	178 - 200
6' 4"	169 - 180	178 - 196	188 - 210
6' 5"	174 - 185	182 - 202	192 - 216

3. Examinee's frame is ☐ small ☐ medium ☒ large

4. Considering above weight table, the examinee's frame, and other individual physical characteristics, I consider his present weight ☒ Satisfactory ☐ Excessive ☐ Deficient

5. Under proper medical supervision, examinee should ☐ lose _____ pounds

☐ gain _____ pounds

Remarks: _____


(Signature of Medical Examiner)

13 Mar 64
(Date)

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 4/15/64

FROM : SAC, Milwaukee

Attn: Personnel Section

SUBJECT: J. WALLACE LA PRADE
ASSISTANT SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE
MILWAUKEE DIVISION
PERSONNEL MATTER

Enclosed herewith is the annual performance rating of ASAC J. WALLACE LA PRADE.

ASAC LA PRADE is an enthusiastic, intelligent and extremely capable individual. He cheerfully tackles any assignment which might be given to him and has achieved many outstanding results in this office through his administrative ability. He has performed at a consistently superior level, not only since his assignment to the Milwaukee Office but as judged by his previous supervisor at the Seat of Government. It is fully expected that this high level of performance will continue because of his dedication, loyalty and extreme drive to achieve results.

It is felt that his level of performance is such that he warrants a faster salary advancement. Accordingly, it is recommended that ASAC LA PRADE be afforded a quality salary raise.

*Revised to 8-1-64
for handling*

*See memo Hald to
Tolson 5-8-64 re
Mil div - VT. wing*

ENCLOSURE
check

REC-142

67-430338-113	
Searched	Numbered
8 APR 17 1964	

②-Bureau (encl.-1)
1-Milwaukee
RJB:mg
(3)

39
2 MAY 13 1964

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

REPORT OF PERFORMANCE RATING

W. J. Sullivan
W. J. Sullivan
W. J. Sullivan

Name of Employee: J. WALLACE LA PRADEWhere Assigned: Milwaukee
(Division)

(Section, Unit)

Official Position Title: Assistant Special Agent in Charge GS-14Rating Period: from 4/1/63 to 3/31/64ADJECTIVE RATING: Excellent
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, UnsatisfactoryEmployee's
Initials

JS

Rated by:

Richard J. Baker

Signature

Special Agent
in Charge

Title

3/31/64

Date

Reviewed by:

Signature

Title

Date

Rating Approved by:

W. P. Callahan

Signature

Assistant Director

Title

APR 21 1964

Date

REC-142

TYPE OF REPORT

(x) Official
(x) Annual

() Administrative
() 60-Day
() 90-Day
() Transfer
() Separation from Service
() Special

67-430338-114
APR 14 1964

1 MAY 14 1964

23

NARRATIVE COMMENTS

Note: The regulations require that OUTSTANDING ratings be supported by a statement in writing setting forth IN DETAIL the performance IN EVERY ASPECT and the REASONS for considering each worthy of SPECIAL COMMENDATION. UNSATISFACTORY ratings must be supported by a statement in writing stating (1) WHEREIN the performance is unsatisfactory, (2) the facts of the (90 day) PRIOR WARNING, and (3) the efforts made AFTER THE WARNING TO HELP the employee bring his performance up to a satisfactory level.

SAL-338

FORM 87-1013

PERFORMANCE RATING GUIDE FOR INVESTIGATIVE PERSONNEL

(For use as attachment to Performance Rating Form No. FD-185)

**Assistant Special Agent
in Charge GS-14**
Name of Employee **J. WALLACE LA PRADE**
 Title **Assistant Special Agent in Charge GS-14**
 Rating Period: from **4/1/63** to **3/31/64**

RATING GUIDE AND CHECK-LIST

Note: Only those items having pertinent bearing on employee's performance should be rated. All employees in same salary grade should be compared.

- Rate items as follows:
- + Outstanding (exceeding excellent and deserving of special commendation).
 - E Excellent.
 - ✓ Satisfactory (good or very good).
 - Unsatisfactory.
 - O No opportunity to appraise performance during rating period.

Guide for determining adjective rating:

1. "Outstanding" adjective rating requires (A) that all rated elements be "+" and (B) that each and every rated element be factually justified by narrative detail on reverse of Form FD-185.
2. "Excellent," "Satisfactory" or "Unsatisfactory" adjective ratings will depend upon the composite result of evaluating all rated elements rather than following any mechanical formulas; however, for an employee to be rated "Excellent" he must not be rated unsatisfactory on any performance evaluation factors on the rating guide and check-list and must be rated "Excellent" or "Outstanding" on the majority of such rating factors. Good judgment must be exercised to insure that adjective rating is reasonable in the light of elements rated.
 - A. Any element rated "Unsatisfactory" must be supported by narrative comments.
 - B. An "official" adjective rating of "Unsatisfactory" must comply with the requirements described on the reverse of form FD-185.

- + (1) Personal appearance.
- + (2) Personality and effectiveness of his personal contacts.
- + (3) Attitude (including dependability, cooperativeness, loyalty, enthusiasm, amenability and willingness to equitably share work load).
- + (4) Physical fitness (including health, energy, stamina).
- + (5) Resourcefulness and ingenuity.
- + (6) Forcefulness and aggressiveness as required.
- E (7) Judgment, including common sense, ability to arrive at proper conclusions, ability to define objectives.
- + (8) Initiative and the taking of appropriate action on own responsibility.
- + (9) Planning ability and its application to the work.
- + (10) Accuracy and attention to pertinent detail.
- + (11) Industry, including energetic, consistent application to duties.
- + (12) Productivity, including amount of acceptable work produced and rate of progress on or completion of assignments. Also consider adherence to deadlines unless failure to meet is attributable to causes beyond employee's control.
- E (13) Knowledge of duties, instructions, rules and regulations, including readiness of comprehension and "know how" of application.
- O (14) Technical or mechanical skills.
- E (15) Investigative ability and results:
 - + (a) Internal security cases
 - E (b) Criminal or general investigative cases
 - E (c) Fugitive cases
 - E (d) Applicant cases
 - O (e) Accounting cases
- O (16) Physical surveillance ability.

- + (17) Firearms ability.
- E (18) Development of informants and sources of information.
- E (19) Reporting ability:
 - O (a) Investigative reports
 - E (b) Summary reports
 - E (c) Memos, letters, wires
 (Consider: + conciseness; + clarity; E organization; + thoroughness; + accuracy; E adequacy and pertinency of leads; E administrative detail.)
- O (20) Performance as a witness.
- + (21) Executive ability:
 - + (a) Leadership
 - + (b) Ability to handle personnel
 - + (c) Planning
 - + (d) Making decisions
 - + (e) Assignment of work
 - + (f) Training subordinates
 - + (g) Devising procedures
 - + (h) Emotional stability
 - + (i) Promoting high morale
 - + (j) Getting results
- E (22) Ability on raids and dangerous assignments:
 - E (a) As leader
 - E (b) As participant
- E (23) Organizational interest, such as making of suggestions for improvement.
- + (24) Ability to work under pressure.
- E (25) Miscellaneous. Specify and rate:
 - Dictation ability _____

- A. Specify general nature of assignment during most of rating period (such as security, criminal, applicant squad, or as Resident Agent, supervisor, instructor, etc.):

Assistant Special Agent in Charge

- B. Specify employee's most noteworthy special talents (such as investigator, desk man, research, instructor, speaker):


Desk man, speaker, administrator

- C. (1) Is employee available for general assignment wherever needs of service require? yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)
 (2) Is employee available for special assignment wherever needs of service require? yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)
- D. 1. Has employee had an abnormal sick leave record during rating period? no 2. Has employee used more sick leave (including annual leave or LWOP for illness) during rating period than the amount of sick leave earned during such period? no (If answer to either question is "Yes," explain in narrative comments.)
- E. Is employee qualified to operate a motor vehicle incidental to his official duties? ☒ Yes ☐ No
 If answer is "yes," personnel file must reflect the following: (a) Has valid State or local operator's license for type vehicle he is to use. (b) Is physically fit to drive. (c) Past safe driving record OK or has passed Bureau road test.

ADJECTIVE RATING: **Excellent**

Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

EMPLOYEE'S INITIALS



PART I GENERAL COMMENTS

Assistant Special Agent in Charge J. WALLACE LA PRADE is always neatly and correctly attired and presents an outstanding personal appearance. He has a very warm, sincere and friendly personality, is poised and converses with ease. His personality traits are most effective in his dealings with law enforcement and civic officials and the other individuals with whom he has contact. He enjoys robust health, has no known physical limitations and has participated in raids and dangerous assignments very effectively as a leader. He advises he is completely available for any special or general Bureau assignment. At the commencement of the rating period Mr. LA PRADE was assigned in a supervisory capacity in the Special Investigative Division of the Seat of Government. His section chief commented that he had shown an excellent ability to grasp the basic fundamentals of operation in this field, prepared memoranda and instructions clearly, concisely and accurately. It was also said that he handled a considerable volume of teletypes, airtels, memoranda and reports and had shown excellent ability in evaluating the material he supervised. The chief also commented that he was perceptive in analyzing problems and issued directions which were of substantial assistance to the field offices in meeting their responsibilities as to organized crime activities.

Mr. LA PRADE reported to the Milwaukee Office 5-15-63 and has been serving since that time as Assistant Special Agent in Charge. In this capacity he handles one of the two supervisory desks which consists of investigative matters in the security field as well as a number of criminal violations. He has readily demonstrated his ability to administer the work of his desk most effectively and to get results in those matters under his jurisdiction. He has also handled a wide variety of administrative functions, has an excellent grasp of procedures in this area and has done a fine job. Mr. LA PRADE has also handled a large number of speaking commitments. I have heard him speak and we participated jointly in a radio appearance. He makes an outstanding representative of the Bureau in this area and numerous highly complimentary communications concerning his appearances have been received by this office and Bureau headquarters. He is energetic, enthusiastic and vitally interested in each and every aspect of the operations of a field office. He is gaining rapidly in his over-all experience as an administrator. He has worked very closely with me since my assignment as Special Agent in Charge, is a valuable partner and I thoroughly respect his opinions and judgment. The level of experience achieved by him along field office administrative lines is such that I feel confident he could do an effective job as a Seat of Government Inspector. By letter dated 8-14-63 the Director commended those agents in the Milwaukee Division who displayed much diligence and resourcefulness in connection with an important applicant matter. This case was supervised by ASAC LA PRADE and he is responsible in substantial measure for the excellent results achieved.

J. [Signature]

PART II SPECIFIC COMMENTS

1. Justification for any Minus Ratings Given

N/A

2. Experience and Ability as Inspector's Aide

N/A

pi

3. Participation in Informant Program

Security informants and PSIs are handled on ASAC LA PRADE's desk and very worthwhile achievements have been realized. I feel that his participation in this program has been excellent.

4. Testifying Experience and Ability

ASAC LA PRADE has testified before various Federal judicial bodies and is a fully competent and capable Government witness.

5. Disciplinary Action

N/A

6. Accounting Information

N/A

7. Police Instruction

N/A

8. Sound Training

N/A

jar

9. Resident Agents

N/A

10. Foreign Language Ability

N/A

- (a) Specific language in which Agent proficient _____
(b) Did Agent complete Bureau language school? Yes _____ No _____
(c) Is Agent fluent to the extent that he can handle typical
investigative problems in (1) conversation form? Yes _____ No _____
(2) written form? Yes _____ No _____
(d) Rate Agent excellent, very good, good, fair or unsatisfactory
in ability to (1) read _____
(2) write _____
(3) speak _____
(4) understand _____
(e) Frequency of use during rating period: daily _____
weekly _____
monthly _____
(f) Frequency of use anticipated during ensuing year _____

11. Administrative Advancement

- Is Agent (a) interested in (Yes ☒ No _____),
(b) completely available for (Yes ☒ No _____), and
(c) considered completely qualified at present
for administrative advancement including
experience, ability, personality and
appearance? (Yes ☒ No _____).
(d) If answer to (c) is "Yes," would you consider
his qualifications very good _____, excellent ☒,
outstanding _____.
(e) If answer to (c) is "No," does he have potential
for future administrative advancement?
(Yes _____ No _____.)

ASAC LA PRADE is extremely interested in administrative advancement, has the necessary personal, physical and mental attributes for such advancement and is unqualifiedly recommended for such.

Rating: Excellent

Initials:

April 30, 1964

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Dear Mr. LaPrade:

I wish to congratulate Mrs. LaPrade and you
on the birth of your son,

I know you must be very happy and I hope your
little boy's future will be filled with an abundance of
joy and good health.

Sincerely,
J. Edgar Hoover

1- SAC, Milwaukee (Personal Attention)

67-430338

MBP
(4)

JCL

CRD
HND

man

REC'D-READING ROOM
FBI

APR 30 1 59 PM '64

MAILED 20
APR 30 1964

34

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

67-430-338

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 4/24/64

FROM : SAC, Milwaukee

SUBJECT: J. WALLACE LA PRADE, ASAC
(Employee's present payroll name)

MILWAUKEE DIVISION

(Division)

PAYROLL NAME (List as desired on payroll)

ADDRESS AND PHONE CHANGE

Present phone number (city)	
<input type="checkbox"/> FD-310 enclosed	Local address (Number Street City State)

NOTE (The following must be executed in reporting BIRTHS or CHANGES IN MARITAL STATUS.)

Has spouse ever been an employee of the Bureau or an applicant for Bureau employment?

1. ☐ No 2. ☐ Yes ☐ Present ☐ Former ☐ Applicant

MARITAL STATUS

Married to - Show full (maiden) name of spouse	Date and place of marriage
Data re spouse	
Birth date	Birthplace
Legal Residence	Occupation
Office indices re spouse and relatives (use Addendum, if necessary)	
Credit and arrest records re spouse (use Addendum, if necessary)	
FD-292 enclosed <input type="checkbox"/> Yes 2. <input type="checkbox"/> No Date it will be submitted	
FD-310 enclosed 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes 2. <input type="checkbox"/> No Date it will be submitted	
Name, address, and telephone number of person to be notified in case of emergency	

BIRTHS

Girl named	Boy named
Born on	Birthplace
To employee and (Name of spouse)	
Mrs. J. Wallace La Prade - Elizabeth Hemshoff Kotton	
This is their 4th child	

Enc. 1-Bureau
1-Milwaukee JWL:mg (2)

"Lett of copy" 4/30/64 mlyp

THREE

b6
b7c

67-NOT RECORDED-3

February 26, 1965

PERSONAL

FBI
REC'D-READING ROOM
FEB 26 12 13 PM '65

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Dear Mr. LaPrade:

During the course of the recent inspection of the Milwaukee Office you were found to have been derelict in the manner in which you supervised the case entitled, [REDACTED] - Impersonation. "

b6
b7C


(At the outset, you should have insured that the complaint was handled in accordance with established Bureau policy and procedures.) Your failure to insure proper handling of this matter is inexcusable.

You will be expected in the future to handle your supervisory responsibilities in a more competent manner in order to avoid such delinquencies.

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

John Edgar Hoover
Director



- 1 - SAC, Milwaukee (Personal Attention)
1 - Mr. Callahan (Att: Mr. C. R. Davidson) (Sent Separately)
① - Personnel File of J. Wallace LaPrade
1 - Inspection File of Milwaukee Office (SOG)
1 - Personnel File of Milwaukee Office (SOG)
1 - Miss Usilton

67-
Searched _____ Numbered _____
6 MAR 1 1965

NOTE: Based on memo from Mr. Tolson, 2/26/65, captioned, "Inspection - Milwaukee Division, Harold E. Campbell, Jr., Inspection Staff, January 29 - February 12, 1965." HEC:wmj

HEC:wmj (9)

Mail Room _____ Teletype Unit _____

MR. TOLSON

W. M. FELT

February 26, 1965

Classified by 700C 124/1000/11/28
Exempt from GDS, Category 4/3
Date of Declassification Indefinite

~~SECRET~~

INSPECTION - MILWAUKEE DIVISION
HAROLD E. CAMPBELL, JR., INSPECTION STAFF
JANUARY 23 - FEBRUARY 12, 1965

SUMMARY

Officials: Richard J. Baker, SAC since 11/10/63; J. Wallace LaPrade, ASAC since 5/15/63. Last inspection April 12-24, 1964.

Physical Condition and Maintenance - Very Good (Last Inspection - Very Good). Office conveniently located in Federal Building. Current remodeling of space will bring about improvement in appearance and efficiency; effective liaison with General Services Administration has been vital factor in renovation. Security generally adequate; Inspector instructed expansion of alarm system to provide maximum security of re-organized space. Minor housekeeping delinquencies ordered corrected. All Resident Agency space secure. 28 of 41 automobiles inspected; no delinquencies affecting safety detected. Automobile repair and operating costs in line with Bureau averages; automobile utilization survey September, 1964 - January, 1965, revealed excess of two cars (being handled separately).

Investigative Operations - Good (Last Inspection - Good). Case load as of 1/31/65, 1157 pending investigative matters (1062 active); delinquency 5.7% - low (field average 6.4%). Statistical accomplishments fiscal year 1964 up in 3 categories and down in one (+270% fines, savings and recoveries; +7% fugitives; +3% automobiles and -11% in convictions). For first six months fiscal year 1965 up in 3 categories (+6% convictions; +8% fugitives; +8% automobiles) and down in fines, savings and recoveries (-66%). SAC ordered to institute measures to obtain maximum accomplishments; also to improve poor conviction record in Theft from Interstate Shipment and Theft of Government Property cases. Missed fugitive deadlines below field average. Missed applicant deadlines at 5.6% - high (field average 4.33%); reduction ordered. 5 substantive errors detected for percentage of 0.54% - high (field average 0.37%). Errors involved misleading and erroneous information in communication, discrepancies in interview dates, failure to properly record admission of subject, incomplete and inadequate investigation and delay in acknowledging outside correspondence.

8 of 12 bank robbery violations since last inspection solved (2 of 5 robberies, both burglaries and 4 of 5 larcenies); 2 bank larcenies solved which occurred prior to last inspection; total pending unsolved bank robbery matters currently 8 (6 robberies, 1 burglary and 1 larceny); vigorous investigative efforts ordered on bank robbery matters. Criminal Informant (CI) Program rated good; 3 effective top echelon informants being operated under Criminal Intelligence Program. Total number of CIs increased since last inspection; however, SAC instructed to upgrade quality of CIs. Significant decrease in 167 NOT RECORDED
167 Mr. Callahan's 1965
HEC:wmi (6) Enclosures 17

Memo for Mr. Tolson
Re: Inspection - Milwaukee Division

number of ^{potential} criminal informants noted; Inspector instructed office insure both quantity and quality are adequate to meet needs. SAC ordered to strengthen procedures to insure informant accomplishments fully and properly reported. Full Agent participation in informant programs stressed. Valuable information being obtained under Criminal Intelligence Program and penetration of Milwaukee La Cosa Nostra group (called "Outfit" locally) worthy accomplishment; technical coverage effective. SAC instructed to further expand coverage under Criminal Intelligence Program (particularly in Resident Agencies); aggressively pursue prosecutable violations and extend technical coverage where feasible. Communist Party has estimated membership of 102 in Wisconsin; relatively inactive at present. Wisconsin branch of communist-sponsored youth group (W.E.B. DuBois Club of America) reliably reported to be most active in U.S.; informant coverage deemed inadequate - SAC instructed to improve. Limited espionage activity in territory - 2 potential double agents being operated. Over-all Security Informant Program rated good. Racial situation quiet; liaison source coverage effective.

Administrative Operations - Very Good (Last Inspection - Very Good). Milwaukee two-desk office - work load equitably shared by SAC and ASAC. As of 1/31/65 average case load per Agent 22.1 (field average 22.9); case closings at field average of 11.5. Form errors 2.90% - low (field average 4.92%). Stenographic and typist production both above field average; retypes low. Time in office (October - December, 1964) 15.0% - SAC ordered to reduce and keep to absolute minimum. Chief Clerk's Office operating effectively - renovation of space should increase efficiency. Communications costs above Bureau base (10.7%); travel costs approximate Bureau base; per diem costs below base; economy stressed.

Personnel Matters - Very Good (Last Inspection - Very Good). Total personnel complement as of 1/29/65 - 83 (50 Agents, 33 clerks); clerk-Agent ratio 66% - field average 64%. 1 Agent requested retirement effective 2/26/65; Inspector feels work load can be efficiently handled without replacement and Agent complement be fixed at 49. (Handled separately.) Clerical complement adequate, not excessive. SAC instructed to justify within 90 days retention of one Agent each in two separate Resident Agencies where case load appears low for Agent complement. No male personnel overweight and no Agents on probation or unavailable. 4 Agents recruited during period August 1964 - January 1965; instructions issued to intensify program. Office recruited 45 clerical employees for Seat of Government during calendar year 1964 - well above quota; commendable. Morale appears high.

Contacts - Very Good (Last Inspection - Very Good). 24 SAC contacts in diversified fields furnishing valuable assistance; 4 developed by SAC; increased coverage needed in TV and radio media in headquarters city. Speech program effective; 131 public appearance commitments in 1964, including 38 radio and 6 TV appearances. Police relations and liaison effective; press relations generally favorable; National Academy and police training programs active and well organized. SAC alert to value of good public relations. Officials contacted spoke highly of Director, FBI and Milwaukee Office personnel.

Memo for Mr. Tolson
Re: Inspection - Milwaukee Division

~~SECRET~~

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. SAC Richard J. Baker, GS-15 @ [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

2. ASAC J. Wallace LaPrade, GS-14 @ \$14,600, veteran, not on probation, first office as ASAC, at Milwaukee since 5/15/63. Mr. LaPrade makes an excellent personal appearance, displays a deep interest in his work and exhibits a businesslike manner. During inspection one substantive error was detected on his desk. (Copy of detailed substantive write-up attached.) Error involved ASAC's failure to properly evaluate an Extortion complaint and insure that appropriate investigation was conducted to resolve this violation. While no embarrassment to Bureau has resulted, the potential for same clearly existed and the interests of the Bureau were not properly safeguarded. This error points up the need for closer attention to supervisory responsibilities by ASAC LaPrade. Upon approval, attached letter of censure should be forwarded to him. SAC states ASAC LaPrade is SAC material and capable of serving as Seat of Government Inspector. Inspector feels that LaPrade should receive additional seasoning as an ASAC prior to being considered for further advancement. Letter to SAC will inform him of inspection results.

3. Recommendations concerning other personnel handled separately.

~~SECRET~~

Name: J. WALLACE (LA) PRADE

Title: Assistant Special Agent in Charge

EOD: 5-7-51

Grade: GS-14 at \$14,660.

Veteran

SAC R. J. BAKER: This write-up is submitted since Mr. LA PRADE is Assistant Special Agent in Charge of this division. He makes a fine personal appearance, being at all times immaculate and conservatively dressed. He has a sincere and straightforward personality, is an easy conversationalist and exercises considerable poise. In discharging his responsibilities as ASAC, he has shown maturity of judgment, responsibility and logic, and has handled personnel situations which have arisen in a highly competent manner. He has been in charge of the office on numerous occasions in my absence and has shown that he functions effectively with additional responsibility and does not shy away from shouldering such duties. He has had considerable experience as a public speaker, represents the Bureau extremely well and has been the recipient of numerous very favorable comments. Since the last performance rating Mr. LA PRADE was censured as a result of substantive write-ups detected at the time of the last inspection. By letter dated 4-22-64 the Director commended a number of agents who participated in an important ITSP case. Mr. LA PRADE supervised that case and shared in that commendation. By letter dated 12-7-64 the Director commended the SAC and those personnel who participated in the apprehension of a top ten fugitive. ASAC LA PRADE played an extremely important role in setting up the apprehension and participated in the actual apprehension. By letter dated 2-4-65 the Director commended Mr. LA PRADE for the excellent work done relative to the investigation of an impersonation case supervised by Mr. LA PRADE. Mr. LA PRADE is completely available for any special or general assignment in the Bureau, is very much interested in administrative advancement and is certainly SAC material. Based on his performance, I have no hesitation whatsoever in strongly recommending him for further administrative advancement in the Bureau and feel that he could at the present time function effectively as a Seat of Government Inspector.

Rating: Excellent

Milwaukee Inspection
2-9-65
RJB:mk

SEE NEXT PAGE

67-NOT RECORDED
9 MAR 2 1965

17
15 MAR 1965
REC'D - 15 MAR 1965
3516

H. E. CAMPBELL, JR., INSPECTION STAFF:
(HEC:wmj 3/1/65)

For comments of Inspector, see memorandum W. M. Felt to Mr. Tolson, February 26, 1965, captioned, "Inspection - Milwaukee Division; Harold E. Campbell, Jr., Inspection Staff; January 29 - February 12, 1965."

SAC, Milwaukee

2-19-65

Director, FBI

PERSONAL ATTENTION

IN-SERVICE AND/OR CONFERENCES

☒ You are hereby instructed to report to Seat of Government for ~~Two-Day Conferences~~ commencing ~~June 14, 1965~~.

☐ You should instruct _____ Special Agent(s) to report to Seat of Government, Room 5240, at 9:30 A. M. Monday, _____ for attendance at an In-Service Training Course for a period of twelve days. You should not schedule Agents on probation, limited duty, sound men, or those recommended for specialized training.

☒ You should instruct the following Agent(s) to report to Seat of Government, Room 5240, at 9:30 A. M. Monday, ~~October 25, 1965~~, for attendance at an In-Service Training Course for a period of twelve days:

ASAC J. Wallace LaPrade (Criminal Intelligence School)

DUPLICATE YELLOW

Confirm attendance.

☐ There are enclosed statements with respect to the In-Service Training Course which you should furnish to the Special Agent(s).

~~Enc~~ 1 - Mr. Casper
 1 - Mr. Hereford
 1 - Foreign Liaison
 1 - Personnel file of Richard J. Baker
 ① - Personnel file of J. Wallace LaPrade
 bhd (7)

67-NOT RECORDED
 9 FEB 24 1965

February 4, 1965

Mr. Richard J. Baker
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Dear Mr. Baker:

It is a pleasure to commend you and, through you, Assistant Special Agent in Charge J. Wallace LaPrade for the excellent work done relative to the investigation of the impersonation case involving [redacted]

b6
b7C

The thoroughness, resourcefulness and enthusiasm both of you exhibited in handling your duties in supervising this investigation and in directing the efforts of the participating personnel were of the highest caliber. I know this was a difficult case; nevertheless, as a direct result of your combined efforts the subject was convicted. I want to thank you and ask you to convey my appreciation to Mr. LaPrade for a job well done.

Sincerely yours,

1 - SAC, Milwaukee (Personal Attention)

Based on information submitted Bureau has concluded that individual letter of commendation to ASAC LaPrade is not warranted. Place a copy of this letter in his personnel file.

1 - Miss Usilton (Sent Direct)

1 - Personnel file of ASAC J. Wallace LaPrade

CTP

(6)

Based on letter from Milwaukee 1-26-65 and addendum General Investigative Division 2-1-65 re: [redacted]
Impersonation. "

b6
b7C

147

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

NAME: LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER
---------------------------	------------------------

NOTIFICATION OF BASIC CHANGE

CODE - NATURE OF ACTION.		EFFECTIVE DATE		DATE OF LAST EQUIV. INCR.
<input type="checkbox"/>	892 - QUALITY INCREASE	<input type="checkbox"/>	896 - ADMIN. PAY INCREASE	
<input type="checkbox"/>	893 - WITHIN GRADE INCREASE	<input type="checkbox"/>	897 - ADMIN. PAY DECREASE	
<input type="checkbox"/>	894 - PAY ADJUSTMENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	OTHER (SPECIFY IN REMARKS)	
GRADE OR LEVEL	STEP OR RATE	OLD SALARY	NEW SALARY	

DATA ON UNPAID ABSENCE

PERIOD(S)	TOTAL EXCESS	IN PAY STATUS AT END OF WAITING PERIOD	INITIALS
			3/10

☐ EMPLOYEE'S WORK IS OF AN ACCEPTABLE LEVEL OF COMPETENCE.

☐ EMPLOYEE'S PERFORMANCE RATING IS SATISFACTORY OR BETTER.

REMARKS:

67-NOT RECORDED
11 JUL 27 1964

J. Edgar Hoover

JOHN EDGAR HOOVER
DIRECTOR

(DATE)

PERSONNEL FILE COPY

June 26, 1964

PERSONAL

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Dear Mr. LaPrade:

I have received your suggestion that field offices be permitted to prepare and retain one copy of any communication for each location within the field office where it is needed. It is felt the procedure to which you have reference is already provided for in the Manual of Rules and Regulations and such copies may be made as long as the actual number is accounted for together with the final destruction of all the superfluous ones.

Your interest in submitting this idea to me is indeed appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

- 2 - SAC, Milwaukee
- 1 - Field personnel file
- 1 - Suggestion file

Your attention is called to the Manual of Rules and Regulations, Part II, Section 3D, 6c, page 11c and Part II, Section 4D, 4f, page

25. It is felt these regulations provide for the procedure mentioned in suggestion.

1 - Personnel file of ASAC J. Wallace LaPrade

ML:mlf

(6) (Suggestion #1074-64 dated 6/23/64)

NOTE: Suggests that field office sending letters, airtels and other communications be permitted to prepare and retain one copy for each location within the field office where work is to be done.

DUPLICATE YELLOW

SEE PAGE TWO

67-NOT RECORDED

4 JUN 29 1964

71

NOTE CONTINUED

Training Division feels this matter is adequately covered in the Manual of Rules and Regulations (MRR) and no further action is necessary. Item cited by suggester in MRR, Part II, Section 4, page 30, item 3, provides: "... For sender. One. Show to whom copies are sent and the number at the lower left margin of page one..." The one copy cited refers to the number required since there must be a copy of each communication on file; however, this does not exclude other working copies, destruction of which is provided for in sections cited in note to SAC.

ASAC LaPrade was censured by letter dated 5/11/64 due to substantive errors detected in matters supervised by him during the inspections.

#1074-64

Date:

June 23, 1964

To:

Director, FBI

From: (Suggester's name)

ASAC J. WALLACE LA PRADE

Division of Assignment

MILWAUKEE

SUGGESTION

That the Field Office sending letters, airtels and other communications be permitted to prepare and retain one copy for each location within the field office where work is to be done.

Current practice or rule (include manual citation as well as facts)

Manual of Rules & Regulations, Part II, Section 4, page 30, item (3) concerning letters sets forth "For Sender - One."

Advantages of suggestion and annual savings (include basis for estimate)

Adoption would provide Resident Agent with copy of correspondence, as well as headquarters city. This makes possible better administration of cases by the Resident Agent.

Disadvantages of suggestion

Accumulation of more than one copy of outgoing correspondence in the file, but this would be automatically eliminated at 6 month stripping of closed file.

(The use by the United States of my suggestion shall not form the basis of a further claim of any nature by me, my heirs, or assigns upon the United States. I understand that I will be considered for any justified award only if my suggestion is adopted within two years after submission.)



Mr.



Mrs.



Miss

J. Wallace LaPrade, Asst. Special Agent in Charge

Recommendations and comments of Division Head

Favorable consideration is recommended, as this would be of administrative assistance.

Richard J. Baker, Special Agent in Charge

(Do not write in this space - for Bureau use only)



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20535

Dear Sir:

For inclusion in the fund to be paid to the designated beneficiary of any Special Agent of the FBI who has previously contributed to this fund and who dies from any cause except self-destruction while employed as a Special Agent, I am forwarding herewith (by Check - Money Order) the sum of \$10, payable to S.A.I.F., to be included in said fund. Payment will be made for death by self-destruction after the Agent has been a member of the fund for a continuous period of two years. It is understood and agreed that the sum tendered herewith is a voluntary, gratuitous contribution to said fund which I understand is to be administered in the following manner.

The Director of the FBI will appoint a committee which shall consider all matters pertaining to the acquisition, safe keeping and expending of said fund, which committee will recommend appropriate action to the Director in pertinent matters. The Assistant Director of the Administrative Division of the FBI shall receive all contributions and account for same to the Director. Upon the death of any Special Agent who is a member of said fund the appointed committee will consider the case and submit a recommendation to the Director as to its conclusions. Appropriate instructions will then be issued to the Assistant Director of the Administrative Division, directing him to pay to the designated beneficiary the sum of \$10,000. The liability of the fund shall not under any circumstances exceed the amount of monies in the fund at the time any liability shall occur.

EXECUTE IN DUPLICATE AND SUBMIT BOTH COPIES TO THE BUREAU

Official Bureau Name (please type or print)	Date	Office of Assignment (or SOG Division)
SA J. WALLACE LA PRADE	5/19/64	Milwaukee

The following person is designated as my beneficiary for Special Agents Insurance Fund:

Name (primary beneficiary; use given first name if female)	Relationship
ELIZABETH K. LA PRADE	wife

Address	
5849 N. Witte Lane, Glendale, Wis.	

Name (contingent beneficiary, if desired; use given first name if female)	Relationship

Address	

The following person is designated as my beneficiary under the Chas. S. Ross Fund providing \$1500 death benefit to beneficiary of agents killed in the line of duty, other than travel accidents.

Name (primary beneficiary; use given first name if female)	Relationship
ELIZABETH K. LA PRADE	wife

Address	
5849 N. Witte Lane, Glendale, Wis.	

Name (contingent beneficiary, if desired; use given first name if female)	Relationship

Address	

Very truly yours,

Payment Received
Special Agents Insurance Fund

JUN 1

J. Wallace La Prade
Special Agent

67-NOT RECORDED
7 JUN 17 1964

3-ecq

Memo for Mr. Tolson
Re: Inspection - Milwaukee Office

below average - reduction ordered. Operations of Chief Clerk's Office satisfactory. Stenographic and typist production above field average and retypes well below field average. Per diem costs below Bureau base. Total travel and communications costs above base - economy stressed. No substantive irregularities detected in check of registers.

Personnel Matters - Very Good (Last Inspection - Very Good). Agent personnel adequate not excessive. Two clerical replacements to be made - then adequate. Available personnel passed examinations. No Agents overweight, on probation or unavailable. One Agent on limited duty - justified. Contributions to "The Investigator" average but of excellent quality. Recruited 4 Special Agents and 13 clerks this fiscal year. Latter above average, and SAC impressed with not allowing "letup." Morale appears very good.

Contacts - Very Good (Last Inspection - Very Good). 21 SAC contacts in diversified fields furnishing valuable assistance. SAC Baker (assigned 11/10/63) has under development 3 individuals who offer excellent potential to improve contact program further. SAC has not met 8 contacts and ASAC has not met 12. Instructed to do so in immediate future. Speech program effective although speeches declined by two in 1963 over 1962. 51 speeches given first 3 months of 1964 which indicates necessary attention being focused. Three television and 12 radio appearances by SAC since 1/1/64, and he has plans for expansion of these media. National Academy and Police Training Programs effective. Officials contacted spoke highly of Director, FBI and Milwaukee Office.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. SAC Richard J. Baker, GS-15 @

b6
b7c

2. ASAC J. Wallace LaPrade, GS-14 @ \$13,615, veteran, not on probation, first office as ASAC, at Milwaukee since 5/15/63. He makes a good impression. During inspection, 3 substantive errors were detected on his desk and as supervisor of these matters he is personally culpable for two of the errors. (Copies of detailed substantive

Memo for Mr. Tolson
Re: Inspection - Milwaukee Office

write-ups attached). These errors involved failure to acknowledge promptly a complaint received from another Government agency requesting Bureau investigation. There was a delay in over two months in acknowledging the letter. ASAC failed to institute the proper administrative devices to insure this matter was followed and promptly handled. The second matter involved the improper opening and maintaining of cases in a pending active status for several months in which there was no investigation to be conducted and numerous unnecessary communications were prepared. Above errors point up need for more experience and closer attention to supervisory responsibilities by ASAC LaPrade. He should be censured for his delinquencies and it is recommended attached letter be sent to ASAC LaPrade. Despite these supervisory delinquencies, it is believed ASAC LaPrade has the necessary qualifications and ability to continue as ASAC at Milwaukee. ASAC LaPrade has been recommended for a Quality Within-Grade raise for superior performance by SAC Baker; however, in view of above derelictions cited, it is recommended that the raise not be given.

3. Recommendations concerning other personnel handled separately.

Memo for Mr. Tolson
Re: Inspection - Milwaukee Office

DETAILS

PHYSICAL CONDITION AND MAINTENANCE VERY GOOD

Office conveniently located and although space is not contiguous it is well maintained. GSA has plans to take 500 feet of Bureau space for air-conditioning equipment for building, will give Bureau 1500 feet of other space adjacent to Chief Clerk's Office. This will allow reorganization of space along more efficient and utilitarian lines, will also make open-shelf filing feasible in Chief Clerk's Office to replace current file cabinets of various sizes which detract from appearance. SAC instructed to continue efforts to improve lighting in large Agents' room. Minor housekeeping delinquencies detected and corrected; necessary painting deferred until after reorganization of space. Space in Resident Agencies adequate, but furniture in some outdated. Replacement deferred until Headquarters City needs have been met. Autos in good condition; 27 of 38 automobiles inspected; 26 automobiles of total 38 are four years old or older. No delinquencies affecting safety detected. Repair costs per mile \$.014 and per automobile \$89 are slightly higher than field average of \$.013 and \$75. Operating costs \$.053 per mile below field average of \$.063. All Resident Agencies have commercial storage facilities for Bureau cars; storage in headquarters and Resident Agencies secure. Number of cars adequate but not excessive.

INVESTIGATIVE OPERATIONS GOOD

As of 3/31/64, case load was 1085 pending investigative matters (1011 active). 49 Special Agents, including SAC and ASAC, assigned. 41 active investigative matters delinquent for 4.1% (field average 5.0%). Inspector closed 35 cases. 3 substantive errors detected in 650 files reviewed for .51% (field average .34%). One involved improper opening and maintaining for prolonged period cases in which no investigation warranted, another was for failure to acknowledge receipt of complaint and delayed reporting while third involved improper supervision of case. Administrative action handled separately. Criminal Informant Program rated fair although Milwaukee has developed two very good top echelon informants in the Criminal Intelligence field. 7 Criminal Informants (CIs) and 10 Potential Criminal Informants (PCIs) deleted due to lack of productivity and failure to furnish quality-type information. Statistical accomplishments resulting from this program leave much to be desired and SAC instructed to improve. Weaknesses in Program pointed out by Inspector - SAC instructed to insure full Agent participation and to submit plans for revitalizing within 30 days.

Statistical accomplishments for first 10 months of current fiscal year, down in convictions (-10%) and autos recovered (-5%); up in fines, savings and recoveries (+284%), and fugitives apprehended (+11%). SAC instructed to concentrate on

Memo for Mr. Tolson
Re: Inspection - Milwaukee Office

statistic-producing classifications where considerable decrease has occurred. 6 bank robberies (5 of which were solved), 1 burglary and 2 larcenies occurred since prior inspection. One other robbery which occurred prior to last inspection solved. One of two subjects identified in one of the 6 remaining unsolved cases. Instructions issued to afford vigorous and aggressive attention to these unsolved cases.

3 fugitive deadlines missed in 51 files reviewed or 5.88% (above field average of 4.40%). 5 applicant deadlines missed in 135 matters reviewed for 3.7% (below field average of 4.7%).

Milwaukee has made its deepest penetration of organized criminal activities by establishment of a highly confidential source and development of two top echelon informants. Another highly confidential source has been installed and operation anticipated at early date. Milwaukee has obtained two recent convictions under these statutes and has several other cases in which prosecutive potential is excellent.

No racial or Civil Rights problems in Milwaukee Division.

Communist Party of Wisconsin inactive for most part although a new CP club consisting of 5 members was organized in June, 1963. Two important double agents being operated by Milwaukee. Security Informant Program rated very good.

ADMINISTRATIVE OPERATIONS VERY GOOD

Milwaukee is two-desk office. Case supervision and administrative responsibilities equitably divided between SAC and ASAC. Time in office averaged 13.3% for three months preceding inspection. No errors affecting fringe benefits detected in check of Daily Reports and Registers. Active case load per Agent as of 3/31/64, 20.6 (field average 23.5). Due to fact 6 Agents assigned full time and 4 part time to Criminal Intelligence Program. Case closings per Agent 12.2 (field average 12.5). Errors of form 4.61% (field average 4.72%) - reduction ordered. Operations of Chief Clerk's Office satisfactory. The present physical setup of the Chief Clerk's Office will be materially improved when the planned reorganization of office space is accomplished. Misfiled index cards (0.24%) below average for offices of comparable size (0.32%). Stenographic production (4.4 pages per hour) above field-wide average (3.99 pages) and slightly above average for offices of comparable size (4.22 pages). Typist production is 4.67 pages per hour as compared with field-wide average of 3.56 or offices of comparable size of 3.72. Combined is 4.47 pages per hour. Retypes 0.004% for stenos and none for typists (combined 0.003%) as compared with field-wide average of 1.65% and 2.24% respectively and with offices of comparable size 1.69% and 1.81%, respectively. Odd-hour shifts justified. For first nine months fiscal year 1964, per diem costs below Bureau base; total travel and total communications costs for same period slightly over base (5.9% and 5.5% respectively). Economy of operations stressed and SAC instructed to conduct survey of telephone costs with

Memo for Mr. Tolson
Re: Inspection - Milwaukee Office

~~SECRET~~

view of recommending installation of Wide Area Telephone Service (WATS).

PERSONNEL MATTERS VERY GOOD

All available personnel passed examinations. Total personnel 4/10/64, 78 (49 Agents and 29 clerical); clerk-Agent ratio 59.18% (below field average 63%). Re-assignment of Agent personnel Kenosha, Wisconsin, Resident Agency from Headquarters under consideration as criminal intelligence cases that territory being handled by Headquarters Agent. Present Agent staff adequate but not excessive. Currently have request for replacement of 2 clerks which would increase clerical staff to 31 or ratio of 63.26% (field average 63%). Considered adequate but not excessive. No Agents overweight, on probation or unavailable. One Agent on limited duty - justified. New Agents being assigned matters with testifying potential. No Agents rated below "Very Good" on dictation ability. "On-the-spot" supervision by SAC or ASAC satisfactory. Submissions of items for "The Investigator" have been average for an office of this size. However, items were excellent in quality. During current fiscal year 4 Special Agent applicants have been recruited and 18 clerical applicants recruited for Seat of Government, which is above-average record. Recruiting program intensified, concentrated and broadened. Office commended by Director 4/16/64 for exceeding the clerical quota in the first quarter. Morale appears to be very good.

CONTACT S VERY GOOD

21 SAC contacts (21 last inspection) in diversified fields and furnishing valuable services. Although SAC Baker developed none of these, in his brief tenure he has 3 individuals under development who offer considerable promise. SAC has not met 8 and ASAC 12 of SAC contacts. Instructed to do so in immediate future. Speech program effective although slight decline noted in number of speeches (126) in 1963 compared to 1962 when 128 given. Through March, 1964, 51 speeches delivered. Since January 1, 1964, SAC has made 3 television appearances and 12 radio appearances, either live or taped. Fugitive publicity broadcast by 6 television and 5 radio stations. 64 National Academy Associates in 44 law enforcement agencies, geographic placement excellent, program well organized and relations excellent. Police Training Program effective, specialized schools being conducted, number of schools, personnel attending and departments participating increased in 1963 over prior year. Persons contacted during inspection highly complimentary of the Director, the FBI and the Milwaukee Office.

~~SECRET~~

Name: J. WALLACE LA PRADE

Title: Assistant Special Agent in Charge

EOD: 5-7-51

Grade: GS 14 at \$13,615.

Veteran

SAC R. J. BAKER: This write-up is submitted since Mr. LA PRADE is the Assistant Special Agent in Charge of the Milwaukee Division. He makes an outstanding appearance; is always neatly and correctly dressed. He possesses a warm and responsive personality, exhibits considerable poise and is an easy conversationalist. Concerning his duties as Assistant Special Agent in Charge, he is enthusiastic and aggressive, readily accepts any assignment and assumes responsibility easily. He is well regarded and respected by the agent and clerical personnel of the office as well as outside individuals with whom he comes in contact and many favorable comments have been received concerning his speaking engagements. He is rapidly developing along administrative lines, has been given an opportunity to gain experience in all areas of a field office's operations and has done a very creditable job. I feel that his rate of progress as an administrator is above average and that he possesses the necessary qualifications for continued advancement along administrative lines.

Rating: Excellent

VICTOR TURYN, INSPECTION STAFF:
(VT:wmj 5/14/64)

For Inspector's comments
see memorandum J. H. Gale
to Mr. Tolson dated May 8, 1964,

captioned, "Inspection - Milwaukee Office; Victor Turyn, Inspection Staff,
April 12 - 24, 1964."

Milwaukee Inspection
4-20-64
RJB:mg

39
67-NOT RECORDED
10 MAY 15 1964

10
351C

REPORT OF MEDICAL EXAMINATION

88-105
1A- 0109-200-7002

1. LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME LA PRADE, J. Wallace			2. GRADE AND COMPONENT OR POSITION SPECIAL AGENT FBI		3. IDENTIFICATION NO.				
4. HOME ADDRESS (Number, street or RFD, city or town, zone and State) 5849 N. Witte Lane, Glendale, Wisc.			5. PURPOSE OF EXAMINATION ANNUAL		6. DATE OF EXAMINATION 19 MAR 65				
7. SEX Male		8. RACE Cauc		9. TOTAL YEARS GOVERNMENT SERVICE MILITARY 2 1/2 yr CIVILIAN 14 yr		10. AGENCY FBI		11. ORGANIZATION UNIT MILWAUKEE	
12. DATE OF BIRTH 7-27-26		13. PLACE OF BIRTH Franklin County, Va.				14. NAME, RELATIONSHIP, AND ADDRESS OF NEXT OF KIN			
15. EXAMINING FACILITY OR EXAMINER, AND ADDRESS U.S. NAVAL HOSPITAL, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS						16. OTHER INFORMATION			
17. RATING OR SPECIALTY						TIME IN THIS CAPACITY (Total)		LAST SIX MONTHS	

CLINICAL EVALUATION		
NOR- MAL	(Check each item in appropriate column; enter "NE" if not evaluated)	ABNOR- MAL
X	18. HEAD, FACE, NECK, AND SCALP	
X	19. NOSE	
X	20. SINUSES	
X	21. MOUTH AND THROAT	
X	22. EARS—GENERAL (Int. & ext. canals) (Auditory acuity under items 10 and 23)	
X	23. DRUMS (Perforation)	
X	24. EYES—GENERAL (Visual acuity and refraction under items 25, 60 and 67)	
X	25. OPHTHALMOSCOPIC	
X	26. PUPILS (Equality and reaction)	
X	27. OCULAR MOTILITY (Associated parallel movements, nystagmus)	
X	28. LUNGS AND CHEST (Include breasts)	
X	29. HEART (Thrust, size, rhythm, sounds)	
X	30. VASCULAR SYSTEM (Varicosities, etc.)	
X	31. ABDOMEN AND VISCERA (Include hernia)	
X	32. ANUS AND RECTUM (Hemorrhoids, fistulas) (Prostate, if indicated)	
X	33. ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	
	34. G-U SYSTEM	X
X	35. UPPER EXTREMITIES (Strength, range of motion)	
X	36. FEET	
X	37. LOWER EXTREMITIES (Except feet) (Strength, range of motion)	
X	38. SPINE, OTHER MUSCULOSKELETAL	
X	39. IDENTIFYING BODY MARKS, SCARS, TATTOOS	
X	40. SKIN, LYMPHATICS	
X	41. NEUROLOGIC (Equilibrium tests under item 28)	
X	42. PSYCHIATRIC (Specify any personality deviation)	
	43. PELVIC (Females only) (Check how done)	
	<input type="checkbox"/> VAGINAL <input type="checkbox"/> RECTAL	

NOTES. (Describe every abnormality in detail. Enter pertinent item number before each comment. Continue in item 73 and use additional sheets if necessary.)

#34. Prostate normal size--nodular left upper pole NCD

ENCLOSURE
REC-143

67-430338-116	
Searched	Numbered
6 APR 2 1965	

(Continue in item 73)

44. DENTAL (Place appropriate symbols above or below number of upper and lower teeth, respectively.)																REMARKS AND ADDITIONAL DENTAL DEFECTS AND DISEASES TYPE EXAM III CLASS I DENTALLY QUALIFIED			
O—Restorable teeth —Nonrestorable teeth X—Missing teeth XXX—Replaced by dentures (6 X 8)—Fixed bridge, brackets to include abutments																			
R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15			16	L
I	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18			17	E
G																	F		
H																	T		
T																			

LABORATORY FINDINGS

45. URINALYSIS: A. SPECIFIC GRAVITY 1.014		46. CHEST X-RAY (Place, date, film number and result) USNH #13	
B. ALBUMIN NEG		D. MICROSCOPIC ESS NEG	
C. SUGAR NEG		E. OTHER TESTS	
47. SEROLOGY (Specify test used and result) VDRL: NON-REACTIVE		48. EKG Normal EKG	
		49. BLOOD TYPE AND RH FACTOR - - - - -	
		50. OTHER TESTS WBC 7600 HCT 46 SED RATE 7 HEMO 14.8	

APR 3 1965

APR 9 1966

MEASUREMENTS AND OTHER FINDINGS																																								
51. HEIGHT 70 3/4"		52. WEIGHT 157 1/2		53. COLOR HAIR Brown		54. COLOR EYES Brown		55. BUILD: <input type="checkbox"/> SLENDER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MEDIUM <input type="checkbox"/> HEAVY <input type="checkbox"/> OBESE		56. TEMPERATURE																														
57. BLOOD PRESSURE (Arm at heart level)						58. PULSE (Arm at heart level)																																		
A. SITTING SYS. 152 DIAS. 80		B. RECUMBENT SYS. DIAS.		C. STANDING (3 min.) SYS. DIAS.		A. SITTING 88		B. AFTER EXERCISE		C. 2 MIN. AFTER																														
D. RECUMBENT		E. AFTER STANDING 3 MIN.																																						
59. DISTANT VISION				60. REFRACTION				61. NEAR VISION																																
RIGHT 20/ 15 CORR. TO 20/				BY S. OX				CORR. TO BY																																
LEFT 20/ 15 CORR. TO 20/				BY S. OX				J204" CORR. TO BY																																
62. HETEROPHORIA (Specify distance)																																								
ES°		EX°		R. H.		L. H.		PRISM DIV.		PRISM CONV. CT																														
63. ACCOMMODATION		64. COLOR VISION (Test used and result)				65. DEPTH PERCEPTION (Test used and score)		UNCORRECTED																																
RIGHT LEFT		PASSED FALANT						CORRECTED																																
66. FIELD OF VISION				67. NIGHT VISION (Test used and score)				68. RED LENS TEST		69. INTRAOCULAR TENSION																														
70. HEARING				71. AUDIOMETER								72. PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PSYCHOMOTOR (Tests used and score)																												
RIGHT WV /15 SV /15				<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td></td> <td>250 F56</td> <td>500 S72</td> <td>1000 1024</td> <td>2000 2048</td> <td>3000 2996</td> <td>4000 4096</td> <td>6000 6144</td> <td>8000 8192</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RIGHT</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LEFT</td> <td>15</td> <td>10</td> <td>5</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>10</td> <td></td> <td>10</td> </tr> </table>									250 F56	500 S72	1000 1024	2000 2048	3000 2996	4000 4096	6000 6144	8000 8192	RIGHT	0	0	0	0		0		10	LEFT	15	10	5	0		10		10		
	250 F56	500 S72	1000 1024	2000 2048	3000 2996	4000 4096	6000 6144	8000 8192																																
RIGHT	0	0	0	0		0		10																																
LEFT	15	10	5	0		10		10																																
LEFT WV 15 /15 SV 15 /15																																								



73. NOTES (Continued) AND SIGNIFICANT OR INTERVAL HISTORY

#64. FALANT: One run No errors

(Use additional sheets if necessary)

74. SUMMARY OF DEFECTS AND DIAGNOSES (List diagnoses with item numbers)

#34. Prostate normal size--nodular left upper pole NCD

75. RECOMMENDATIONS--FURTHER SPECIALIST EXAMINATIONS INDICATED (Specify)						76. A. PHYSICAL PROFILE					
						P	U	L	H	E	S
77. EXAMINEE (Check) A. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IS QUALIFIED FOR SPECIAL AGENT FBI B. <input type="checkbox"/> IS NOT QUALIFIED FOR						B. PHYSICAL CATEGORY					
78. IF NOT QUALIFIED, LIST DISQUALIFYING DEFECTS BY ITEM NUMBER											
79. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN H. E. GARD LCDR MC USN						SIGNATURE 					
80. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN						SIGNATURE					
81. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF DENTIST E.S. GOLDSHLAG LT DC USN						SIGNATURE 					
82. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF REVIEWING OFFICER OR APPROVING AUTHORITY						SIGNATURE					
						NUMBER OF ATTACHED SHEETS					

REPORT OF MEDICAL HISTORY

THIS INFORMATION IS FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY AND WILL NOT BE RELEASED TO UNAUTHORIZED PERSONS

1. LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME LA PRADE, J. WALLACE				2. GRADE AND COMPONENT OR POSITION Special Agent		3. IDENTIFICATION NO.	
4. HOME ADDRESS (Number, street or RFD, city or town, zone and State) 5849 No. Witte Lane, Glendale, Wis.				5. PURPOSE OF EXAMINATION Annual Physical		6. DATE OF EXAMINATION 3-19-65	
7. SEX M	8. RACE W	9. TOTAL YRS. GOVT. SERVICE MILITARY 2 1/2 CIVILIAN 14	10. DEPARTMENT, AGENCY, OR SERVICE FBI		11. ORGANIZATION UNIT Milwaukee		
12. DATE OF BIRTH 7-27-26		13. PLACE OF BIRTH Franklin County, VA.		14. NAME, RELATIONSHIP, AND ADDRESS OF NEXT OF KIN			
15. EXAMINING FACILITY OR EXAMINER, AND ADDRESS USNH #13				16. OTHER INFORMATION			
17. STATEMENT OF EXAMINEE'S PRESENT HEALTH IN OWN WORDS. (Follow by description of past history, if complaint exists)							

18. FAMILY HISTORY					19. HAS ANY BLOOD RELATION (Parent, brother, sister, other) OR HUSBAND OR WIFE			
RELATION	AGE	STATE OF HEALTH	IF DEAD, CAUSE OF DEATH	AGE AT DEATH	YES	NO	(Check each item)	RELATION(S)
FATHER	64	Good				X	HAD TUBERCULOSIS	
MOTHER		Deceased	Heart failure	62		X	HAD SYPHILIS	
SPOUSE	38	Very Good				X	HAD DIABETES	
							HAD CANCER	
BROTHERS AND SISTERS	41	Good			X		HAD KIDNEY TROUBLE	Mother
					X		HAD HEART TROUBLE	"
						X	HAD STOMACH TROUBLE	
						X	HAD RHEUMATISM (Arthritis)	
CHILDREN	9	Excellent				X	HAD ASTHMA, HAY FEVER, HIVES	
	7	"				X	HAD EPILEPSY (Fits)	
	4	"				X	COMMITTED SUICIDE	
	1	"				X	BEEH INSANE	

20. HAVE YOU EVER HAD OR HAVE YOU NOW (Place check at left of each item)											
YES	NO	(Check each item)	YES	NO	(Check each item)	YES	NO	(Check each item)	YES	NO	(Check each item)
X		SCARLET FEVER, ERYSIPELAS	X		GOITER	X		TUMOR, GROWTH, CYST, CANCER	X		"TRICK" OR LOCKED KNEE
X		DIPHTHERIA	X		TUBERCULOSIS	X		RUPTURE	X		FOOT TROUBLE
X		RHEUMATIC FEVER	X		SOAKING SWEATS (Night sweats)	X		APPENDICITIS	X		NEURITIS
X		SWOLLEN OR PAINFUL JOINTS	X		ASTHMA	X		PILES OR RECTAL DISEASE	X		PARALYSIS (Inc. infantile)
X		MUMPS	X		SHORTNESS OF BREATH	X		FREQUENT OR PAINFUL URINATION	X		EPILEPSY OR FITS
X		WHOOPING COUGH	X		PAIN OR PRESSURE IN CHEST	X		KIDNEY STONE OR BLOOD IN URINE	X		CAR, TRAIN, SEA, OR AIR SICKNESS
X		FREQUENT OR SEVERE HEADACHE	X		CHRONIC COUGH	X		SUGAR OR ALBUMIN IN URINE	X		FREQUENT TROUBLE SLEEPING
X		DIZZINESS OR FAINTING SPELLS	X		PALPITATION OR POUNDING HEART	X		BOILS	X		FREQUENT OR TERRIFYING NIGHTMARES
X		EYE TROUBLE	X		HIGH OR LOW BLOOD PRESSURE	X		VENEREAL DISEASE	X		DEPRESSION OR EXCESSIVE WORRY
X		EAR, NOSE OR THROAT TROUBLE	X		CRAMPS IN YOUR LEGS	X		RECENT GAIN OR LOSS OF WEIGHT	X		LOSS OF MEMORY OR AMNESIA
X		RUNNING EARS	X		FREQUENT INDIGESTION	X		ARTHRITIS OR RHEUMATISM	X		BED WETTING
X		CHRONIC OR FREQUENT COLDS	X		STOMACH, LIVER OR INTESTINAL TROUBLE	X		BONE, JOINT, OR OTHER DEFORMITY	X		NERVOUS TROUBLE OF ANY SORT
X		SEVERE TOOTH OR GUM TROUBLE	X		GALL BLADDER TROUBLE OR GALL STONES	X		LAMENESS	X		ANY DRUG OR NARCOTIC HABIT
X		SINUSITIS	X		JAUNDICE	X		LOSS OF ARM, LEG, FINGER, OR TOE	X		EXCESSIVE DRINKING HABIT
X		HAY FEVER	X		ANY REACTION TO SERUM, DRUG OR MEDICINE	X		PALMER OR "TRICK" SHOULDER OR ELBOW	X		HOMOSEXUAL TENDENCIES

21. HAVE YOU EVER (Check each item)				22. FEMALES ONLY: A. HAVE YOU EVER—				B. COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:			
X		WORN GLASSES	X		ATTEMPTED SUICIDE			BEEN PREGNANT			AGE AT ONSET OF MENSTRUATION
X		WORN AN ARTIFICIAL EYE	X		BEEN A SLEEP WALKER			HAD A VAGINAL DISCHARGE			INTERVAL BETWEEN PERIODS
X		WORN HEARING AIDS	X		LIVED WITH ANYONE WHO HAD TUBERCULOSIS			BEEN TREATED FOR A FEMALE DISORDER			DURATION OF PERIODS
X		STUTTERED OR STAMMERED	X		COUGHED UP BLOOD			HAD PAINFUL MENSTRUATION			DATE OF LAST PERIOD
X		WORN A BRACE OR BACK SUPPORT	X		BLED EXCESSIVELY AFTER INJURY OR TOOTH EXTRACTION			HAD IRREGULAR MENSTRUATION			QUANTITY: <input type="checkbox"/> NORMAL <input type="checkbox"/> EXCESSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> SCANTY
23. HOW MANY JOBS HAVE YOU HAD IN THE PAST THREE YEARS? One				24. WHAT IS THE LONGEST PERIOD YOU HELD ANY OF THESE JOBS? MONTHS 14 years				25. WHAT IS YOUR USUAL OCCUPATION? Investigator			
								26. ARE YOU (Check one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RIGHT HANDED <input type="checkbox"/> LEFT HANDED			

ENCLOSURE 67-4383-301 M2 16-82289-1

YES	NO	CHECK EACH ITEM YES OR NO. EVERY ITEM CHECKED "YES" MUST BE FULLY EXPLAINED IN BLANK SPACE ON RIGHT
		27. HAVE YOU BEEN UNABLE TO HOLD A JOB BECAUSE OF:
X		A. SENSITIVITY TO CHEMICALS, DUST, SUNLIGHT, ETC.
X		B. INABILITY TO PERFORM CERTAIN MOTIONS
X		C. INABILITY TO ASSUME CERTAIN POSITIONS
X		D. OTHER MEDICAL REASONS (If yes, give reasons)
X		28. HAVE YOU EVER WORKED WITH RADIOACTIVE SUBSTANCE?
X		29. DID YOU HAVE DIFFICULTY WITH SCHOOL STUDIES OR TEACHERS? (If yes, give details)
X		30. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED EMPLOYMENT BECAUSE OF YOUR HEALTH? (If yes, state reason and give details)
X		31. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN DENIED LIFE INSURANCE? (If yes, state reason and give details)
X		32. HAVE YOU HAD, OR HAVE YOU BEEN ADVISED TO HAVE, ANY OPERATIONS? (If yes, describe and give age at which occurred)
X		33. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PATIENT (committed or voluntary) IN A MENTAL HOSPITAL OR SANATORIUM? (If yes, specify when, where, why, and name of doctor, and complete address of hospital or clinic)
X		34. HAVE YOU EVER HAD ANY ILLNESS OR INJURY OTHER THAN THOSE ALREADY NOTED? (If yes, specify when, where, and give details)
X		35. HAVE YOU CONSULTED OR BEEN TREATED BY CLINICS, PHYSICIANS, HEALERS, OR OTHER PRACTITIONERS WITHIN THE PAST 5 YEARS? (If yes, give complete address of doctor, hospital, clinic, and details)
X		36. HAVE YOU TREATED YOURSELF FOR ILLNESSES OTHER THAN MINOR COLDS? (If yes, which illnesses)
X		37. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REJECTED FOR MILITARY SERVICE BECAUSE OF PHYSICAL, MENTAL, OR OTHER REASONS? (If yes, give date and reason for rejection)
X		38. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN DISCHARGED FROM MILITARY SERVICE BECAUSE OF PHYSICAL, MENTAL, OR OTHER REASONS? (If yes, give date, reason, and type of discharge: whether honorable, other than honorable, for unfitness or unsuitability)
X		39. HAVE YOU EVER RECEIVED, IS THERE PENDING, HAVE YOU APPLIED FOR, OR DO YOU INTEND TO APPLY FOR PENSION OR COMPENSATION FOR EXISTING DISABILITY? (If yes, specify what kind, granted by whom, and what amount, when, why)

34. Acute prostatitis 11/62. Treated by medication and released 12/62.

35. Dr. Parker S. Darman
1302 18th, N.W.
Washington, D.C.
(treated for above)

I CERTIFY THAT I HAVE REVIEWED THE FOREGOING INFORMATION SUPPLIED BY ME AND THAT IT IS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.
I AUTHORIZE ANY OF THE DOCTORS, HOSPITALS, OR CLINICS MENTIONED ABOVE TO FURNISH THE GOVERNMENT A COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF MY MEDICAL RECORD FOR PURPOSES OF PROCESSING MY APPLICATION FOR THIS EMPLOYMENT OR SERVICE.

TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF EXAMINEE

SIGNATURE

J. WALLACE LA PRADE

J. Wallace LaPrade

40. PHYSICIAN'S SUMMARY AND ELABORATION OF ALL PERTINENT DATA (Physician shall comment on all positive answers in items 20 thru 39)

19 - Long standing myxedema - resultant congestive heart failure and renal disease.

20. Myxoma & Pericarditis - early checked and no sequelae
Sinsinnot in past - no problem for past 3 years
Prostate removed 1962 - no residual.

34-35 self explanation

TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN OR EXAMINER

DATE

SIGNATURE

NUMBER OF ATTACHED SHEETS

H. E. GARB

3/19/65

H. E. GARB

Attachment to Standard Form 88, Report of Medical Examination
For Information and Guidance of Medical Examiner

Name of Examinee LA PRADE J. WALLACE
(Type or print) Last First Middle

The following portions of the attached examination report form need not be completed:

2	14	68
3	17	69
4	62	72
9	65	76
11	67	

46. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.
48. Not required unless examinee is over 35 years of age or examination indicates such is desirable.
49. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.
71. Audiometer examinations should be afforded whenever possible for all Special Agent applicants and Special Agents. Applicants for the Special Agent position will not be accepted if the hearing loss exceeds a 15 decibel average in each ear in the conversational speech range (500, 1000, 2000 cycles).

For All Examinees, Whether Clerical or Special Agent Applicants or Employees:

The medical examiner should answer the following question:

Examinee ☒ is ☐ is not qualified for strenuous physical exertion.

To be Answered in the Case of All Male Employees and Male Applicants:

1. Does examinee have any defects restricting or prohibiting his participation in defensive tactics and dangerous assignments which might entail the practical use of firearms?

☒ No ☐ Yes If "yes" please specify defects. _____

2. Does examinee have any defects prohibiting safe operation of motor vehicles?

☒ No ☐ Yes If "yes" please specify defects. _____

3. For safe driving of motor vehicles, Civil Service Commission requires distant vision must test at least 20/40 in one eye and 20/100 in the other, corrected or uncorrected. Should examinee wear corrective glasses while operating a motor vehicle? ☐ Yes ☒ No
If recommendation is based on a factor other than above standard, indicate basis _____

ENCLOSURE

107-43330-116

Desirable Weight Ranges for Males

Height	Small Frame	Medium Frame	Large Frame
5' 4"	120 - 129	123 - 135	131 - 148
5' 5"	124 - 133	126 - 139	134 - 152
5' 6"	128 - 137	130 - 143	138 - 157
5' 7"	132 - 141	134 - 148	143 - 162
5' 8"	136 - 146	138 - 152	147 - 166
5' 9"	140 - 150	142 - 156	151 - 170
5' 10"	144 - 154	146 - 161	155 - 175
5' 11"	148 - 158	150 - 166	160 - 180
6'	152 - 163	154 - 171	164 - 185
6' 1"	156 - 167	158 - 176	169 - 190
6' 2"	160 - 171	163 - 181	174 - 195
6' 3"	169 - 180	168 - 186	178 - 200
6' 4"	174 - 185	178 - 196	188 - 210
6' 5"		182 - 202	192 - 216

3. Examinee's frame is ☐ small ☒ medium ☐ large
4. Considering above weight table, the examinee's frame, and other individual physical characteristics, I consider his present weight ☒ Satisfactory ☐ Excessive ☐ Deficient
5. Under proper medical supervision, examinee should ☐ lose _____ pounds
☐ gain _____ pounds

Remarks: None

H. B. Smith
 (Signature of Medical Examiner)

3/19/65
 (Date)

PAST SAFE DRIVING RECORD CERTIFICATION

TO BE FILLED IN BY OPERATOR

NAME OF OPERATOR (PRINT - LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL) <i>LaPrade, J. Wallace</i>		DATE <i>3/25/65</i>
DIVISION AND SECTION ASSIGNED <i>Milwaukee</i>		POSITION TITLE <i>ASAC</i>
THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT I PRESENTLY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HOLD <input type="checkbox"/> DO NOT HOLD A VALID MOTOR VEHICLE OPERATOR'S PERMIT OR DRIVER'S LICENSE.		
PERMIT ISSUED BY: (STATE, TERRITORY, POSSESSION, DISTRICT) <i>Wisconsin</i>	PERMIT NUMBER <i>1153410</i>	PERMIT EXPIRES <i>July 1966</i>
THIS IS AN <u>UNRESTRICTED</u> (RESTRICTED) PERMIT. (IF RESTRICTED, EXPLAIN BELOW) (STRIKE OUT ONE)		
THIS FURTHER CERTIFIES THAT DURING THE PAST THREE YEARS I HAVE DRIVEN A MOTOR VEHICLE (GOVERNMENT OR PERSONALLY OWNED) APPROXIMATELY <i>25,000</i> MILES. DURING THIS TIME (A) I <input type="checkbox"/> HAVE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HAVE NOT RECEIVED A TRAFFIC VIOLATION TICKET; (B) I <input type="checkbox"/> HAVE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HAVE NOT BEEN HELD AT FAULT* AS THE DRIVER OF A MOTOR VEHICLE INVOLVED IN A TRAFFIC ACCIDENT. IF AFFIRMATIVE ANSWER, PLEASE EXPLAIN IN ADJACENT SPACE GIVING NUMBER AND DATES OF OFFENSES.		
* "AT FAULT" MEANS ANY CASE IN WHICH RESPONSIBILITY IS CONCEDED BY EMPLOYEE OR HIS INSURANCE COMPANY OR LIABILITY IS FIXED BY DULY CONSTITUTED AUTHORITY.		<i>J. Wallace LaPrade</i> SIGNATURE OF OPERATOR

TO BE FILLED IN BY REVIEWING OFFICIAL

NAME OF REVIEWING OFFICIAL (PRINT - LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL) BAKER, RICHARD J.	POSITION TITLE Special Agent in Charge	DATE 4/12/65
THE PERSONNEL FILE OF THIS EMPLOYEE HAS BEEN REVIEWED AND REFLECTS THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION CONCERNING THE OPERATION OF A MOTOR VEHICLE ON OFFICIAL BUSINESS DURING THE PAST THREE YEARS:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CONTINUOUS SAFE DRIVING RECORD <input type="checkbox"/> INVOLVED IN TRAFFIC ACCIDENT AND FOUND AT FAULT **		
I CERTIFY THAT THIS EMPLOYEE IS:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> QUALIFIED ON THE BASIS OF HIS SAFE DRIVING RECORD TO OPERATE MOTOR VEHICLES ON OFFICIAL BUSINESS. <input type="checkbox"/> NOT QUALIFIED AND MUST DEMONSTRATE HIS QUALIFICATIONS BY SATISFACTORILY PASSING A ROAD TEST EXAMINATION BEFORE OPERATING A MOTOR VEHICLE ON OFFICIAL BUSINESS.		
REMARKS:		
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: flex-end;"> <div> NOT RECORDED APR 27 1965 ** "AT FAULT" MEANS ANY CASE IN WHICH THE BUREAU HAS TAKEN DISCIPLINARY ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION AGAINST THE EMPLOYEE. </div> <div> <i>R. J. Baker</i> (SIGNATURE OF REVIEWING OFFICIAL) </div> <div> <i>3/28/65</i> </div> </div>		



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**

*In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.*

Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20535

Dear Sir:

For inclusion in the fund to be paid to the designated beneficiary of any Special Agent of the FBI who has previously contributed to this fund and who dies from any cause except self-destruction while employed as a Special Agent, I am forwarding herewith (by Check - Money Order) the sum of \$10, payable to S.A.I.F., to be included in said fund. Payment will be made for death by self-destruction after the Agent has been a member of the fund for a continuous period of two years. It is understood and agreed that the sum tendered herewith is a voluntary, gratuitous contribution to said fund which I understand is to be administered in the following manner.

The Director of the FBI will appoint a committee which shall consider all matters pertaining to the acquisition, safe keeping and expending of said fund, which committee will recommend appropriate action to the Director in pertinent matters. The Assistant Director of the Administrative Division of the FBI shall receive all contributions and account for same to the Director. Upon the death of any Special Agent who is a member of said fund the appointed committee will consider the case and submit a recommendation to the Director as to its conclusions. Appropriate instructions will then be issued to the Assistant Director of the Administrative Division, directing him to pay to the designated beneficiary the sum of \$10,000. The liability of the fund shall not under any circumstances exceed the amount of monies in the fund at the time any liability shall occur.

EXECUTE IN DUPLICATE AND SUBMIT BOTH COPIES TO THE BUREAU

Official Bureau Name (please type or print)	Date	Office of Assignment (or SOG Division)
SA J. WALLACE LA PRADE	3-1-65	Milwaukee

The following person is designated as my beneficiary for Special Agents Insurance Fund:

Name (primary beneficiary; use given first name if female)	Relationship
ELIZABETH K. LA PRADE	Wife

Address	
5849 No. Witte Lane, Glendale, Wisconsin	

Name (contingent beneficiary, if desired; use given first name if female)	Relationship

Address

Do you desire to designate the above-listed beneficiaries as the beneficiary and contingent beneficiary respectively of the Chas. S. Ross Fund as well? ☐ Yes ☐ No If not, the entire following portion must be executed.

The following person is designated as my beneficiary under the Chas. S. Ross Fund providing \$1500 death benefit to beneficiary of agents killed in the line of duty, other than travel accidents.

Name (primary beneficiary; use given first name if female)	Relationship
ELIZABETH K. LA PRADE	Wife

Address	
5849 No. Witte Lane, Glendale, Wisconsin	

Name (contingent beneficiary, if desired; use given first name if female)	Relationship

Address

Payment Received
Special Agents Insurance Fund

MAR 15 1965

J. Edgar Hoover, Director

Very truly yours,

J. Wallace LaPrade
Special Agent J. Wallace LaPrade

3-ecd

Hyatt
Section
not done

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

REPORT OF PERFORMANCE RATING

Name of Employee: J. WALLACE LA PRADEWhere Assigned: Milwaukee
(Division)

(Section, Unit)

Official Position Title and Grade: Assistant Special Agent in Charge GS-14Rating Period: from 4/1/64 to 3/31/65ADJECTIVE RATING: Excellent
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, UnsatisfactoryEmployee's
Initials

Rated by:

Richard J. Baker
Richard J. Baker
Signature

Special Agent
in Charge
Title

3/31/65
Date

Reviewed by:

Signature

Title

Date

Rating Approved by:

Signature

W. P. Callahan
Assistant Director
Title

APR 22 1965
Date

TYPE OF REPORT

☒ Official
☐ Annual

☐ Administrative
☐ 60-Day
☐ 90-Day
☐ Transfer
☐ Separation from Service
☐ Special

REC-144

Searched

8 APR 19 1965

APR 27 1965

PERFORMANCE RATING GUIDE FOR INVESTIGATIVE PERSONNEL

(For use as attachment to Performance Rating Form No. FD-185)

Name of Employee J. WALLACE LA PRADETitle Assistant Special Agent in Charge GS-14Rating Period: from 4/1/64 to 3/31/65

RATING GUIDE AND CHECK-LIST

Note: Only those items having pertinent bearing on employee's performance should be rated. All employees in same salary grade should be compared.

RATE ITEMS AS FOLLOWS:

- + Outstanding (exceeding excellent and deserving of special commendation).
E Excellent.
✓ Satisfactory (good or very good).
- Unsatisfactory.
0 No opportunity to appraise performance during rating period.

Guide for determining adjective ratings:

- "Outstanding" adjective rating requires (A) that all elements be + and (B) that each and every rated element be factually justified by narrative details, including reasons for considering each worthy of Special Commendation and be attached to FD-185a.
- "Excellent," "Satisfactory" or "Unsatisfactory" adjective ratings will depend upon the composite result of evaluating all rated elements rather than following any mechanical formulas; however, for an employee to be rated "Excellent" he must not be rated unsatisfactory on any performance evaluation factors on the rating guide and check-list and must be rated "Excellent" or "Outstanding" on the majority of such rating factors. Good judgment must be exercised to insure that adjective rating is reasonable in the light of elements rated.
 - Any element rated "Unsatisfactory" must be supported by narrative comments.
 - An official rating of "Unsatisfactory" must be supported in writing stating (1) wherein the performance is unsatisfactory, (2) the facts of the (90-day) prior warning, and (3) the efforts made after the warning to help the employee bring his performance up to a satisfactory level and must be attached to FD-185a.

- + (1) Personal appearance.
+ (2) Personality and effectiveness of his personal contacts.
+ (3) Attitude (including dependability, cooperativeness, loyalty, enthusiasm, amenability and willingness to equitably share work load).
+ (4) Physical fitness (including health, energy, stamina).
+ (5) Resourcefulness and ingenuity.
+ (6) Forcefulness and aggressiveness as required.
E (7) Judgment, including common sense, ability to arrive at proper conclusions, ability to define objectives.
+ (8) Initiative and the taking of appropriate action on own responsibility.
+ (9) Planning ability and its application to the work.
+ (10) Accuracy and attention to pertinent detail.
+ (11) Industry, including energetic, consistent application to duties.
+ (12) Productivity, including amount of acceptable work produced and rate of progress on or completion of assignments. Also consider adherence to deadlines unless failure to meet is attributable to causes beyond employee's control.
E (13) Knowledge of duties, instructions, rules and regulations, including readiness of comprehension and "know how" of application.
0 (14) Technical or mechanical skills.
E (15) Investigative ability and results:
 - + (a) Internal security cases
 - + (b) Criminal or general investigative cases
 - + (c) Fugitive cases
 - + (d) Applicant cases
 - + (e) Accounting cases- 0 (16) Physical surveillance ability.

- + (17) Firearms ability.
E (18) Development of informants and sources of information.
E (19) Reporting ability:
 - 0 (a) Investigative reports
 - E (b) Summary reports
 - E (c) Memos, letters, wires
(Consider: + conciseness; + clarity; + organization; + thoroughness; + accuracy; + adequacy and pertinency of leads; + administrative detail.)- 0 (20) Performance as a witness.
- + (21) Executive ability:
 - + (a) Leadership
 - + (b) Ability to handle personnel
 - + (c) Planning
 - + (d) Making decisions
 - + (e) Assignment of work
 - + (f) Training subordinates
 - + (g) Devising procedures
 - + (h) Emotional stability
 - + (i) Promoting high morale
 - + (j) Getting results
- E (22) Ability on raids and dangerous assignments:
 - + (a) As leader
 - + (b) As participant
- E (23) Organizational interest, such as making of suggestions for improvement.
- + (24) Ability to work under pressure.
- E (25) Miscellaneous. Specify and rate:
 - + Dictation ability

A. Specify general nature of assignment during most of rating period (such as security, criminal, applicant squad, or as Resident Agent, supervisor, instructor, etc.):

Assistant Special Agent in Charge

B. Specify employee's most noteworthy special talents (such as investigator, desk man, research, instructor, speaker):

Desk man, speaker, administrator

- C. (1) Is employee available for general assignment wherever needs of service require? yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)
 (2) Is employee available for special assignment wherever needs of service require? yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)

D. 1. Has employee had an abnormal sick leave record during rating period? no 2. Has employee used more sick leave (including annual leave or LWOP for illness) during rating period than the amount of sick leave earned during such period? no (If answer to either question is "yes," explain in narrative comments.)

E. Is employee qualified to operate a motor vehicle incidental to his official duties? ☒ Yes ☐ No
 If answer is "yes," personnel file must reflect the following: (a) Has valid State or local operator's license for type vehicle he is to use.
 (b) Is physically fit to drive. (c) Past safe driving record OK or has passed Bureau road test.

ADJECTIVE RATING: Excellent
 Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

EMPLOYEE'S INITIALS

JWL

NARRATIVE COMMENTS

1. PERSONAL APPEARANCE AND PERSONALITY:

ASAC J. WALLACE LA PRADE is always immaculately dressed in conservative good taste and makes a splendid appearance. He is poised, is a good conversationalist and handles himself extremely well under all circumstances.

2. ABILITY TO PARTICIPATE IN RAIDS AND DANGEROUS ASSIGNMENTS:

During the rating period he has had occasion to participate several times in dangerous assignments in a leadership capacity and has demonstrated that he readily has the necessary qualities and technical knowledge to handle this area of his responsibilities very effectively.

3. LIMITATIONS ON AVAILABILITY; PHYSICAL LIMITATIONS AFFECTING PERFORMANCE; AND SICK LEAVE INFORMATION:

He is completely available for any special or general assignment and has no physical limitations adversely affecting his performance.

4. TYPE OF CASES OR WORK HANDLED AND APPRAISAL OF OVER-ALL PERFORMANCE, INCLUDING ABILITY TO HANDLE COMPLICATED INVESTIGATIVE MATTERS AND SUPERVISION REQUIRED:

Mr. LA PRADE, as Assistant Special Agent in Charge, has supervised approximately one-half of the case load in this office which includes criminal, applicant and security type investigations. He has a wealth of knowledge concerning Bureau regulations and procedures, extensive practical experience, having investigated matters of this nature in the past, and uses this accumulated knowledge to excellent advantage in his present assignment. He is aggressive, enthusiastic, resourceful and ingenious and, with a minimum of supervision, can be depended upon to take the initiative in matters under his responsibility, institute proper procedures and see them through to a logical conclusion. In my absence from the office he has had frequent occasion to be in charge, does so with equanimity, confidence and complete assurance on my part that he will exercise good judgment in any emergency situations which may arise. He has had extensive administrative experience and handles these duties in a superior manner. In the area of contact work Mr. LA PRADE has been given a considerable number of speaking and public appearance assignments and has received many commendatory comments.

Just

5. NUMBER OF INCENTIVE AWARDS AND COMMENDATIONS RECEIVED:

By letter dated 4/22/64 He was commended through the SAC for his splendid supervision of an important ITSP case. By letter dated 12/7/64 he was commended through the SAC for his major contributions in the planning and apprehension of a top ten fugitive. By letter dated 2/4/65 (see addendum)

6. DISCIPLINARY ACTION AND JUSTIFICATION FOR ANY UNSATISFACTORY ITEMS:

(List items taken into consideration on rating guide and check list.)

By letter dated 5/11/64 he was censured for 2 substantive errors detected during an office inspection. One concerned the failure to acknowledge receipt of a complaint from another Government agency, and the second involved unnecessary opening of cases. Item 13 of the rating guide has been considered in this connection. By letter dated 2/26/65 he was censured for a substantive delinquency found during another office inspection. This concerned the handling of a complaint in accordance with Bureau procedures. Item 13 was taken into consideration in this connection.

7. PARTICIPATION IN INFORMANT PROGRAMS:

Mr. LA PRADE supervises the security informant program in this office. Much progress has been made in this field which is attributable in no small manner to the emphasis and enthusiasm he has asserted.

8. TESTIFYING EXPERIENCE AND ABILITY:

He has not testified during the rating period but has done so in the past and is a competent Government witness.

9. ACCOUNTING INFORMATION:

N/A

10. POLICE INSTRUCTION:

N/A

11. RESIDENT AGENTS:

N/A

12. EXPERIENCE AND ABILITY AS INSPECTOR'S AIDE:

N/A

13. FOREIGN LANGUAGE ABILITY:

N/A

Language in which proficient _____

Completed language school ☐ Yes ☐ No

Fluent in _____ language to extent Agent can handle typical investigative problems as follows: (1) Conversation form ☐ Yes ☐ No

(2) Written form ☐ Yes ☐ No

Evaluate language proficiency in each phase as excellent, very good, good, fair or unsatisfactory

<u>Language</u>	<u>Read</u>	<u>Write</u>	<u>Speak</u>	<u>Understand</u>
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Frequency _____ language ability used during rating period:

Frequency of use of _____ language ability anticipated during ensuing year:

14. ADMINISTRATIVE ADVANCEMENT:

(a) Agent is interested in administrative advancement. ☒ Yes ☐ No

(b) Agent is completely available for administrative advancement. ☒ Yes ☐ No

(c) Agent is considered completely qualified at present for administrative advancement, including experience, ability, personality and appearance. ☒ Yes ☐ No

(d) If answer to (c) is "Yes," Agent's qualifications considered
☐ very good ☒ excellent ☐ outstanding

(e) If answer to (c) is "No," Agent considered to have potential for future administrative advancement. (If applicable, explanatory comments required.) ☐ Yes ☐ No

Mr. LA PRADE, based on his intelligence, personal characteristics and experience, is certainly SAC material and could function very effectively at this time as an inspector at the Seat of Government.

5. NUMBER OF INCENTIVE AWARDS AND COMMENDATIONS RECEIVED: (cont'd)

he was commended for his superior supervision in an impersonation case of great interest to the Bureau.

228 179 62 65 99A

1951

just

9/14/65

CODE

RADIOGRAM

DEFERRED

TO SAC MILWAUKEE

FROM DIRECTOR FBI

ASAC J. WALLACE LA PRADE

ADVISE ASAC LA PRADE HIS ATTENDANCE AT CRIMINAL INTELLIGENCE SCHOOL OCTOBER TWENTY-FIFTH NEXT IS HEREBY CANCELED. HE WILL BE RESCHEDULED BY THE BUREAU NEXT YEAR.

- 1 - Mr. Casper
1 - Mr. Hereford
1 - Movement

EW:ers
(6)

REC-138

67-430 338-
Searched _____ Numbered _____
2 SEP 16 1965

NR.	151502
ENC.	RM
CK	RM
APPROVED BY	RR
FILED BY	
LOGGED BY	

NOTE: The In-Service training of ASAC LaPrade is being canceled since SAC E. C. Williams, who is on the schedule to come in 11/22/65, is under transfer from San Diego to Milwaukee. We have been following the rule of not bringing in the SAC and ASAC within five months of one another.

LeP
Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
DeLoach _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

142
MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☒

VIA RADIOGRAM

SEP 15 1965

11:42 AM BMS

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

NAME: LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE

SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER

NOTIFICATION OF BASIC CHANGE

CODE - NATURE OF ACTION

<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>

892 - QUALITY INCREASE

893 - WITHIN GRADE INCREASE

894 - PAY ADJUSTMENT

<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>

896 - ADMIN. PAY INCREASE

897 - ADMIN. PAY DECREASE

OTHER (SPECIFY IN REMARKS)

EFFECTIVE DATE

DATE OF LAST EQUIV. INCR.

GRADE OR LEVEL

STEP OR RATE

OLD SALARY

NEW SALARY

DATA ON UNPAID ABSENCE

PERIOD(S)

TOTAL EXCESS

IN PAY STATUS AT END OF WAITING PERIOD

INITIALS

3/sjh

☐ EMPLOYEE'S WORK IS OF AN ACCEPTABLE LEVEL OF COMPETENCE.

☐ EMPLOYEE'S PERFORMANCE RATING IS SATISFACTORY OR BETTER.

REMARKS:

J. Edgar Hoover

37-NOT RECORDED

11 JUL 22 1965

JOHN EDGAR HOOVER
DIRECTOR

PERSONNEL FILE COPY

(DATE)



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**

*In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.*

Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20535

Dear Sir:

For inclusion in the fund to be paid to the designated beneficiary of any Special Agent of the FBI who has previously contributed to this fund and who dies from any cause except self-destruction while employed as a Special Agent, I am forwarding herewith (by Check - Money Order) the sum of \$10, payable to S.A.I.F., to be included in said fund. Payment will be made for death by self-destruction after the Agent has been a member of the fund for a continuous period of two years. It is understood and agreed that the sum tendered herewith is a voluntary, gratuitous contribution to said fund which I understand is to be administered in the following manner.

The Director of the FBI will appoint a committee which shall consider all matters pertaining to the acquisition, safe keeping and expending of said fund, which committee will recommend appropriate action to the Director in pertinent matters. The Assistant Director of the Administrative Division of the FBI shall receive all contributions and account for same to the Director. Upon the death of any Special Agent who is a member of said fund the appointed committee will consider the case and submit a recommendation to the Director as to its conclusions. Appropriate instructions will then be issued to the Assistant Director of the Administrative Division, directing him to pay to the designated beneficiary the sum of \$10,000. The liability of the fund shall not under any circumstances exceed the amount of monies in the fund at the time any liability shall occur.

EXECUTE IN DUPLICATE AND SUBMIT BOTH COPIES TO THE BUREAU

Official Bureau Name (please type or print)	Date	Office of Assignment (or SOG Division)
SA J. WALLACE LA PRADE	5-24-65	Milwaukee Division

The following person is designated as my beneficiary for Special Agents Insurance Fund:

Name (primary beneficiary; use given first name if female)	Relationship
ELIZABETH K. LA PRADE	Wife

Address

5849 No. Witte Lane, Glendale, Wis.

Name (contingent beneficiary, if desired; use given first name if female)	Relationship

Address

Do you desire to designate the above-listed beneficiaries as the beneficiary and contingent beneficiary respectively of the Chas. S. Ross Fund as well? ☒ Yes ☐ No If not, the entire following portion must be executed.

The following person is designated as my beneficiary under the Chas. S. Ross Fund providing \$1500 death benefit to beneficiary of agents killed in the line of duty, other than travel accidents.

Name (primary beneficiary; use given first name if female)	Relationship

Address

Name (contingent beneficiary, if desired; use given first name if female)	Relationship

Address

Payment Received
Special Agents Insurance Fund

JUN 4 1965

J. Edgar Hoover, Director

Very truly yours,

J. Wallace LaPrade
Special Agent

3-ecd

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

REPORT OF PERFORMANCE RATING

Name of Employee: J. WALLACE LA PRADE

Where Assigned: Milwaukee
(Division)

(Section, Unit)

Official Position Title and Grade: Ass't. Special Agent in Charge, GS-14

Rating Period: from 4-1-65 to 9-10-65

ADJECTIVE RATING: EXCELLENT
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

Employee's Initials

Rated by:

Richard J. Baker
Signature

Special Agent
in Charge
Title

9-10-65
Date

Reviewed by:

Signature

Title

Date

Rating Approved by:

Signature

Assistant Director

Title

Date

SEP 22 1965

TYPE OF REPORT

☐ Official☐ Annual☒ Administrative☐ 60-Day☐ 90-Day☐ Transfer☐ Separation from Service☒ Special

Search:

1 SEP 20 1965

REC-137

17

SEP 23 1965

FBI/ME

PERFORMANCE RATING GUIDE FOR INVESTIGATIVE PERSONNEL

(For use as attachment to Performance Rating Form No. FD-185)

Name of Employee J. WALLACE LA PRADE Title Ass't. Special Agent in Charge, GS-14
Rating Period: from 4-1-65 to 9-10-65

RATING GUIDE AND CHECK-LIST

Note: Only those items having pertinent bearing on employee's performance should be rated. All employees in same salary grade should be compared.

RATE ITEMS AS FOLLOWS:

- + Outstanding (exceeding excellent and deserving of special commendation).
E Excellent.
✓ Satisfactory (good or very good).
- Unsatisfactory.
0 No opportunity to appraise performance during rating period.

Guide for determining adjective ratings:

- "Outstanding" adjective rating requires (A) that all elements be + and (B) that each and every rated element be factually justified by narrative details, including reasons for considering each worthy of Special Commendation and be attached to FD-185a.
- "Excellent," "Satisfactory" or "Unsatisfactory" adjective ratings will depend upon the composite result of evaluating all rated elements rather than following any mechanical formulas; however, for an employee to be rated "Excellent" he must not be rated unsatisfactory on any performance evaluation factors on the rating guide and check-list and must be rated "Excellent" or "Outstanding" on the majority of such rating factors. Good judgment must be exercised to insure that adjective rating is reasonable in the light of elements rated.
 - Any element rated "Unsatisfactory" must be supported by narrative comments.
 - An official rating of "Unsatisfactory" must be supported in writing stating (1) wherein the performance is unsatisfactory, (2) the facts of the (90-day) prior warning, and (3) the efforts made after the warning to help the employee bring his performance up to a satisfactory level and must be attached to FD-185a.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <u>+</u> (1) Personal appearance. | <u>+</u> (17) Firearms ability. |
| <u>+</u> (2) Personality and effectiveness of his personal contacts. | <u>+</u> (18) Development of informants and sources of information. |
| <u>+</u> (3) Attitude (including dependability, cooperativeness, loyalty, enthusiasm, amenability and willingness to equitably share work load). | <u>+</u> (19) Reporting ability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>0</u> (a) Investigative reports <u>0</u> (b) Summary reports <u>+</u> (c) Memos, letters, wires (Consider: <u>+</u> conciseness; <u>+</u> clarity; <u>+</u> organization; <u>+</u> thoroughness; <u>+</u> accuracy; <u>+</u> adequacy and pertinency of leads; <u>+</u> administrative detail.) |
| <u>+</u> (4) Physical fitness (including health, energy, stamina). | <u>0</u> (20) Performance as a witness. |
| <u>+</u> (5) Resourcefulness and ingenuity. | <u>+</u> (21) Executive ability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (a) Leadership <u>+</u> (b) Ability to handle personnel <u>+</u> (c) Planning <u>+</u> (d) Making decisions <u>+</u> (e) Assignment of work <u>+</u> (f) Training subordinates <u>+</u> (g) Devising procedures <u>+</u> (h) Emotional stability <u>+</u> (i) Promoting high morale <u>+</u> (j) Getting results |
| <u>+</u> (6) Forcefulness and aggressiveness as required. | <u>E</u> (22) Ability on raids and dangerous assignments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>E</u> (a) As leader <u>E</u> (b) As participant |
| <u>+</u> (7) Judgment, including common sense, ability to arrive at proper conclusions, ability to define objectives. | <u>E</u> (23) Organizational interest, such as making of suggestions for improvement. |
| <u>+</u> (8) Initiative and the taking of appropriate action on own responsibility. | <u>+</u> (24) Ability to work under pressure. |
| <u>+</u> (9) Planning ability and its application to the work. | <u>+</u> (25) Miscellaneous. Specify and rate: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>E</u> Dictation ability |
| <u>+</u> (10) Accuracy and attention to pertinent detail. | |
| <u>+</u> (11) Industry, including energetic, consistent application to duties. | |
| <u>+</u> (12) Productivity, including amount of acceptable work produced and rate of progress on or completion of assignments. Also consider adherence to deadlines unless failure to meet is attributable to causes beyond employee's control. | |
| <u>+</u> (13) Knowledge of duties, instructions, rules and regulations, including readiness of comprehension and "know how" of application. | |
| <u>0</u> (14) Technical or mechanical skills. | |
| <u>E</u> (15) Investigative ability and results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (a) Internal security cases <u>+</u> (b) Criminal or general investigative cases <u>+</u> (c) Fugitive cases <u>+</u> (d) Applicant cases <u>0</u> (e) Accounting cases | |
| <u>0</u> (16) Physical surveillance ability. | |

A. Specify general nature of assignment during most of rating period (such as security, criminal, applicant squad, or as Resident Agent, supervisor, instructor, etc.):

Ass't. Special Agent in Charge

B. Specify employee's most noteworthy special talents (such as investigator, desk man, research, instructor, speaker):

Desk man, speaker, administrator

- C. (1) Is employee available for general assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)
(2) Is employee available for special assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)

D. 1. Has employee had an abnormal sick leave record during rating period? No 2. Has employee used more sick leave (including annual leave or LWOP for illness) during rating period than the amount of sick leave earned during such period? No (If answer to either question is "yes," explain in narrative comments.)

E. Is employee qualified to operate a motor vehicle incidental to his official duties? ☒ Yes ☐ No
If answer is "yes," personnel file must reflect the following: (a) Has valid State or local operator's license for type vehicle he is to use.
(b) Is physically fit to drive. (c) Past safe driving record OK or has passed Bureau road test.

ADJECTIVE RATING:

EXCELLENT

Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

EMPLOYEE'S INITIALS

Jan

NARRATIVE COMMENTS

1. PERSONAL APPEARANCE AND PERSONALITY:

Mr. LA PRADE is always carefully attired in excellent, conservative, businesslike taste, and makes an outstanding personal appearance. He is poised, personable, intelligent and articulate, and makes a splendid representative of the Bureau.

2. ABILITY TO PARTICIPATE IN RAIDS AND DANGEROUS ASSIGNMENTS:


He is entirely capable of taking part in dangerous assignments, has done so in a leadership capacity during the rating period, and has demonstrated without question his ability along these lines.

3. LIMITATIONS ON AVAILABILITY; PHYSICAL LIMITATIONS AFFECTING PERFORMANCE; AND SICK LEAVE INFORMATION:

He possesses no physical limitations and is completely available for any special or general assignment.

4. TYPE OF CASES OR WORK HANDLED AND APPRAISAL OF OVER-ALL PERFORMANCE INCLUDING ABILITY TO HANDLE COMPLICATED INVESTIGATIVE MATTERS AND SUPERVISION REQUIRED:

Mr. LA PRADE has functioned as Ass't. Special Agent in Charge during the entire rating period. In this capacity he has supervised investigative cases on one of the two desks in this office, these cases including general criminal matters, applicant matters and security investigations. He is extremely well qualified, by reason of his prior experience in the Bureau, to handle not only case supervisory duties but the necessary administrative responsibilities as well. He has shown a decided affinity for administrative work, accepts responsibility easily, engenders the respect of his subordinates and is extremely effective in a position of responsibility. He displays great enthusiasm for his work, follows through in proper fashion on instructions which he issues to insure they are carried out properly, and is dissatisfied with anything less than optimum achievements. He has made a number of personal appearances during the rating period, is a fine speaker and representative of the Bureau, and has been the recipient of many commendatory comments. His relations with the news media have been excellent and he has obtained invaluable experience in this area. He has functioned as head of the office on many occasions in my absence and has done a splendid job. He can be depended upon in these circumstances to exercise mature judgment and carry out previously agreed upon policy and procedures. Needless to say, in his position and with his experience he is fully competent to handle the most complex or delicate investigative matter with complete assurance that he will do so in a highly professional manner. His work requires little or no direction or supervision.



5. NUMBER OF INCENTIVE AWARDS AND COMMENDATIONS RECEIVED:

N/A

6. DISCIPLINARY ACTION AND JUSTIFICATION FOR ANY UNSATISFACTORY ITEMS:

(List items taken into consideration on rating guide and check list.)

N/A

7. PARTICIPATION IN INFORMANT PROGRAMS:

Mr. LA PRADE handles the Security Informant program on his desk, as well as Racial informants. This is an area of constantly increasing importance for the Bureau, and he has fulfilled his responsibilities in this connection in an outstanding manner.

8. TESTIFYING EXPERIENCE AND ABILITY:

Mr. LA PRADE has had no occasion to testify during the rating period but has previously done so, and is a competent Government witness.

9. ACCOUNTING INFORMATION:

N/A

10. POLICE INSTRUCTION:

N/A

11. RESIDENT AGENTS:

N/A

12. EXPERIENCE AND ABILITY AS INSPECTOR'S AIDE:

N/A

13. FOREIGN LANGUAGE ABILITY:

N/A

Language in which proficient _____

Completed language school ☐ Yes ☐ No

Fluent in _____ language to extent Agent can handle typical investigative problems as follows: (1) Conversation form ☐ Yes ☐ No

(2) Written form ☐ Yes ☐ No

Evaluate language proficiency in each phase as excellent, very good, good, fair or unsatisfactory

<u>Language</u>	<u>Read</u>	<u>Write</u>	<u>Speak</u>	<u>Understand</u>
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Frequency _____ language ability used during rating period:

Frequency of use of _____ language ability anticipated during ensuing year:

14. ADMINISTRATIVE ADVANCEMENT:

(a) Agent is interested in administrative advancement. ☒ Yes ☐ No

(b) Agent is completely available for administrative advancement. ☒ Yes ☐ No

(c) Agent is considered completely qualified at present for administrative advancement, including experience, ability, personality and appearance. ☒ Yes ☐ No

(d) If answer to (c) is "Yes," Agent's qualifications considered.
☐ very good ☒ excellent ☐ outstanding

(e) If answer to (c) is "No," Agent considered to have potential for future administrative advancement. (If applicable, explanatory comments required.) ☐ Yes ☐ No

Since his assignment as ASAC in Milwaukee, Mr. LaPRADE has had intensive and varied experience. Based on his performance during the approximately two years of my observation of him, I have no hesitation whatsoever in stating that he is eminently qualified for further administrative advancement and could handle additional responsibilities at this time as an Inspector at the SOG.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: October 14, 1965

FROM : SAC, Milwaukee (66-140)

SUBJECT: INSPECTION, MILWAUKEE DIVISION
1/29/65 - 2/12/65

Mr. Tamm	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Felt	_____
Mr. Gale	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

ReBulet 10-12-65.

Enclosed are original and one copy each of a memorandum from SA [redacted] and ASAC J. WALLACE DA PRADE.

b6
b7C

SA [redacted] has been thoroughly admonished for his delays in this matter. He has been informed that any future delays of this type will not be tolerated.

b6
b7C

A tickler is being set and the work of SA [redacted] will be reviewed on a two-week basis with him, to assure that he is keeping current.

b6
b7C

It is not recommended that any further action be taken at this time.

2 - Bureau (Enc. 4)
2 - Milwaukee
ECW:mcs
(4)

ENCLOSURE

Enclosures

REC-139

430538-120

Don't know
5-MR

OVERC

SEE ADDENDUM PAGE TWO

XEROX

NOV 5 1965

THREE
JIC

ADDENDUM: DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION 10-27-65 MJR:klb

During inspection of Milwaukee Office, 1-29-65 to 2-12-65, the Inspector noted that in the security informant cases of [redacted] payment letters had not been submitted two weeks prior to expiration date of payments as required by Section 107N of the Manual of Instructions. SAC Milwaukee was cautioned to make certain future letters were submitted on time. b7D

Bureau letter of 10-12-65 to Milwaukee Office pointed out that [redacted] had been discontinued and that in the case of [redacted] a letter dated 8-30-65 had been submitted with effective date of payments 9-15-65. However, in the case of [redacted] with expiration date of payments 5-15-65, a letter dated 5-20-65 had been submitted and in the case of [redacted] with expiration date of payments 8-30-65, a letter dated 9-1-65 had been submitted. SAC Milwaukee was requested to explain failure to submit the payment letters within the required time in the cases of [redacted] and [redacted] particularly in view of the observations furnished by the Inspector, and to submit recommendation for administrative action, if warranted. b7D

SAC, Milwaukee, encloses explanations from SA [redacted] to whom cases of [redacted] are assigned, and explanation from ASAC J. Wallace LaPrade, on whose desk security informant cases are supervised. The SAC states SA [redacted] has been thoroughly admonished for his delay in this matter and informed that any future delays of this type will not be tolerated. He adds that a tickler is being set and the work of this Agent will be reviewed on a two-week basis with him to assure he is keeping current. He recommends that no further action be taken at this time. b6 b7C b7D

SA [redacted] in his explanation states that the high case load carried by him, including such important matters as the development of sources of information concerning racial activities and the preparation and participation in riot control police schools, contributed substantially to the delays. He states that the press of other cases caused him to overlook the prompt submission of payment letters in question. He adds that every effort will be made to assure this situation will not occur in the future. b6 b7C

ASAC LaPrade in his explanation points out that an administrative tickler on each informant who is paid is set up. This tickler comes up one month in advance of the effective date and the Agent to whom the case is assigned is notified at the time the

SEE ADDENDUM CONTINUED PAGE THREE

ADDENDUM CONTINUED: DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION 10-27-65-MJR:klb

tickler comes up. He states that although SA [] has been b6 assigned a heavy case load, this is true of other Agents in the office b7C handling security-type work. He adds that during the pertinent period SA [] was busily engaged in handling racial matters and participating in riot control schools. He states SA [] has been admonished for the delay and clearly informed that regardless of the high volume of work, it is expected he will perform in such a fashion that the delays will be kept at a minimum. He adds that SA [] work will be followed more closely in the future.

OBSERVATIONS:

While ASAC LaPrade mentions the administrative procedure he follows to be advised one month before the effective date of payments and of informing the Agent, he does not state that he followed the cases to make certain SA [] complied. b6 Instead, he places the blame on the Agent. Since the Inspector b7C pointed out previous delays and cautioned that future letters should be submitted on time, ASAC LaPrade should be censured for having failed to avoid delays in the submission of the letters. Furthermore, SA [] should be censured for failing to submit the letters when due.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

(1) ASAC LaPrade and SA [] be censured for having failed to comply with instructions that payment letters in the cases of [] be submitted without delay. b6 b7C

(2) This be referred to the Administrative Division for b7D preparation of letters of censure. Letters of censure to SAs LaPrade and [] are attached.

Memorandum

TO : SAC, Milwaukee (66-140)

DATE: October 14, 1965

FROM : *JW* ASAC J. Wallace LaPrade

SUBJECT: INSPECTION, MILWAUKEE DIVISION
1-29-65 -- 2-12-65.

ReBulet 10-12-65.

An administrative tickler is maintained on all informants who are paid, which tickler comes up one month in advance of the effective date. The Agent to whom the case is assigned is notified at that time that the letter for continuing payments is due. This device is designed to afford the Agent appropriate time to get the necessary correspondence off to the Bureau two weeks prior to the expiration date, in accordance with requirements contained in Section 107, Manual of Instructions.

The two matters involved here are delays attributable to the same Agent. He has been assigned a heavy case load; however, this is true of all Agents in the Division handling similar type work. During the pertinent period, as indicated by SA [redacted] in his memorandum, he was busily engaged in handling racial matters and participating in Riot Control schools.

b6
b7C

It has been necessary to emphasize to SA [redacted] that regardless of the high volume of work, it is expected that he will perform in such a fashion that delays will be kept at a minimum. He has been particularly admonished for his delay in submission of payment letters in these matters.

b6
b7C

The work of this Agent will be followed more closely in the future.

JWL:mcs
(3)

67-43033 8-120

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 11/5/65

FROM : SAC, Milwaukee

SUBJECT: J. WALLACE LA PRADE, ASAC

(Employee's present payroll name)

MILWAUKEE DIVISION

(Division)

PAYROLL NAME (List as desired on payroll)

J. WALLACE LA PRADE

ADDRESS AND PHONE CHANGE

Present phone number (city) 374-4044 Milwaukee, Wis.	
<input type="checkbox"/> FD-310 enclosed	Local address (Number Street City State)

NOTE (The following must be executed in reporting BIRTHS or CHANGES IN MARITAL STATUS.)

Has spouse ever been an employee of the Bureau or an applicant for Bureau employment?

1. ☐ No 2. ☐ Yes ☐ Present ☐ Former ☐ Applicant

MARITAL STATUS

Married to - Show full (maiden) name of spouse	Date and place of marriage
Data re spouse	
Birth date	Birthplace
Legal Residence	Occupation
Office indices re spouse and relatives (use Addendum, if necessary)	
Credit and arrest records re spouse (use Addendum, if necessary)	
FD-292 enclosed 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes 2. <input type="checkbox"/> No Date it will be submitted	
FD-310 enclosed 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes 2. <input type="checkbox"/> No Date it will be submitted	
Name, address, and telephone number of person to be notified in case of emergency	

BIRTHS

Girl named	Boy named
Born on	Birthplace
To employee and (Name of spouse)	
This is their child	

Enc.

①-Bureau 1-Milwaukee
JWL:mk (2)

F B I

Date: November 30, 1965

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority)TO : DIRECTOR, FBI ATTN. CRIME RECORDS DIVISION

FROM: SAC, MILWAUKEE (80-1-8713)

SPEECH MATTERS
MILWAUKEE DIVISION

Re Milwaukee Airtel to Bureau 11-23-65, concerning a speech to be given by ASAC J. Wallace LaPrade before the Forum on Public Affairs at Wisconsin State University, Platteville, Wis., on 12-8-65.

Enclosed are original and two copies of the manuscript of remarks to be made,

Mr. HARVEY D. MILLER, head of the Political Science Department at the university, has requested that a copy of the remarks to be made be supplied to him at the earliest convenience. Accordingly, UACB, copies of the enclosure will be mailed to Mr. MILLER on 12-6-65.

REC-144

3 - Bureau (Air Mail) (Enc. 3) ENCLOSURE

1 - Milwaukee (80-1-8713)

JWL:mcs

(4)

Copy including 1 copy
enclosure attached.
Crime Rec. Div.
5/1/66

remitted 12-3-65
8/1/66
J

DEC 7 1965

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

M

Per _____

plc
TRANS. REC. UNIT

Remarks of J. Wallace LaPrade,
Assistant Special Agent in Charge,
Federal Bureau of Investigation,
before the Forum on Public Affairs,
Wisconsin State University,
Platteville, Wis., December 8, 1965

CRIME AND DELINQUENCY IN AMERICA

America is being threatened by an atrocious crime problem which continues to increase. There are streets and parks of cities which are jungles of fear, and residents do not dare walk alone at night. Citizens of some communities ignore and refuse to render any assistance to victims of beatings, robbery and sex crimes. Civil disobedience, the acts of young hoodlums, probationary sentences and early release on parole are all factors which must be considered in this increase of crime.

In this land of the free, consisting of in excess of 190 million citizens, we strive for justice for all. The violators must receive just punishment if the innocent victims of crime are to enjoy any degree of justice, in our society.

More than 2,600,000 serious crimes were reported by police departments during 1964. This is a rise of 13% over 1963. Since 1958, crime has increased six times faster than our population growth. Nationally, there were 14 serious offenses per 1,000 inhabitants in 1964. This is an 11% rise in rate over 1963. Crimes in suburban areas have the sharpest trend, being up 17%.

ENCLOSURE

Many would like to believe this increase is not real, and such individuals have attempted to alter this picture by meaningless analysis and explanations. It would be wonderful to eliminate the problem in such a manner, but unfortunately this is not possible, and these figures are based on fact and facts which must be confronted with determination to find solutions, as opposed to fantasy.

To illustrate further, here is what happens in our beloved land as time ticks away: There are 5 serious crimes each minute; a murder, forcible rape or assault to kill every $2\frac{1}{2}$ minutes; a murder every hour; a forcible rape every 26 minutes; an aggravated assault every 3 minutes; a robbery every 5 minutes; a burglary every 28 seconds; a larceny every 45 seconds; an automobile stolen each minute. These crimes are occurring 24 hours a day -- even as you sleep.

This is what happens in a nation founded on religious convictions of the people, a nation which has progressed technologically, economically and in practically every other area, to heights which startle the imagination. Certainly the genius of such accomplishments properly employed can find a way to live with law and order prevailing.

Who are the people arrested for the serious offenses I have mentioned? Young people comprised 48% of all police arrests last year. Arrests for persons under 18 rose 15%, while adult arrests were up 9%. In suburban communities, more than half of the total arrests comprised young people, compared to 38% in the rural areas.

Nationally, males are arrested 8 times more often than females. The over-all arrest trend for females, however, shows a sharp rise of 20%.

The crime statistics cited are based on crimes occurring in 1964, and were supplied by police departments throughout the United States. Current figures just released for the first 9 months of 1965, show crime increased 5% during this period. Violent crimes this year were led by forcible rape, with a 6% increase; murder and robbery each up 5%, and aggravated assault 2%.

Many of our citizens have been captivated by the seditious slogan of "Civil Disobedience." Such acts cannot be accepted as normal behavior in our democratic society of free men. Every violator of every law can and usually does justify to himself his breaking of the law. If citizens are counseled and taught to disregard laws, we are surely inviting chaos.

Look at what is being taught by some! Recently, a college professor reportedly urged a group of students at the University of California to burn their draft cards, refuse to pay income taxes and, if necessary, go to jail for their actions. An interesting paradox, I submit, is that the freedom the draft-card-burning students are demanding exists only because loyal, selfless Americans died for such freedom.

FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover recently expressed concern that national leaders are doing the civil rights movement a great disservice by suggesting that citizens need only obey the laws with which they agree. He pointed out that such an attitude breeds disrespect for the law and even civil disorder and rioting. The citizen, he pointed out, has no latitude as to what laws he must obey, and if he feels a law is wrong or unjust, he has recourse to established constitutional procedures to have it changed through his legally elected representatives.

Individuals, leaders and anyone promoting or condoning acts of violating laws under the guise of freedom are furthering a society which seeks license -- not freedom.

The attitude young hoodlums are being encouraged to develop, which is "I can get away with anything -- I am a juvenile!" is obviously most dangerous and one with which our society must be concerned. A youth of lawlessness is hard put to develop into an adult who is law abiding.

The basic elements of right and wrong, as well as the discipline to obey and respect laws, are seldom acquired accidentally, but are more usually acquired by concerted effort on the part of parents and other adult leaders in a community. Juvenile acts overlooked and permitted to go unpunished result in contempt for an orderly society. Youthful criminal actions can be a deterrent in adult life to obtaining positions of responsibility and trust in both private industry and government. The non-disciplined individual who insists on doing just as he pleases, does so at the expense of those around him.

Think if you will, also, of how many criminals get out of prison and immediately launch into another spree of crime -- usually with succeeding crimes committed becoming more serious.

The FBI recently completed an examination of the records of nearly 93,000 criminals who were arrested in 1963 and 1964. This disclosed that 76% -- more than 3/4 -- had been arrested on at least one previous occasion. Over half had received lenient treatment, including parole, probation and suspended sentences at some point in their criminal careers -- and these criminals averaged more than three arrests after their first offense was committed.

Fortyone years ago, an experienced attorney and jurist in New York issued the strong warning, "It is not the criminals, actual or potential, that need a neurophatic hospital. It is the people who slobber over them in an effort to find excuses for their crimes. The demand of the hour in America, above all other countries, is for jurors with conscience, judges with courage and prisons which are neither country clubs nor health resorts."

The complacency of individual citizens and their insistence on not becoming involved presents a serious threat to law enforcement's efforts in coping with crime. There have been a number of instances highlighted in the news media where an individual was being attacked -- some of whom were murdered -- and citizens, recognizing what was occurring, turned their backs to the helpless victims.

Numerous scientific developments have made possible great achievements in scientific crime detection, and have assisted greatly law enforcement. The fact, however, still remains that in our system of justice, the jury and judge weigh facts of individuals, and citizens in a free society must assume a responsible position in cooperating with law enforcement. The law enforcement officer cannot do his job effectively, except the citizenry cooperate.

Recently, the President signed the Law Enforcement Assistance Act, which is a bill designed to help strengthen the delicate machinery of justice in this country. The President has also appointed a special commission on law enforcement and the administration of justice to look into and seek answers for the problems of crime control.

I submit to you that the law-abiding citizens of our country deserve the protection necessary to assure they will not suffer at the hands of vicious violators.

It is understandable that law-abiding citizens should become alarmed over the crime conditions in our country, because whether they are a direct victim of a criminal act or not, they still suffer the consequences. We all pay the price of crime. Crime is estimated to have cost \$27 billion dollars last year. This is an amount approximating 1/4 of our national budget. It is \$143.00 per person per year, or \$574.00 per family, or \$429.00 for each taxpayer. The citizen who turns his back and tries to escape being involved, still is affected.

The president of a college in another state, and a personal acquaintance, in addressing the freshman class this fall pointed out to them that they were in college to develop capabilities to manage the country, and in the learning process, do not occupy positions of managers of affairs.

The college student can play a vital role in this nation's system of justice, law and order. To do this, he must be a law-abiding citizen at the college level. He should be concerned, become informed, and always remember that with freedom, there is responsibility.

REC-139

November 2, 1965

PERSONAL

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Milwaukee, Wisconsin


Dear Mr. LaPrade:

It has been determined that you have not properly discharged your supervisory responsibilities in connection with the administrative handling of certain Security Informant cases. Although similar shortcomings were brought to your attention at the time of the last inspection of the Milwaukee Division, you apparently have not taken the necessary steps to correct this situation. The explanation you furnished concerning this matter is not acceptable.

Accordingly, in the future you will be expected to handle your responsibilities in a more competent manner so that there will be no further occasion to criticize you for a similar dereliction.

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover
John Edgar Hoover
Director



1 - SAC, Milwaukee (Personal Attention)

1 - SOG Milwaukee Division Personnel File

Based on SAC, Milwaukee letter to Director, 10/14/65, ECW:mcs with Addendum of Domestic Intelligence Division, 10/27/65, MJR:kib.

CRA:ped(5)

2 NOV 9 1965

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
DeLoach _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

NOV 2 9 49 AM '65
READING ROOM

Key...
NOV 26 1978

...
NOV 26 1978

March 18, 1966

PERSONAL

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Dear Mr. LaPrade:

You directed a confidential operation of much value to the Bureau in the security field in an exemplary manner and I want you to know of my appreciation.

I am aware of the difficulties encountered in this matter which were overcome with excellent judgment and discretion. The splendid results achieved clearly indicate your careful planning, close coordination and expert handling. It is a pleasure to commend you for your fine leadership.

Sincerely yours,
J. Edgar Hoover

1 - SAC, Milwaukee (Personal Attention)

Re:

1 - Miss Usilton (Sent Direct)

KEC:tad

(5)

67-430338

Based on Milwaukee letter 3/10/66 and addenda Domestic Intelligence Division 3/15/66 and Administrative Division 3/17/66 re: Recommendation for Letters of Commendation, Milwaukee Office Personnel.

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Wick _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM

TELETYPE UNIT

MAR 24 1966

b7D

SAC, Milwaukee

February 18, 1966

PERSONAL ATTENTION

Director, FBI

IN-SERVICE AND/OR CONFERENCES

☒ You are hereby instructed to report to Seat of Government for ITSP School commencing November 21, 1966.

☐ You should instruct _____ Special Agent(s) to report to Seat of Government, Room 5240, at 9:30 A. M. Monday, _____ for attendance at an In-Service Training Course for a period of twelve days. You should not schedule Agents on probation, limited duty, or those recommended for specialized training.

☒ You should instruct the following Agent(s) to report to Seat of Government, Room 5240, at 9:30 A. M. Monday, March 23, 1966, for attendance at an In-Service Training Course for a period of twelve days:

ASAC J. Wallace LaPrade - CCR-TGP School

DUPLICATE YELLOW

Confirm attendance.

☒ There are enclosed statements with respect to the In-Service Training Course which you should furnish to the Special Agent(s).

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Wick _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

Enc. 2

1 - Mr. Casper
1 - Mr. Hereford

1 - Personnel file of Paul H. Fields, Jr.

① - Personnel file of J. Wallace LaPrade

bjn

(6) MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**

*In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.*

Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20535

Dear Sir:

For inclusion in the fund to be paid to the designated beneficiary of any Special Agent of the FBI who has previously contributed to this fund and who dies from any cause except self-destruction while employed as a Special Agent, I am forwarding herewith (by Check - Money Order) the sum of \$10, payable to S.A.I.F., to be included in said fund. Payment will be made for death by self-destruction after the Agent has been a member of the fund for a continuous period of two years. It is understood and agreed that the sum tendered herewith is a voluntary, gratuitous contribution to said fund which I understand is to be administered in the following manner.

The Director of the FBI will appoint a committee which shall consider all matters pertaining to the acquisition, safe keeping and expending of said fund, which committee will recommend appropriate action to the Director in pertinent matters. The Assistant Director of the Administrative Division of the FBI shall receive all contributions and account for same to the Director. Upon the death of any Special Agent who is a member of said fund the appointed committee will consider the case and submit a recommendation to the Director as to its conclusions. Appropriate instructions will then be issued to the Assistant Director of the Administrative Division, directing him to pay to the designated beneficiary the sum of \$10,000. The liability of the fund shall not under any circumstances exceed the amount of monies in the fund at the time any liability shall occur.

EXECUTE IN DUPLICATE AND SUBMIT BOTH COPIES TO THE BUREAU

Official Bureau Name (please type or print)	Date	Office of Assignment (or SOG Division)
SA J. WALLACE LA PRADE	12-23-65	Milwaukee Office

The following person is designated as my beneficiary for Special Agents Insurance Fund:

Name (primary beneficiary; use given first name if female)	Relationship
ELIZABETH K. LA PRADE	Wife

Address
5849 No. Witte Lane, Glendale, Wis.

Name (contingent beneficiary, if desired; use given first name if female)	Relationship
None	

Address

Do you desire to designate the above-listed/beneficiaries as the beneficiary and contingent beneficiary respectively of the Chas. S. Ross Fund as well? ☒ Yes ☐ No If not, the entire following portion must be executed.

The following person is designated as my beneficiary under the Chas. S. Ross Fund providing \$1500 death benefit to beneficiary of agents killed in the line of duty, other than travel accidents.

Name (primary beneficiary; use given first name if female)	Relationship

Address

Name (contingent beneficiary, if desired; use given first name if female)	Relationship

Address

Very truly yours,

Payment Received
Special Agents Insurance Fund

JAN 1 1966

J. Wallace LaPrade
Special Agent J. Wallace LaPrade

J. Edgar Hoover, Director

8-ecd

CODE

3-22-66

PERS. REC. UNIT

RADIOGRAM

URGENT

TO SAC MILWAUKEE

PERSONAL ATTENTION

FROM DIRECTOR FBI

ASAC J. W. LAPRADE

IN-SERVICE

ADVISE ASAC J. W. LAPRADE THAT HIS IN-SERVICE
TRAINING (CRIME ON GOVERNMENT RESERVATION - THEFT OF
GOVERNMENT PROPERTY SCHOOL) SCHEDULED FOR MARCH
TWENTY-EIGHT NEXT IS HEREBY CANCELED. HE SHOULD REPORT
FOR CRIMINAL IN-SERVICE COMMENCING JUNE TWENTY-SEVEN
NEXT. CONFIRM ATTENDANCE BY RETURN MAIL ATTENTION

MOVEMENT UNIT. FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

REC-145

MAR 22 1966

TELETYPE

EWW:mah (5)

- 1 - Mr. Hereford
1 - Movement

Based on Callahan to Mohr memo 3-21-66 EWW:mah

If contact missed send by plain text teletype

INITIALED
DIRECTOR'S OFFICE

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Wick _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

MAR 23 1966

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

MAR 22 1966

TELETYPE

FBI MILWAUK

FBI WASH DC

228PM URGENT 3-22-66 SXC

TO MILWAUKEE -----PERSONAL ATTENTION-----

FROM DIRECTOR 1P

ASAC J. W. LAPRADE IN-SERVICE.

ADVISE ASAC J. W. LAPRADE THAT HIS IN-SERVICE
TRAINING (CRIME ON GOVERNMENT RESERVATION - THEFT OF
GOVERNMENT PROPERTY SCHOOL) SCHEDULED FOR MARCH
TWENTY-EIGHT NEXT IS HEREBY CANCELED. HE SHOULD REPORT
FOR CIMINAL IN-SERVICE COMMENCING JUNE TWENTY-SEVEN
NEXT. CONFIRM ATTENDANCE BY RETURN MAIL ATTENTION
MOVEMENT UNIT.

END

CORR LINE G5 WRD 2 SHD BE CRIMINAL

END

MI GBS

FBI MILWAUK

TU CLR

REPORT OF MEDICAL EXAMINATION

88-105
1A-0109-200-7002

1. LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME WALLACE, J. Wallace		2. GRADE AND COMPONENT OR POSITION FBI Special Agent		3. IDENTIFICATION NO.	
4. HOME ADDRESS (Number, street or RFD, city or town, zone and State) 5849 N. Witte Lane Glendale, Wisc.		5. PURPOSE OF EXAMINATION Annual		6. DATE OF EXAMINATION 18 March 1966	
7. SEX Male	8. RACE Cauc	9. TOTAL YEARS GOVERNMENT SERVICE MILITARY _____ CIVILIAN _____		10. AGENCY Milwaukee Office	
12. DATE OF BIRTH 27 July '26		13. PLACE OF BIRTH Franklin County, Va.		14. NAME, RELATIONSHIP, AND ADDRESS OF NEXT OF KIN	
15. EXAMINING FACILITY OR EXAMINER, AND ADDRESS U.S. Naval Hospital 1, Great Lakes, Illinois				16. OTHER INFORMATION	
17. RATING OR SPECIALTY		TIME IN THIS CAPACITY (Total)		LAST SIX MONTHS	

CLINICAL EVALUATION		
NOR- MAL	(Check each item in appropriate column; enter "NE" if not evaluated)	ABNOR- MAL
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	18. HEAD, FACE, NECK, AND SCALP	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	19. NOSE	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	20. SINUSES	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	21. MOUTH AND THROAT	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	22. EARS—GENERAL (Int. & ext. canals) (Auditory acuity under items 70 and 71)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	23. DRUMS (Perforation)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	24. EYES—GENERAL (Visual acuity and refraction under items 59, 60 and 62)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25. OPHTHALMOSCOPIC	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	26. PUPILS (Equality and reaction)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	27. OCULAR MOTILITY (Associated parallel movements, nystagmus)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	28. LUNGS AND CHEST (Include breasts)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	29. HEART (Thrust, size, rhythm, sounds)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	30. VASCULAR SYSTEM (Varicosities, etc.)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	31. ABDOMEN AND VISCERA (Include hernia)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	32. ANUS AND RECTUM (Hemorrhoids, fistulas) (Prostate, if indicated)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	33. ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	34. G-U SYSTEM	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	35. UPPER EXTREMITIES (Strength, range of motion)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	36. FEET	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	37. LOWER EXTREMITIES (Except feet) (Strength, range of motion)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	38. SPINE, OTHER MUSCULOSKELETAL	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	39. IDENTIFYING BODY MARKS, SCARS, TATTOOS	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	40. SKIN, LYMPHATICS	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	41. NEUROLOGIC (Equilibrium tests under item 72)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	42. PSYCHIATRIC (Specify any personality deviation)	
	43. PELVIC (Females only) (Check how done) <input type="checkbox"/> VAGINAL <input type="checkbox"/> RECTAL	

NOTES. (Describe every abnormality in detail. Enter pertinent item number before each comment. Continue in item 73 and use additional sheets if necessary.)

REC-140

67-430338-124
Searched _____ Numbered _____
2 MAR 31 1966

2 ENCLOSURE

(Continue in item 73)

44. DENTAL (Place appropriate symbols above or below number of upper and lower teeth, respectively.) O—Restorable teeth —Nonrestorable teeth X—Missing teeth XXX—Replaced by dentures (6 X 8)—Fixed bridge, brackets to include abutments																		REMARKS AND ADDITIONAL DENTAL DEFECTS AND DISEASES Type III Exam Class I	
R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	L			
I	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	E			

LABORATORY FINDINGS			
45. URINALYSIS: A. SPECIFIC GRAVITY 1.021		46. CHEST X-RAY (Place, date, film number and result) USNH#13, Great Lakes, Ill. #6419-66 PA & LAT CHEST: Negative 18 March 66	
B. ALBUMIN negative		D. MICROSCOPIC	
C. SUGAR negative		Negative	
47. SEROLOGY (Specify test used and result) VDRL: Non reactive		48. EKG WNL	49. BLOOD TYPE AND RH FACTOR NA
		50. OTHER TESTS WBC: 9,800 HCT: 47 HGB: 15.5	

7 9/APR 7 1966

MEASUREMENTS AND OTHER FINDINGS																																																			
51. HEIGHT 70 3/4"		52. WEIGHT 156		53. COLOR HAIR Brown		54. COLOR EYES Brown		55. BUILD: <input type="checkbox"/> SLENDER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MEDIUM <input type="checkbox"/> HEAVY <input type="checkbox"/> OBESE			56. TEMPERATURE WNL																																								
57. BLOOD PRESSURE (Arm at heart level)								58. PULSE (Arm at heart level)																																											
A. SITTING SYS. 140 DAS. 60		B. RECUM-BENT SYS. DAS.		C. STANDING (3 min.) SYS. DAS.		59. A. SITTING 78		B. AFTER EXERCISE		C. 2 MIN. AFTER		D. RECUMBENT		E. AFTER STANDING 3 MIN.																																					
59. DISTANT VISION				60. REFRACTION				61. NEAR VISION																																											
RIGHT 20/ 20 CORR. TO 20/				BY S. OX				J1 CORR. TO BY																																											
LEFT 20/ 20 CORR. TO 20/				BY S. OX				J1 CORR. TO BY																																											
62. HETEROPHORIA (Specify distance)																																																			
ES°		EX°		R. H.		L. H.		PRISM DIV.		PRISM CONV. CT		PC		PD																																					
63. ACCOMMODATION				64. COLOR VISION (Test used and result)				65. DEPTH PERCEPTION (Test used and score)				UNCORRECTED																																							
RIGHT LEFT				Failed H-R-R Plates								CORRECTED																																							
66. FIELD OF VISION				67. NIGHT VISION (Test used and score)				68. RED LENS TEST				69. INTRAOCULAR TENSION																																							
70. HEARING				71. 11963 ISO Standard								72. PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PSYCHOMOTOR (Tests used and score)																																							
RIGHT WV /15 SV /15				<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td></td> <td>250</td> <td>500</td> <td>1000</td> <td>2000</td> <td>3000</td> <td>4000</td> <td>6000</td> <td>8000</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>250</td> <td>518</td> <td>1084</td> <td>2048</td> <td>3096</td> <td>4096</td> <td>6144</td> <td>8192</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RIGHT</td> <td>10</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>/</td> <td>0</td> <td>/</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LEFT</td> <td>25</td> <td>25</td> <td>25</td> <td>15</td> <td>/</td> <td>20</td> <td>/</td> <td>15</td> </tr> </table>									250	500	1000	2000	3000	4000	6000	8000		250	518	1084	2048	3096	4096	6144	8192	RIGHT	10	0	0	0	/	0	/	25	LEFT	25	25	25	15	/	20	/	15				
	250	500	1000	2000	3000	4000	6000	8000																																											
	250	518	1084	2048	3096	4096	6144	8192																																											
RIGHT	10	0	0	0	/	0	/	25																																											
LEFT	25	25	25	15	/	20	/	15																																											
LEFT WV /15 SV /15																																																			
73. NOTES (Continued) AND SIGNIFICANT OR INTERVAL HISTORY																																																			

(Use additional sheets if necessary)

74. SUMMARY OF DEFECTS AND DIAGNOSES (List diagnoses with item numbers)

#64. Failed H-R-R PlatesXX3.NCD.
Passed Falant

75. RECOMMENDATIONS—FURTHER SPECIALIST EXAMINATIONS INDICATED (Specify)

77. EXAMINEE (Check)

A. ☒ IS QUALIFIED FOR **FBI Special agent.**
B. ☐ IS NOT QUALIFIED FOR

78. IF NOT QUALIFIED, LIST DISQUALIFYING DEFECTS BY ITEM NUMBER

79. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN

J.J. ZELKO, LT MC USNR

80. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN

81. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF DENTIST OR PHYSICIAN (Indicate which)

C.R. VATH, LT MC USN

82. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF REVIEWING OFFICER OR APPROVING AUTHORITY

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

76. A. PHYSICAL PROFILE

P	U	L	H	E	S

B. PHYSICAL CATEGORY

A	B	C	E

NUMBER OF AT-TACHED SHEETS

REPORT OF MEDICAL HISTORY

THIS INFORMATION IS FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY AND WILL NOT BE RELEASED TO UNAUTHORIZED PERSONS

1. LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME LA PRADE, J. WALLACE				2. GRADE AND COMPONENT OR POSITION Special Agent		3. IDENTIFICATION NO.	
4. HOME ADDRESS (Number, street or RFD, city or town, zone and State) 5849 No. Witte Lane, Glendale, Wis.				5. PURPOSE OF EXAMINATION Annual Physical		6. DATE OF EXAMINATION 3-18-66	
7. SEX M	8. RACE W	9. TOTAL YRS. GOVT. SERVICE MILITARY 2 1/2 CIVILIAN 15	10. DEPARTMENT, AGENCY, OR SERVICE FBI		11. ORGANIZATION UNIT Milwaukee		
12. DATE OF BIRTH 7-27-26		13. PLACE OF BIRTH Franklin County, Va.		14. NAME, RELATIONSHIP, AND ADDRESS OF NEXT OF KIN			
15. EXAMINING FACILITY OR EXAMINER, AND ADDRESS USNH #13				16. OTHER INFORMATION			
17. STATEMENT OF EXAMINEE'S PRESENT HEALTH IN OWN WORDS. (Follow by description of past history, if complaint exists)							

18. FAMILY HISTORY					19. HAS ANY BLOOD RELATION (Parent, brother, sister, other) OR HUSBAND OR WIFE?			
RELATION	AGE	STATE OF HEALTH	IF DEAD, CAUSE OF DEATH	AGE AT DEATH	YES	NO	(Check each item)	RELATION(S)
FATHER	65	Good Fair				X	HAD TUBERCULOSIS	
MOTHER		Deceased	Heart failure	62		X	HAD SYPHILIS	
SPOUSE	39	Very Good				X	HAD DIABETES	
						X	HAD CANCER	
BROTHERS AND SISTERS	42	Good			X		HAD KIDNEY TROUBLE	Mother
					X		HAD HEART TROUBLE	" Father
						X	HAD STOMACH TROUBLE	
						X	HAD RHEUMATISM (Arthritis)	
CHILDREN	10	Excellent				X	HAD ASTHMA, HAY FEVER, HIVES	
	8	"				X	HAD EPILEPSY (Fits)	
	5	"				X	COMMITTED SUICIDE	
	2	"				X	BEEN INSANE	

20. HAVE YOU EVER HAD OR HAVE YOU NOW (Place check at left of each item)								
YES	NO	(Check each item)	YES	NO	(Check each item)	YES	NO	(Check each item)
	X	SCARLET FEVER, ERYSIPELAS		X	GOITER		X	TUMOR, GROWTH, CYST, CANCER
	X	DIPHTHERIA		X	TUBERCULOSIS		X	RUPTURE
	X	RHEUMATIC FEVER		X	SOAKING SWEATS (Night sweats)		X	APPENDICITIS
	X	SWOLLEN OR PAINFUL JOINTS		X	ASTHMA		X	PILES OR RECTAL DISEASE
X		MUMPS		X	SHORTNESS OF BREATH	X		FREQUENT OR PAINFUL URINATION
X		WHOOPING COUGH		X	PAIN OR PRESSURE IN CHEST	X		KIDNEY STONE OR BLOOD IN URINE
	X	FREQUENT OR SEVERE HEADACHE		X	CHRONIC COUGH	X		SUGAR OR ALBUMIN IN URINE
	X	DIZZINESS OR FAINTING SPELLS		X	PALPITATION OR POUNDING HEART	X		BOILS
	X	EYE TROUBLE		X	HIGH OR LOW BLOOD PRESSURE	X		VENEREAL DISEASE
	X	EAR, NOSE OR THROAT TROUBLE		X	CRAMPS IN YOUR LEGS	X		RECENT GAIN OR LOSS OF WEIGHT
	X	RUNNING EARS		X	FREQUENT INDIGESTION	X		ARTHRITIS OR RHEUMATISM
	X	CHRONIC OR FREQUENT COLDS		X	STOMACH, LIVER OR INTESTINAL TROUBLE	X		BONE, JOINT, OR OTHER DEFORMITY
	X	SEVERE TOOTH OR GUM TROUBLE		X	GALL BLADDER TROUBLE OR GALL STONES	X		LAMENESS
X		SINUSITIS		X	JAUNDICE	X		LOSS OF ARM, LEG, FINGER, OR TOE
	X	HAY FEVER		X	ANY REACTION TO SERUM, DRUG OR MEDICINE	X		PAINFUL OR "TRICK" SHOULDER OR ELBOW

21. HAVE YOU EVER (Check each item)				22. FEMALES ONLY: A. HAVE YOU EVER— B. COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:			
	X	WORN GLASSES		X	ATTEMPTED SUICIDE		BEEN PREGNANT
	X	WORN AN ARTIFICIAL EYE		X	BEEEN A SLEEP WALKER		HAD A VAGINAL DISCHARGE
	X	WORN HEARING AIDS		X	LIVED WITH ANYONE WHO HAD TUBERCULOSIS		BEEN TREATED FOR A FEMALE DISORDER
	X	STUTTERED OR STAMMERED		X	COUGHED UP BLOOD		HAD PAINFUL MENSTRUATION
	X	WORN A BRACE OR BACK SUPPORT		X	BLED EXCESSIVELY AFTER INJURY OR TOOTH EXTRACTION		HAD IRREGULAR MENSTRUATION
23. HOW MANY JOBS HAVE YOU HAD IN THE PAST THREE YEARS? One				24. WHAT IS THE LONGEST PERIOD YOU HELD ANY OF THESE JOBS? MONTHS 15 years		25. WHAT IS YOUR USUAL OCCUPATION? Investigator	
						26. ARE YOU (Check one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RIGHT HANDED <input type="checkbox"/> LEFT HANDED	

ENCLOSURE

67-430338-124

YES	NO	CHECK EACH ITEM YES OR NO. EVERY ITEM CHECKED "YES" MUST BE FULLY EXPLAINED IN BLANK SPACE ON RIGHT
X		27. HAVE YOU BEEN UNABLE TO HOLD A JOB BECAUSE OF: A. SENSITIVITY TO CHEMICALS, DUST, SUNLIGHT, ETC.
X		B. INABILITY TO PERFORM CERTAIN MOTIONS
X		C. INABILITY TO ASSUME CERTAIN POSITIONS
X		D. OTHER MEDICAL REASONS (If yes, give reasons)
X		28. HAVE YOU EVER WORKED WITH RADIOACTIVE SUBSTANCE?
X		29. DID YOU HAVE DIFFICULTY WITH SCHOOL STUDIES OR TEACHERS? (If yes, give details)
X		30. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED EMPLOYMENT BECAUSE OF YOUR HEALTH? (If yes, state reason and give details)
X		31. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN DENIED LIFE INSURANCE? (If yes, state reason and give details)
X		32. HAVE YOU HAD, OR HAVE YOU BEEN ADVISED TO HAVE, ANY OPERATIONS? (If yes, describe and give age at which occurred)
X		33. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PATIENT (committed or voluntary) IN A MENTAL HOSPITAL OR SANATORIUM? (If yes, specify when, where, why, and name of doctor, and complete address of hospital or clinic)
X		34. HAVE YOU EVER HAD ANY ILLNESS OR INJURY OTHER THAN THOSE ALREADY NOTED? (If yes, specify when, where, and give details)
X		35. HAVE YOU CONSULTED OR BEEN TREATED BY CLINICS, PHYSICIANS, HEALERS, OR OTHER PRACTITIONERS WITHIN THE PAST 5 YEARS? (If yes, give complete address of doctor, hospital, clinic, and details)
X		36. HAVE YOU TREATED YOURSELF FOR ILLNESSES OTHER THAN MINOR COLDS? (If yes, which illnesses)
X		37. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REJECTED FOR MILITARY SERVICE BECAUSE OF PHYSICAL, MENTAL, OR OTHER REASONS? (If yes, give date and reason for rejection)
X		38. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN DISCHARGED FROM MILITARY SERVICE BECAUSE OF PHYSICAL, MENTAL, OR OTHER REASONS? (If yes, give date, reason, and type of discharge: whether honorable, other than honorable, for unfitness or unsuitability)
X		39. HAVE YOU EVER RECEIVED, IS THERE PENDING, HAVE YOU APPLIED FOR, OR DO YOU INTEND TO APPLY FOR PENSION OR COMPENSATION FOR EXISTING DISABILITY? (If yes, specify what kind, granted by whom, and what amount, when, why)

34. Acute prostatitis 11/62. Treated by medication and released 12/62

35. Dr. Parker S. Darman
1302 18th, N.W.,
Washington, D.C.
(treated for above)

I CERTIFY THAT I HAVE REVIEWED THE FOREGOING INFORMATION SUPPLIED BY ME AND THAT IT IS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE. I AUTHORIZE ANY OF THE DOCTORS, HOSPITALS, OR CLINICS MENTIONED ABOVE TO FURNISH THE GOVERNMENT A COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF MY MEDICAL RECORD FOR PURPOSES OF PROCESSING MY APPLICATION FOR THIS EMPLOYMENT OR SERVICE.

TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF EXAMINEE.

J. WALLACE LA PRADE

SIGNATURE

J. Wallace La Prade

40. PHYSICIAN'S SUMMARY AND ELABORATION OF ALL PERTINENT DATA (Physician shall comment on all positive answers in items 20 thru 39)

Uncomplicated Urinary & Testicular

inflammations. No problems for past year.

Prostatitis in 1962. Treated. No further problems.

TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN OR EXAMINER

J. J. ZELKO, LT MC USNR

DATE

18 March 66

SIGNATURE

J. J. Zelko

NUMBER OF ATTACHED SHEETS

Attachment to Standard Form 88, Report of Medical Examination
For Information and Guidance of Medical Examiner

Name of Examinee LA PRADE J. WALLACE
(Type or print) Last First Middle

The following portions of the attached examination report form need not be completed:

2	14	68
3	17	69
4	62	72
9	65	76
11	67	

46. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.
48. Not required unless examinee is over 35 years of age or examination indicates such is desirable.
49. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.
71. Audiometer examinations should be afforded whenever possible for all Special Agent applicants and Special Agents. Applicants for the Special Agent position will not be accepted if the hearing loss exceeds a 15 decibel average in either ear in the conversational speech range (500, 1000, 2000 cycles).

For All Examinees, Whether Clerical or Special Agent Applicants or Employees:

The medical examiner should answer the following question:

Examinee ☒ is ☐ is not qualified for strenuous physical exertion.

To be Answered in the Case of All Male Employees and Male Applicants:

1. Does examinee have any defects restricting or prohibiting his participation in defensive tactics and dangerous assignments which might entail the practical use of firearms?

☒ No ☐ Yes If "yes" please specify defects. _____

2. Does examinee have any defects prohibiting safe operation of motor vehicles?

☒ No ☐ Yes If "yes" please specify defects. _____

3. For safe driving of motor vehicles, Civil Service Commission requires distant vision must test at least 20/40 in one eye and 20/100 in the other, corrected or uncorrected. Should examinee wear corrective glasses while operating a motor vehicle? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If recommendation is based on a factor other than above standard, indicate basis _____

67-430338-1

REC'D - ADMIN. DIV.

F B I

Desirable Weight Ranges for Males

APR 4 2 42 PM '66

Height	Small Frame	Medium Frame	Large Frame
5' 4"	117 - 125	123 - 135	131 - 148
5' 5"	120 - 129	126 - 139	134 - 152
5' 6"	124 - 133	130 - 143	138 - 157
5' 7"	128 - 137	134 - 148	143 - 162
5' 8"	132 - 141	138 - 152	147 - 166
5' 9"	136 - 146	142 - 156	151 - 170
5' 10"	140 - 150	146 - 161	155 - 175
5' 11"	144 - 154	150 - 166	160 - 180
6'	148 - 158	154 - 171	164 - 185
6' 1"	152 - 163	158 - 176	169 - 190
6' 2"	156 - 167	163 - 181	174 - 195
6' 3"	160 - 171	168 - 186	178 - 200
6' 4"	169 - 180	178 - 196	188 - 210
6' 5"	174 - 185	182 - 202	192 - 216

4. Examinee's frame is ☐ small ☒ medium ☐ large
5. Considering above weight table, the examinee's frame, and other individual physical characteristics, I consider his present weight ☒ Satisfactory ☐ Excessive ☐ Deficient
6. Under proper medical supervision, examinee should ☐ lose _____ pounds
☐ gain _____ pounds

Remarks: _____

J.J. Zelko
 J.J. ZELKO, LT MC USNR
 (Signature of Medical Examiner)

18 March 1966
 (Date)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

REPORT OF PERFORMANCE RATING

Name of Employee: J. WALLACE LA PRADE

Where Assigned: Milwaukee
(Division) (Section, Unit)

Official Position Title and Grade: Assistant Special Agent in Charge GS-14

Rating Period: from 4/1/65 to 3/31/66

ADJECTIVE RATING: Excellent
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

Employee's
Initials

Rated by:

Paul H. Fields, Jr. Special Agent
in Charge
Signature Title

3/31/66
Date

Reviewed by:

Signature

Title

Date

Rating Approved by:

Signature

Assistant Director
Title

Date

REC-145

430338/25
APR 26 1966
3 APR 19 1966 92

TYPE OF REPORT

☒ Official
☒ Annual

☐ Administrative
☐ 60-Day
☐ 90-Day
☐ Transfer
☐ Separation from Service
☐ Special

7 JUN 64 1966

PERFORMANCE RATING GUIDE FOR INVESTIGATIVE PERSONNEL

(For use as attachment to Performance Rating Form No. FD-185)

Name of Employee J. WALLACE LA PRADE Title Assistant Special Agent in Charge GS-14
Rating Period: from 4/1/65 to 3/31/66

RATING GUIDE AND CHECK-LIST

Note: Only those items having pertinent bearing on employee's performance should be rated. All employees in same salary grade should be compared.

RATE ITEMS AS FOLLOWS:

- + Outstanding (exceeding excellent and deserving of special commendation).
E Excellent.
✓ Satisfactory (good or very good).
= Unsatisfactory.
0 No opportunity to appraise performance during rating period.

Guide for determining adjective rating:

- "Outstanding" adjective rating requires (A) that all elements be + and (B) that each and every rated element be factually justified by narrative details, including reasons for considering each worthy of Special Commendation and be attached to FD-185a.
- "Excellent," "Satisfactory" or "Unsatisfactory" adjective ratings will depend upon the composite result of evaluating all rated elements rather than following any mechanical formulas; however, for an employee to be rated "Excellent" he must not be rated unsatisfactory on any performance evaluation factors on the rating guide and check-list and must be rated "Excellent" or "Outstanding" on the majority of such rating factors. Good judgment must be exercised to insure that adjective rating is reasonable in the light of elements rated.
 - Any element rated "Unsatisfactory" must be supported by narrative comments.
 - An official rating of "Unsatisfactory" must be supported in writing stating (1) wherein the performance is unsatisfactory, (2) the facts of the (90-day) prior warning, and (3) the efforts made after the warning to help the employee bring his performance up to a satisfactory level and must be attached to FD-185a.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <u>+</u> (1) Personal appearance. | <u>+</u> (16) Firearms ability. |
| <u>+</u> (2) Personality and effectiveness of his personal contacts. | <u>+</u> (17) Development of informants and sources of information. |
| <u>+</u> (3) Attitude (including dependability, cooperativeness, loyalty, enthusiasm, amenability and willingness to equitably share work load). | <u>+</u> (18) Reporting ability: |
| <u>+</u> (4) Physical fitness (including health, energy, stamina). | <u>0</u> (a) Investigative reports |
| <u>+</u> (5) Resourcefulness and ingenuity. | <u>0</u> (b) Summary reports |
| <u>+</u> (6) Forcefulness and aggressiveness as required. | <u>+</u> (c) Memos, letters, wires |
| <u>+</u> (7) Judgment, including common sense, ability to arrive at proper conclusions, ability to define objectives. | (Consider: <u>+</u> conciseness; <u>+</u> clarity; <u>+</u> organization; <u>+</u> thoroughness; <u>+</u> accuracy; <u>+</u> adequacy and pertinency of leads; <u>+</u> administrative detail.) |
| <u>+</u> (8) Initiative and the taking of appropriate action on own responsibility. | <u>0</u> (19) Performance as a witness. |
| <u>E</u> (9) Planning ability and its application to the work. | <u>+</u> (20) Executive ability: |
| <u>+</u> (10) Accuracy and attention to pertinent detail. | <u>+</u> (a) Leadership |
| <u>+</u> (11) Industry, including energetic, consistent application to duties. | <u>+</u> (b) Ability to handle personnel |
| <u>+</u> (12) Productivity, including amount of acceptable work produced and rate of progress on or completion of assignments. Also consider adherence to deadlines unless failure to meet is attributable to causes beyond employee's control. | <u>+</u> (c) Planning |
| <u>+</u> (13) Knowledge of duties, instructions, rules and regulations, including readiness of comprehension and "know how" of application. | <u>+</u> (d) Making decisions |
| <u>E</u> (14) Investigative ability and results: | <u>+</u> (e) Assignment of work |
| <u>+</u> (a) Internal security cases | <u>+</u> (f) Training subordinates |
| <u>E</u> (b) Criminal or general investigative cases | <u>+</u> (g) Devising procedures |
| <u>E</u> (c) Fugitive cases | <u>+</u> (h) Emotional stability |
| <u>E</u> (d) Applicant cases | <u>+</u> (i) Promoting high morale |
| <u>0</u> (e) Accounting cases | <u>+</u> (j) Getting results |
| <u>E</u> (15) Physical surveillance ability. | <u>E</u> (21) Ability on raids and dangerous assignments: |
| | <u>E</u> (a) As leader |
| | <u>E</u> (b) As participant |
| | <u>E</u> (22) Organizational interest, such as making of suggestions for improvement. |
| | <u>+</u> (23) Ability to work under pressure. |
| | <u>E</u> (24) Miscellaneous. Specify and rate: |
| | <u>E</u> Dictation ability |

A. Specify general nature of assignment during most of rating period (such as security, criminal, applicant squad, or as Resident Agent, supervisor, instructor, etc.):

Assistant Special Agent in Charge

B. Specify employee's most noteworthy special talents (such as investigator, desk man, research, instructor, speaker):

Desk man, speaker, administrator

- C. (1) Is employee available for general assignment wherever needs of service require? yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)
(2) Is employee available for special assignment wherever needs of service require? yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)

D. 1. Has employee had an abnormal sick leave record during rating period? no 2. Has employee used more sick leave (including annual leave or LWOP for illness) during rating period than the amount of sick leave earned during such period? no (If answer to either question is "yes," explain in narrative comments.)

E. Is employee qualified to operate a motor vehicle incidental to his official duties? ☒ Yes ☐ No
If answer is "yes," personnel file must reflect the following: (a) Has valid State or local operator's license for type vehicle he is to use.
(b) Is physically fit to drive. (c) Past safe-driving record OK or has passed Bureau road test.

ADJECTIVE RATING: Excellent
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

EMPLOYEE'S INITIALS

Jur

NARRATIVE COMMENTS

1. PERSONAL APPEARANCE AND PERSONALITY:

ASAC J. WALLACE LA PRADE dresses conservatively and in good, business-like taste, and makes an outstanding personal appearance. He is articulate, exhibits an energetic attitude, is poised and makes a fine Bureau representative.

2. ABILITY TO PARTICIPATE IN RAIDS AND DANGEROUS ASSIGNMENTS:


He is capable of participation in any raid or dangerous assignment and has done so in a leadership capacity during the rating period. There is no hesitation in utilizing him in this capacity.

3. LIMITATIONS ON AVAILABILITY; PHYSICAL LIMITATIONS AFFECTING PERFORMANCE; AND SICK LEAVE INFORMATION:

He has no physical limitations affecting his performance, and is completely available for any special or general assignment.

4. TYPE OF CASES OR WORK HANDLED AND APPRAISAL OF OVER-ALL PERFORMANCE INCLUDING ABILITY TO HANDLE COMPLICATED INVESTIGATIVE MATTERS AND SUPERVISION REQUIRED:

ASAC LA PRADE has functioned as Assistant Special Agent in Charge during the entire rating period. He has under his supervision investigative matters including security, applicant and general criminal cases. He is very experienced in supervisory and administrative responsibilities, and handles direction of all aspects of his desk in a most effective manner. He accepts responsibility in a cooperative, enthusiastic fashion, works well with employees of the office, and officials in the area, and has the respect of his subordinates. He is an accomplished public speaker, has made numerous personal appearances during the rating period, and many commendatory remarks have been received concerning his performance in this field. His relationships with news media have been smooth and productive, and he has obtained invaluable experience in this regard. He has functioned as head of the office on many occasions in absence of the SAC, and has done a most effective job. He uniformly uses good judgment, resourcefulness and follows policy matters tenaciously to insure completion of any assigned projects. He has done an exceptionally fine job in supervising and emphasizing applicant matters in order to insure a highly productive record in this vital aspect of office operations. He is fully competent to handle complex and delicate investigative or administrative matters with assurance that such will be carried out professionally and competently. His work requires little direction or supervision. Based on the period during which I have observed his work, I consider him to be in the high level of excellent as regards his over-all performance.


Initials

5. NUMBER OF INCENTIVE AWARDS AND COMMENDATIONS RECEIVED:

Bulet 3-18-66 commended Mr. LA PRADE for careful planning and expert handling of a valuable confidential operation in the security field. Bulet 3-31-66 commended the SAC and personnel of this office for excellent accomplishments in the recruiting of clerical employees during 1966. Mr. LA PRADE played a major part in this accomplishment and shared in the

6. DISCIPLINARY ACTION AND JUSTIFICATION FOR ANY UNSATISFACTORY ITEMS: (see (attached
(List items taken into consideration on rating guide and check list.)

Bulet 11-2-65 censured Mr. LA PRADE for failure to properly discharge supervisory responsibilities concerning the handling of certain security informant cases, which had been brought to his attention during the previous office inspection. Item #9 on the rating guide considered.

7. PARTICIPATION IN INFORMANT PROGRAMS:

Mr. LA PRADE handles the security informant program and racial informants on his desk. He has very competently carried out his responsibilities in regard to these important areas of office and Bureau operation.

8. TESTIFYING EXPERIENCE AND ABILITY:

He has had no occasion to testify during the rating period, but has previously done so and is considered a competent Government witness.

9. ACCOUNTING INFORMATION:


N/A

10. POLICE INSTRUCTION:

N/A

11. RESIDENT AGENTS:

N/A


Initials

5. NUMBER OF INCENTIVE AWARDS AND COMMENDATIONS RECEIVED: (cont'd)

commendation. Bulet 3-4-66 expressed the Bureau's appreciation for contributions of this office to the special agent language training program of the Bureau, in which activity Mr. LA PRADE participated effectively. The Director on 9-2-65 acknowledged a letter from a chief of police commending Mr. LA PRADE and other agents for excellent work in retrieving unharmed a young boy who had been abducted.

12. EXPERIENCE AND ABILITY AS INSPECTOR'S AIDE:

N/A

13. FOREIGN LANGUAGE ABILITY:

N/A

Language in which proficient _____

Completed language school ☐ Yes ☐ No

Fluent in _____ language to extent Agent can handle typical investigative problems as follows: (1) Conversation form ☐ Yes ☐ No

(2) Written form ☐ Yes ☐ No

Evaluate language proficiency in each phase as excellent, very good, good, fair or unsatisfactory

<u>Language</u>	<u>Read</u>	<u>Write</u>	<u>Speak</u>	<u>Understand</u>
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Frequency _____ language ability used during rating period:

Frequency of use of _____ language ability anticipated during ensuing year:

14. ADMINISTRATIVE ADVANCEMENT:

(a) Agent is interested in administrative advancement. ☒ Yes ☐ No


(b) Agent is completely available for administrative advancement. ☒ Yes ☐ No

(c) Agent is considered completely qualified at present for administrative advancement, including experience, ability, personality and appearance. ☒ Yes ☐ No

(d) If answer to (c) is "Yes," Agent's qualifications are considered
☐ very good ☒ excellent ☐ outstanding

(e) If answer to (c) is "No," is Agent considered to have potential for future administrative advancement? (If applicable, explanatory comments required.) ☐ Yes ☐ No

Mr. LA PRADE has had considerable and valuable experience as Assistant Special Agent in Charge in Milwaukee. Based on his performance as noted in his file and as observed by me since my assignment to Milwaukee in December, 1965, I feel he is definitely qualified for further administrative advancement and entirely capable of assuming additional responsibilities as an inspector at Seat of Government.


Initials

Name: J. WALLACE LA PRADE

Title: Assistant Special Agent in Charge

EOD: 5/7/51

Grade: GS-14 at \$15,696.

Veteran

SAC P. H. ^{ms} FIELDS: This write-up is submitted since Mr. LA PRADE is Assistant Special Agent in Charge of this office.

ASAC LA PRADE dresses in a neat, conservative manner and makes a fine personal appearance. He converses well, is poised and exhibits a straightforward and sincere personality. In handling his duties as ASAC, he has approached matters maturely, logically and has shown a particularly competent manner in the handling of personnel and related situations. He has frequently been in charge of the office in my absence, has accepted the additional responsibilities easily and has exhibited no hesitancy to take appropriate action where necessary. Mr. LA PRADE is an experienced public speaker and has received numerous favorable comments concerning his representation of the Bureau. Since the last inspection he was censured 2/26/65 as a result of a substantive write-up submitted at the time of the last inspection. By letter dated 11/2/65 he was censured for failure to properly discharge supervisory responsibilities concerning administrative handling of certain security informant cases. By letter dated 3/18/66 Mr. LA PRADE was commended by the Director for exemplary supervision of a difficult confidential operation in the security field. The Director on 9/2/65 acknowledged a letter from a chief of police in Wisconsin commending the efforts of Mr. LA PRADE and other agents which greatly assisted the local agency in retrieving unharmed a young boy who had been abducted. Mr. LA PRADE is completely available for any special or general assignment in the Bureau, is very interested in administrative advancement and is certainly SAC material. Based on my observations of his performance and capabilities, I have no hesitation in recommending him for further administrative advancement in the Bureau. I feel he is well qualified at the present time to effectively function as a Seat of Government inspector.

Rating: Excellent

MILWAUKEE INSPECTION
3/26/66
PHF:mk

O.T. MANSFIELD, INSPECTION STAFF:
(OTM:wmj 5/19/66)

For comments of Inspector see memo

67. NOT REC'D - W-M-Felt to Mr. Tolson 5/16/66 "Inspection - Milwaukee Division;
O. T. Mansfield, Inspection Staff; 3/23 - 4/5/66."

3 MAY 23 1966

10 - 1
3TC

704
Laprade
July 22, 1966

MEMORANDUM FOR MR. TOLSON

On July 8, 1966, I saw Assistant Special Agent in Charge J. Wallace LaPrade of the Milwaukee Field Division. Mr. LaPrade makes a good personal appearance.

I discussed with him the fact that he had five classifications of cases which were in excess of 7% delinquency, and this should be promptly corrected.

I also observed that in the month of May, 1966, the Agents in the Milwaukee Office had closed only 12.1 cases per Agent and I believed this was low, in fact, lower than the average of the service, and the Milwaukee Division should be closing between 13 and 14 cases per Agent.

I called his attention to the fact that for the first eleven months of the current fiscal year when compared with a similar period of the previous fiscal year, his office had dropped 6% in fines, savings, and recoveries, and this was a most unfortunate decline. I stated in the other three major items of statistics, the Milwaukee Office had only increased by a very small percentage over that of the first eleven months of the previous fiscal year and there must be greater effort made upon the part of the Agents to improve the accomplishments in view of the increases anticipated in our appropriation.

I commented favorably upon the fact that the Agents in the Milwaukee Office had in May spent 9.1% of their time in the office and I believed it should not go any higher.

I discussed with him generally the matter of informant coverage, stressing the importance of quality informants in all three areas of criminal, racial, and domestic intelligence informant coverage.

I called Mr. LaPrade's attention to the fact that in the last inspection of the Milwaukee Office, which was in March of this year, I was not happy with the report of the Inspector as to the conditions in the Milwaukee Field Division.

JEH:EDM (4)

REG-140

67-430 338-127
57
Laprade

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Wick _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

Memorandum for Mr. Tolson

July 22, 1966

I stated any rating less than Very Good I considered to be trending toward unsatisfactory, and I noted that the Milwaukee Office had been rated Good in Investigative Operations and Good in Administrative Operations due to various shortcomings and deficiencies in those two phases. I stated upon his return to Milwaukee, I wanted him to bring this to the attention of Special Agent in Charge Fields as there must be a marked improvement in the operations of the Milwaukee Office in order that all items not be less than Very Good.

I told Mr. LaPrade that I noted his office in May averaged two hours and fifty-one minutes overtime per day, which could not be avoided in view of the volume of work we have and the inadequate personnel which we have at the present time.

I called Mr. LaPrade's attention to the case of Special Agent [redacted] b6
[redacted] who has been on limited duty since June 15, 1966, as a result of diabetes. b7C
I stated I noted in some of the previous months when he was not on limited duty he had failed to meet the average overtime of the office and since he has been on limited duty he likewise has failed to meet the average overtime of the office. I stated that I recognized that [redacted] was now on sick leave and presently hospitalized undergoing extensive tests. I stated I wanted to be thoroughly considerate in this case, but that we could not carry a man indefinitely on limited duty because of some physical disability and at the same time allow him to draw fringe benefits when he was not performing the average overtime of the office. I stated I believed that after [redacted] returned and if, after three months, he could not share equitably the overtime of the office, then he should be removed from any work requiring overtime and his fringe benefits should be eliminated.

I observed that there were 14 robberies, 5 burglaries, and 16 larcenies pending unsolved in the Milwaukee Office under the Federal Bank Robbery Statute and this should be given immediate attention.

I commended Mr. LaPrade upon the fact that the Milwaukee Office had a good record in regard to recruitment and I hoped that they would continue to maintain this record.

I stressed the imperative need of greater intensification on the investigation of racketeering and particularly the Cosa Nostra in his field division in view

Memorandum for Mr. Tolson

July 22, 1966

of the fact that Milwaukee, for all practical purposes, is a suburb of Chicago and, therefore, gets a large backwash of racketeering from the Chicago area.

I told him there was need for the Milwaukee Office to promptly accelerate their efforts to improve their Top Echelon Criminal Informant coverage as at the present time they had only one such informant.

I told him I had noted the good work the Milwaukee Office had done in developing Interstate Transportation in Aid of Racketeering - Prostitution cases in Hurley, Wisconsin, and they should continue to give close attention to any violations of the Federal Statutes pertaining to racketeering in which we could proceed against the hoodlum element.

I stressed to Mr. LaPrade the imperative need for adequate coverage of the Communist Party in view of its intent to recruit young people in colleges and universities.

Very truly yours,

J. E. H.

John Edgar Hoover
Director

- 3 -

SENT	10:11 A.M.
TIME	7/25/66
DATE	7/25/66
BY	1826

JAMES WALLACE LAPRADE

67-430338-126 IN THIS FILE SKIPPED DURING
SERIALIZATION.

8-2-66

#20

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

NAME: LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE LAPRADE J WALLACE	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER 225-22-3932
--	---

NOTIFICATION OF BASIC CHANGE

CODE - NATURE OF ACTION		EFFECTIVE DATE		DATE OF LAST EQUIV. INCR.
<input type="checkbox"/>	892 - QUALITY INCREASE	<input type="checkbox"/>	896 - ADMIN. PAY INCREASE	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	893 - WITHIN GRADE INCREASE	<input type="checkbox"/>	897 - ADMIN. PAY DECREASE	
<input type="checkbox"/>	894 - PAY ADJUSTMENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	OTHER (SPECIFY IN REMARKS)	
GRADE OR LEVEL GS-14	STEP OR RATE STEP 4	OLD SALARY \$16,152.00	NEW SALARY \$16,675.00	

DATA ON UNPAID ABSENCE

PERIOD(S)	TOTAL EXCESS	IN PAY STATUS AT END OF WAITING PERIOD	INITIALS
		YES	<i>[Signature]</i>

☒ EMPLOYEE'S WORK IS OF AN ACCEPTABLE LEVEL OF COMPETENCE.

☐ EMPLOYEE'S PERFORMANCE RATING IS SATISFACTORY OR BETTER.

REMARKS:

67-NOT RECORDED

25 JUL 27 1966

J. Edgar Hoover
JOHN EDGAR HOOVER
DIRECTOR

7/19/66
(DATE)
PERSONNEL FILE COPY

SAC Milwaukee

July 12, 1966

Director, FBI

J. Wallace LaPrade

ASSISTANT SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

The above-captioned Special Agent attended the following training course(s):

In-Service: from 6/27/66 to 7/8/66☒ Criminal☐ Accounting☐ Security☐ Expert Firearms-Defensive Tactics☐ Basic☐ Advanced☐ _____

The firearms scores should be entered on the individual field firearms training record (FD-40). The following grades were attained.

Notebook _____
 Examination _____ 12/25
 Shotgun Course #2 _____ 86
 Rifle _____ 94
 Machine Gun _____

Specialized Training:

Admin. Firearms: _____ From _____ To _____
 _____ : _____

Tolson _____
 DeLoach _____
 Mohr _____
 Casper _____
 Callahan _____
 Conrad _____
 Felt _____
 Gale _____
 Rosen _____
 Sullivan _____
 Tavel _____
 Trotter _____
 Wick _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Holmes _____
 Gandy _____

ASAC J. WALLACE LA PRADE
 MILWAUKEE

HLS:lcs

(3)

JUL 14 1966

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

MAILED 30

JUL 12 1966

COMM-FBI

PRACTICE

P1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
P2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
P3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
P4	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
P5	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
P6	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
P7	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
P8	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
P9	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
P10	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

TEST

1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	31	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	61	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	91	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	32	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	62	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	92	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	33	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	63	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	93	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	34	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	64	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	94	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	35	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	65	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	95	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	36	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	66	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	96	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	37	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	67	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	97	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	38	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	68	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	98	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	39	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	69	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	99	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	40	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	70	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	100	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	41	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	71	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	101	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	42	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	72	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	102	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	43	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	73	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	103	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	44	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	74	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	104	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	45	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	75	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	105	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

ARMY STANDARD ANSWER SHEET

16	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	46	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	76	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	106	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
17	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	47	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	77	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	107	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
18	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	48	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	78	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	108	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
19	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	49	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	79	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	109	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
20	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	50	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	80	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	110	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
21	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	51	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	81	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	111	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
22	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	52	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	82	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	112	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
23	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	53	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	83	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	113	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
24	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	54	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	84	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	114	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
25	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	55	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	85	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	115	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
26	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	56	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	86	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	116	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
27	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	57	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	87	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	117	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
28	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	58	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	88	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	118	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
29	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	59	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	89	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	119	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
30	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	60	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	90	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	120	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

A	19	C	22
B		D	9

Score: 19
6/28/66 - Shew

Interested

68

DA FORM 6000

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 16-771

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (when completed)

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. Mohr

DATE: 6-20-66

FROM : N. P. Callahan *mp*

SUBJECT: IN-SERVICE TRAINING AND
TWO-DAY CONFERENCES SCHEDULE

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Wick _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

On Monday, June 27, 1966, the following are scheduled to come to Washington, D. C.:

H. L. Child, Jr.
Legal Attache, Tokyo
Three-Day Conferences - and two days' refresher course
in AFSAM-7

J. W. LaPrade
Assistant Special Agent in Charge
Milwaukee
Criminal In-Service

J. L. McGovern
Special Agent in Charge
Birmingham
Two-Day Conferences

In accordance with prior approval, Mr. Child, Legal Attache, Tokyo, will coordinate his home leave with these conferences, thus effecting an economy for the Bureau.

A check has been made with the Director's Office, Mr. Mohr and Mr. DeLoach, as well as the following divisions: Crime Records, Domestic Intelligence, Files & Communications, General Investigative, Identification, Inspection, Laboratory, Training and Special Investigative. There are no reasons known why the above should not be brought in at the time scheduled.

JUN 30 1966

EWV:mail (18)

1 - Mr. DeLoach
1 - Mr. Mohr
1 - Miss Holmes
1 - Mr. Casper
1 - Mr. Conrad
1 - Mr. Wick
1 - Mr. Felt
1 - Mr. Gale
1 - Mr. Rosen
1 - Mr. Sullivan
1 - Mr. Tavel
1 - Mr. Trotter
1 - Mr. Hereford
1 - Movement
1 - Liaison
1 - Mrs. Foley

6/21
PERS. REC. UNIT

May 18, 1966

PERSONAL

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Dear Mr. LaPrade:

During the course of the recent inspection of the Milwaukee Office you were found to have been derelict in the manner in which you supervised cases entitled [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

Despite the high volume of work on your desk, you should have required earlier conclusion of the investigation of [REDACTED] and prompt submission of a report. Furthermore, you should not have been influenced by the overzealousness of a first office Agent or the hasty authorization of fugitive process by a United States Attorney in a true name check case. An arrest of this Bureau subject by Bureau Agents without proper foundation could have caused considerable embarrassment to the Bureau.

b6
b7C

You will be expected to handle your supervisory responsibilities in a more attentive manner in order to avoid such delinquencies and so that it will not be necessary to take further administrative action against you.

You should carefully review the letter to Special Agent in Charge Paul H. Fields, Jr., together with the work papers and administrative write-ups left by the Inspector and promptly carry out the instructions contained therein.

Very truly yours,

- 1 - SAC, Milwaukee (Personal Attention)
- 1 - Mr. Row
- 1 - Miss Usilton
- 1 - Mr. Callahan

John Edgar Hoover
Director

(Att: J. B. Adams)
(Sent Separately)

- 1 - Personnel File of J. Wallace LaPrade
- 1 - SOG Inspection File of Milwaukee Office

NOTE: Based on memo W. M. Felt to Mr. Tolson, 5/16/66
Inspection - Milwaukee Div., O. T. Mansfield, Insp. Staff, 3/23-4/5/66

OTM:bhg

59
NOT RECORDED
DUPLICATE YELLOW
1966

MR. TOLSON

May 16, 1966

W. M. FELT

INSPECTION - MILWAUKEE DIVISION
O. T. MANSFIELD, INSPECTION STAFF
3/23 - 4/5/66

~~SECRET~~
Classified by 7006
Exempt from GDS, Category 2/3
Date of Declassification Indefinite
per memo 10/11/78

SUMMARY

Officials: Paul H. Fields, Jr., SAC since 12/5/65; E. C. Williams (retired), SAC 9/23 - 12/5/65; Richard J. Baker, prior SAC from 11/10/63. J. Wallace LaPrade, ASAC since 5/15/63. Last inspection 1/29 - 2/12/65.

Physical Condition and Maintenance - Very Good (Last Inspection - Very Good). Office conveniently located in Federal Building. Space attractive, adequate, well organized and maintained. Security adequate but General Services Administration (GSA) plans to replace air-conditioning vents facing public corridors to improve auditory security - ordered to follow closely. Minor housekeeping delinquencies ordered corrected. All resident agency (RA) space secure. No safety defects in 25 cars inspected; automotive fleet adequate, utilization satisfactory. 7 accidents (no Agent held responsible), up from 3 last inspection - safety stressed. Auto operating costs slightly above average, repair costs considerably above - economy stressed. Housing development scheduled behind impact area of present outdoor firearms range of Racine, Wisconsin, Police Department - SAC directed to locate new facilities.

Investigative Operations - Good (Last Inspection - Good). 3/31/66 case load 1387 (1270 active), up from 1157 (1062 active) last inspection. Delinquency at 3.9%, below last inspection and field average; 6 classifications range 7.3 - 9.1%. Case load per Agent at 25.9, up from last inspection and consistently above field average. Cases closed per Agent at 12.9 slightly below field but up from last inspection and exceeding field preceding 8 months. Accomplishments fiscal year (FY) 1965 up in 3 of 4 categories, down in fines, savings and recoveries; end of first 10 months FY 1966 up in 3, down 6% in fines, savings and recoveries - increased attention ordered, responsibility of all SAs stressed. 5 substantive errors, above field and up from last inspection (handled separately) - closer adherence Bureau rules directed. 1 old, 3 of 19 new bank robbery matters solved - 17 remain unsolved; intensified effort ordered, suggestions made. Criminal Informant Program - good; substantial increase in accomplishments and potential criminal informants - administrative improvements suggested, full Agent participation stressed. Decrease in top-echelon informants resulting from jail sentence and lack of productivity - increased attention ordered. Criminal Intelligence and

Enclosures

1 - Mr. Callahan (Attention Mr. J. B. Adams) (Sent Separately)

1 - Personnel Files Paul H. Fields, Jr., and J. Wallace LaPrade

OTM:bhg (3)

~~SECRET~~
CONTINUED - OVER

Memorandum to Mr. Tolson
Re: Inspection - Milwaukee Division

Interstate Gambling Programs - good. 1 top-echelon informant has furnished significant information re La Cosa Nostra (LCN); 45 members identified, 10 since last inspection. No convictions; but 2 LCN members awaiting grand jury (FHA case) and trial (tax case); 4 persons under indictment for interstate transportation in aid of racketeering - prostitution. Expanded coverage and penetration stressed to increase cases and accomplishments. Security Informant Program - very good; coverage diversified and effective. Communist Party, Socialist Workers Party, Nation of Islam activity limited, membership identified. Racial situation quiet - extension of coverage stressed. No civil rights deadlines missed. Missed fugitive deadlines above field average and last inspection - instructed to reduce.

Administrative Operations - Good (Last Inspection - Very Good). Milwaukee 2-desk office; work load equitably distributed. Form errors slightly above field average and last inspection. Need for accuracy emphasized. Chief Clerk's Office operating effectively and efficiently. Open shelf filing installed for closed files since last inspection; sequencing reversed, ordered corrected. Misfiled index cards below field average and improved since last inspection. Steno pool production slightly below field and down from last inspection; retypes up - training and accuracy stressed. Recurrent steno delinquency found - added typist approved (separately handled). Time in office reduced since last inspection and below field - continued attention stressed. Percentage of errors in #3 (locator) cards down, in daily reports up since last inspection, none affect fringe - need for accuracy stressed. Communications, travel and per diem costs above Bureau base figures current FY - tighter supervision ordered.

Personnel Matters - Very Good (Last Inspection - Very Good). Personnel complement as of close of business 4/5/66, 49 SAs, 32 clerks (last inspection 50 Agents, 33 clerks) for clerk-Agent ratio of 65.3%. Current Agent complement decreased during year, adequate, not excessive; SAC agrees. Clerical complement raised to 33 to provide 1 additional needed steno-typist (handled separately). 14 of 49 Agents in first office; responsibility for proper indoctrination, training and supervision stressed. No personnel overweight; 1 Agent unavailable - justified (handled separately); 1 Agent on probation (handled separately). All personnel passed required examinations; all stenos passed 120 word per minute test. Over-time necessary, productive, equitably shared. Morale appears excellent.

Applicant Recruitment Matters - Excellent (Last Inspection - Not Rated). 49 clerks recruited for Seat of Government from February, 1965, to March, 1966 (quota 20). Office commended by Director 3/31/66 for excellent results achieved. 12 SAs recruited (11 accepted appointments) since last inspection; 5 SAs recruited since quota established. 46 SA applicants interviewed, 23 favorably recommended; 1 has received appointment for June, 1966; 5 applications pending. Missed applicant deadlines low, improved since last inspection and below field average.

Memorandum to Mr. Tolson
Re: Inspection - Milwaukee Division

Contacts - Very Good (Last Inspection - Very Good). 23 SAC contacts and 1 pending approval (24 last inspection); 4 including 1 pending developed by present SAC. Coverage excellent, greatly improved. 121 public appearances handled 1965, including 50 radio and television; down from 131 in 1964 with 38 radio and television - continued attention stressed with extension of television appearances urged. Fugitive publicity receiving wide dissemination; press relations generally favorable. Liaison and National Academy programs active and effective; police relations excellent. USAs Edmund A. Nix, Western District, and James B. Brennan, Eastern District, cordial, well pleased with Bureau performance. All individuals contacted during inspection voiced high regard for Director and Bureau personnel.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Paul H. Fields, Jr., SAC since 12/5/65, GS-15 @

b6
b7c

2. J. Wallace LaPrade, ASAC since 5/15/63, GS-14 @ \$15,696, veteran, not on probation, first office as ASAC. LaPrade makes excellent appearance, is businesslike, alert, to the point, readily accepts responsibility, appears interested, is ambitious. During inspection 2 substantive errors detected in matters on his desk (write-ups attached). 1 involved unreasonable delay in bringing to logical conclusion investigation of a security subject and delay in submitting a report. Despite high volume of work on ASAC desk, delay tolerated was unreasonable and excessive. Other case involved filing of fugitive process on true name check subject by a first office Agent on USA authority. Arrest of subject on non-existent violation could have caused embarrassment to Bureau and LaPrade, despite USA authorization and despite his own zeal for accomplishments, should have detected this potential and properly advised first office Agent against initiating

Memorandum to Mr. Tolson
Re: Inspection - Milwaukee Division

~~SECRET~~

prosecutive action. Errors point out need for closer supervision by LaPrade; if approved, attached letter of censure should be sent. Letter to SAC will inform him of inspection results. SAC considers LaPrade SAC material; Inspector feels he would benefit from additional ASAC experience. Continue as ASAC.

3. Recommendations concerning other personnel handled separately.

~~SECRET~~

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI

FROM : SAC, Milwaukee (66-1700)

SUBJECT: RECOMMENDATION FOR INCENTIVE AWARD

DATE: 7/15/66

Mr. Tolson _____
Mr. DeLoach _____
Mr. Mohr _____
Mr. Bishop _____
Mr. Casper _____
Mr. Callahan _____
Mr. Conrad _____
Mr. Felt _____
Mr. Gale _____
Mr. Rosen _____
Mr. Sullivan _____
Mr. Tavel _____
Mr. Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Miss Holmes _____
Miss Gandy _____

Name of Employee J. WALLACE LA PRADE	Where Assigned Milwaukee	Social Security Number 225-22-3932
Position, Grade and Salary Assistant Special Agent in Charge GS-14 \$15,696.		EOD Date 5/7/51

BASIS for this recommendation is as follows: (Check one or more as facts justify.)

- ☐ 1. Sustained above-average performance for such period of time as would be reasonable under the circumstances, that merits recognition. (Point out specifically how performance is considered superior. Use examples and illustrations wherever possible. In addition to results attained advise what employee has done to achieve outstanding results.)
- ☒ 2. Exemplary performance of assigned tasks whereby previously unattained records of production are achieved. (Set forth production record with appropriate comparisons.)
- ☐ 3. Exemplary or courageous handling of an emergency situation in connection with or related to official employment. (Describe in detail, listing specific risks or dangers involved and results achieved.)
- ☐ 4. Ideas which have resulted in improved operations. (Summarize ideas and specific improvements therefrom. Set forth first year's net savings, if any, and how computed.)
- ☐ 5. Performance which has involved the overcoming of unusual difficulties. (List specific obstacles, problems, hardships, sacrifices, etc., as well as unusual investigative techniques utilized with results achieved, setting forth precisely how employee overcame obstacles, etc.)
- ☐ 6. Creative efforts, including inventions or techniques, which have increased efficiency, or improved the service. (Describe in detail listing benefits and/or savings resulting.)

REC-132

JUSTIFICATION: (Set forth below, and attach supplemental page(s) as necessary, a clear, concise report of employee's performance in justification of award. Be specific and omit generalities. Give facts, not conclusions. Not only advise what was accomplished, but how it was accomplished, placing emphasis on performance. Remember that these justifications must be adequate. They may be subject to post-audit outside the Bureau but do not withhold information for security reasons since neither this form nor any confidential information will be made available outside the Bureau for such post-audits.)

②-Bureau
2-Milwaukee
PHF:mk
(4)

SEE ATTACHED

See Addendum of Administrative Division Page 4.
Enclosures

Over. . .

JUSTIFICATION

The Bureau Applicant Program in the Milwaukee Office is supervised by Assistant Special Agent in Charge J. WALLACE LA PRADE. During the first 6 months of 1966 an all-out program was undertaken to insure that this office did its part and more in supplying the needs of the Bureau in regard to clerical applicants and special agent applicants as well. ASAC LA PRADE aggressively tackled this problem. He closely supervised the weekly assignments given resident agents to recruit applicants, meticulously checked to make certain that investigations were promptly and properly completed, and sent to the Bureau on time, and, in general, directly pushed the applicant program consistently and with a high degree of interest. His efforts in this regard were highly productive despite the fact that this office lost 9 experienced agents by retirement or transfer at the beginning of 1966.

During the first 6 months of 1966 the following notable record was achieved: clerical applicants for Seat of Government favorably recommended and interviewed - January - 10; February - 24; March - 21; April - 18; May - 9, and June - 18, for a total of 100.

42 clerical appointments were received during the first 6 months of 1966, namely: January - 1; February - 5; March - 11; April - 1; May - 3, and June - 21. These do not include clerical appointees who changed their minds at the last minute or even after appointment.

The above clerical applicant appointments are considerably higher than the 26 appointed during the comparable 6 months in 1965. In addition, the 100 favorable recommendations was a considerable better record than that achieved in the comparable 6 months in 1965, when 101 were favorably recommended, inasmuch as 47 of the latter occurred in April, 1965, at which time 4 agents were working full time on the Clerical Applicant Program.

ASAC LA PRADE's aggressive and highly competent handling of the Applicant Program also resulted in an average of 1 agent appointed during each of the first 6 months in 1966. 2 applicants are under investigation at present, and 8 have been favorably recommended since January 1, 1966.

The exemplary performance of ASAC LA PRADE in this vital field which has resulted through his excellent supervision in attaining materially improved records in the clerical field was achieved at the same time he handled 1 of 2 desks which together carry a case load of over 1400 cases. His additional efforts and the ingenuity displayed in capitalizing to the fullest extent on every possible source for applicants allowed him to devote essential attention to other extremely vital office programs, such as statistical accomplishments in which the office was able to complete the fiscal year with plus in all categories, except convictions, which equalled the previous fiscal year.

The above performance of ASAC LA PRADE is considered to be exemplary and definitely far above average, considering the results obtained in supplying essential personnel for the Seat of Government, and it is felt these achievements, due primarily to his efforts, warrant recognition through an Incentive Award. The Director's commendatory letter dated March 31, 1966 to the Special Agent in Charge, Milwaukee, regarding the applicant program and the highly favorable comments made by the inspector during the March - April, 1966 inspection serve to underscore the superlative achievements which ASAC LA PRADE has made possible in the applicant field during the first 6 months of 1966. Accordingly, it is recommended that the unusual contributions of ASAC LA PRADE in this important aspect of office operations be recognized through the medium of an Incentive Award.

RF

ADDENDUM OF ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION TJF:lks 7/20/66:

SAC, Milwaukee has recommended ASAC J. Wallace LaPrade, Milwaukee Division for an incentive award for his aggressiveness and highly competent handling of the clerical applicant recruitment program in that division. According to SAC Milwaukee, LaPrade aggressively tackled the program and pushed consistently with a high degree of interest. The Milwaukee Division was operating on a double quota of two each month during the period February through June, 1966, for a combined total of 10. However, through the results of their intensified program a total of 33 clerks entered on duty at Seat of Government. These results were accomplished through LaPrade's excellent supervision and his performance during this sustained period of time was far above-average. It is felt that ASAC LaPrade is deserving of an incentive award and, further, it is felt that a letter should be directed to SAC, Milwaukee commending him and, through him, personnel who contributed materially to the success of this most vital program.

ASAC LaPrade EOD 5/7/51; GS-14, \$16,675. Services have generally been satisfactory during the past 3 years and has been commended once and 3 times through SAC. Censured 4 times, the last 5/18/66 for derelictions detected during inspection in his supervision of an Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property case. Rated Excellent on 1966 annual performance report; is completely available; overtime satisfactory.

Copy of PERMANENT BRIEF OF HIS PERSONNEL FILE IS ATTACHED. *(original in Director's Office)*

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1) That ASAC J. Wallace LaPrade be afforded an incentive award in the amount of \$150.00. (Amount in line with awards previously granted for similar performances.)

2) That general letter be directed to SAC, Milwaukee, commending him and, through him, others who participated in this program.

AM *W* *W* *W*

Appropriate letters attached *W* *W*

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI Attn. Administrative
Division

DATE: December 30, 1966

FROM : SAC, Milwaukee

SUBJECT: J. WALLACE LA PRADE, GS-14
ASS'T. SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE, (ASAC)
MILWAUKEE DIVISION

The purpose of this communication is to recommend reallocation of ASAC LA PRADE to GS-15.

Mr. LA PRADE entered on duty 5-7-51, and was assigned as ASAC in the Milwaukee Office on 5-15-63. He has developed into an effective and highly competent official in an office which provides varied investigative and administrative experience and challenges. He makes a fine personal appearance, meets the public and press in a professional manner, and supervises his desk efficiently and in a business-like fashion. He has earned the respect of his subordinates, handles personnel well, and coordinates his administrative efforts smoothly with the SAC. His administration of the office in the absence of the SAC has been efficient and effective. His work requires little direction or supervision. Mr. LA PRADE has exhibited unusual efforts, ingenuity and tenacity in closely following statistical accomplishment matters, resulting in a favorable statistical picture at present with excellent across-the-board potential for the fiscal year.

Mr. LA PRADE has done a particularly effective job in the Applicant recruiting field, making certain every possible source is explored, and insuring that reports are promptly submitted and that the Milwaukee office quota has been well surpassed, including three January, 1967, appointments pending at present. His exceptionally fine accomplishments in this area were recognized by an Incentive Award in July, 1966.

He is also an accomplished Bureau speaker, and has received numerous commendatory letters from appreciative groups. His handling of 32 speeches, including 9 radio programs, during 1966 materially assisted in this vital public relations program, which considerably surpassed the previous year.

An over-all evaluation of Mr. LA PRADE's administrative and investigative capability and performance places him in the upper levels of excellent. During the past year, he has been

2 - Bureau
1 - Milwaukee
PHF:mcs
(3)

REC-139

67-430338-129	
Searched	Numbered
10 JAN 4 1967	



JAN 12 1967

U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

Letter to Director
12-30-66

individually commended by the Director twice, including an Incentive Award, shared in three commendations, and was censured once. He is strongly interested in administrative advancement, is completely available for same, and his health is apparently excellent. Mr. LA PRADE is 5 Ft. 10 3/4 In. in height with medium frame, and weighed 158 lbs., when weighed by SAC on 12-30-66, which is within desirable limits.

Based on foregoing considerations and evaluation of Mr. LA PRADE, and observation of him during the past year, SAC, Milwaukee, feels that his performance fully merits promotion at this time. Accordingly, it is recommended that Mr. LA PRADE be reallocated to Grade GS-15.



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**

*In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.*

Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20535

Dear Sir:

For inclusion in the fund to be paid to the designated beneficiary of any Special Agent of the FBI who has previously contributed to this fund and who dies from any cause except self-destruction while employed as a Special Agent, I am forwarding herewith (by Check - Money Order) the sum of \$10, payable to S.A.I.F., to be included in said fund. Payment will be made for death by self-destruction after the Agent has been a member of the fund for a continuous period of two years. It is understood and agreed that the sum tendered herewith is a voluntary, gratuitous contribution to said fund which I understand is to be administered in the following manner.

The Director of the FBI will appoint a committee which shall consider all matters pertaining to the acquisition, safe keeping and expending of said fund, which committee will recommend appropriate action to the Director in pertinent matters. The Assistant Director of the Administrative Division of the FBI shall receive all contributions and account for same to the Director. Upon the death of any Special Agent who is a member of said fund the appointed committee will consider the case and submit a recommendation to the Director as to its conclusions. Appropriate instructions will then be issued to the Assistant Director of the Administrative Division, directing him to pay to the designated beneficiary the sum of \$10,000. The liability of the fund shall not under any circumstances exceed the amount of monies in the fund at the time any liability shall occur.

EXECUTE IN DUPLICATE AND SUBMIT BOTH COPIES TO THE BUREAU

Official Bureau Name (please type or print)	Date	Office of Assignment (or SOG Division)
SA J. WALLACE LA PRADE	10-10-66	Milwaukee Office

The following person is designated as my beneficiary for Special Agents Insurance Fund:

Name (primary beneficiary; use given first name if female)	Relationship
ELIZABETH K. LA PRADE	Wife

Address	
5849 No. Witte Lane, Glendale, Wis. 53209	

Name (contingent beneficiary, if desired; use given first name if female)	Relationship

Address	

Do you desire to designate the above-listed beneficiaries as the beneficiary and contingent beneficiary respectively of the Chas. S. Ross Fund as well? ☒ Yes ☐ No If not, the entire following portion must be executed.

The following person is designated as my beneficiary under the Chas. S. Ross Fund providing \$1500 death benefit to beneficiary of agents killed in the line of duty, other than travel accidents.

Name (primary beneficiary; use given first name if female)	Relationship

Address	

Name (contingent beneficiary, if desired; use given first name if female)	Relationship

Address	

Very truly yours,

Payment Received
Special Agents Insurance Fund

CCF 1-1-67

J. Edgar Hoover, Director

J. Wallace La Prade
Special Agent

October 27, 1966

Mr. Paul H. Fields, Jr.
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Dear Mr. Fields:

I want to commend, through you, Assistant Special Agent in Charge J. Wallace LaPrade, Special Agents F. Frederick Kampfer and Jack D. Wood for the exemplary manner in which they carried out their responsibilities in connection with the investigation of the Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property case involving [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

These men demonstrated exceptional judgment and alertness in expeditiously handling investigative details which greatly facilitated the filing of Federal charges against [REDACTED]. Please express my appreciation to Mr. LaPrade for his very effective supervision of this matter and to the others for their noteworthy services.

b6
b7C

Sincerely yours,

1 - SAC, Milwaukee (Personal Attention)

Based on information submitted, Bureau has concluded that individual letters of commendation, as you recommended, are not warranted. Place a copy of this letter in files of above-named personnel.

1 - Miss Usilton (Sent Direct)

KEC:bl

(8)

Based on memo Eddy-Gale 10/20/66 and addendum Administrative Division 10/21/66 re: [REDACTED] aka - Fugitive; ITSP: AFO.

b6
b7C

Copies prepared and attached for placing in files of: OVER

Letter to Mr. Paul H. Fields, Jr.
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

J. Wallace LaPrade
F. Frederick Kampfer
Jack D. Wood

July 28, 1966

PERSONAL

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Dear Mr. LaPrade:

It is a pleasure to commend you and to advise you that I have approved an incentive award for you in recognition of your exceptionally fine performance in the over-all supervision of the Milwaukee Office's program to obtain clerical applicants for employment with the Bureau. The enclosed check represents an award of \$150.00.

You discharged your responsibilities with superior aggressiveness, determination and meticulous direction of pertinent aspects and the accomplishments of the Milwaukee Division are attributable in a great degree to your exceptional leadership in this important work. You were particularly effective in capitalizing to the fullest extent all possible sources and your efforts have been most productive and I am appreciative.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

Enclosure

1 - SAC, Milwaukee (Personal Attention) Enclosure

You should personally present this award and should this not be possible or should presentation be unreasonably delayed by your absence official acting for you should present it. Inform employee net amount of check represents this award less withholding tax.

1 - Mr. Feeney (Sent Direct)

1 - Miss Usilton (Sent Direct)

KEC:eaj (6)

Award #79-67

67-430338

Based on FD-255 from Milwaukee 7-15-66 and addendum Administrative Division 7-20-66.

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Wick _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

JUL 28 9 51 AM '66
REC'D-READING ROOM
FBI

JUL 28 11 56 AM '66
REC'D-READING ROOM

January 10, 1967

PERSONAL

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Dear LaPrade:

I am indeed pleased to advise you of your promotion to Grade GS 15, \$18,157 per annum, as Assistant Special Agent in Charge of the Milwaukee Office, effective January 15, 1967.

This promotion is temporary and will remain in effect only for the duration of your present assignment. Upon conclusion of your present assignment, you will be allocated to your permanent grade level.

Sincerely,

J. Edgar Hoover

REC-134

42-238-1150
JAN 12 1967
Numbered

MAILED 2
JAN 10 1967
COMM-FBI

1 - SAC, Milwaukee (PERSONAL ATTENTION)

You should advise Mr. LaPrade that if he is enrolled under SAMBA, he is now entitled to exercise his option to obtain maximum SAMBA Life Insurance of \$20,000 for his grade. In applying for this additional insurance, "Application for Additional Group Life Insurance" should be executed and mailed to SAMBA. To avoid submitting a Personal Health Statement the application should be mailed within sixty days after the effective date of this promotion.

1 - Miss Usilton

1 - Movement

1 - Miss Tibbetts

mcp (6)

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Wick _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Handwritten signatures and initials:
J. Edgar Hoover
V. Ker...
JBA...
RGH-5034

NOTIFICATION OF PERSONNEL ACTION
(EMPLOYEE — See General Information on Reverse)

5 PART
50-124-04

(FOR AGENCY USE)

1. NAME (CAPS) LAST-FIRST-MIDDLE LAPRADE, J. WALLACE (NR.)		MR.—MISS—MRS.	2. (FOR AGENCY USE)	3. BIRTH DATE (Mo., Day, Year) 7-27-26	4. SOCIAL SECURITY NO. 225-22-3932
5. VETERAN PREFERENCE 2 1—NO 2—5 PT. 3—10 PT. DISAB. 4—10 PT. COMP. 5—10 PT. OTHER		6. TENURE GROUP		7. SERVICE COMP. DATE	8. PHYSICAL HANDICAP CODE
9. FEGLI 1—COVERED 2—INELIGIBLE 3—WAIVED		10. RETIREMENT 1—CS 2—FICA 3—FS 4—NONE 5—OTHER		11. (FOR CSC USE)	
12. CODE NATURE OF ACTION PROMOTION		13. EFFECTIVE DATE (Mo., Day, Year) 1-15-67		14. CIVIL SERVICE OR OTHER LEGAL AUTHORITY EXCEPTED BY LAW	
15. FROM: POSITION TITLE AND NUMBER Supervisory Special Agent (Assistant Special Agent in Charge) 61-E-101 130		16. PAY PLAN AND OCCUPATION CODE GS Series 1811		17. (a) GRADE OR LEVEL 14	(b) STEP OR RATE 4
18. SALARY \$16,675 pa					
19. NAME AND LOCATION OF EMPLOYING OFFICE					

20. TO: POSITION TITLE AND NUMBER Supervisory Special Agent (Assistant Special Agent in Charge) 61-E-114 130		21. PAY PLAN AND OCCUPATION CODE GS Series 1811		22. (a) GRADE OR LEVEL 15	(b) STEP OR RATE 2	23. SALARY \$18,157 pa
24. NAME AND LOCATION OF EMPLOYING OFFICE						

25. DUTY STATION (City—county—State) S. & E., FBI		26. LOCATION CODE	
27. APPROPRIATION	28. POSITION OCCUPIED 1—COMPETITIVE SERVICE 2 2—EXCEPTED SERVICE	29. APPORTIONED POSITION FROM: TO: STATE 1—PROVED-1 2—WAIVED-2	

30. REMARKS: ☐ A. SUBJECT TO COMPLETION OF 1 YEAR PROBATIONARY (OR TRIAL) PERIOD COMMENCING ☐ B. SERVICE COUNTING TOWARD CAREER (OR PERMANENT) TENURE FROM: ☐ C. DURING PROBATION ☐ D. FROM APPOINTMENT OF 6 MONTHS OR LESS

SEPARATIONS: SHOW REASONS BELOW, AS REQUIRED. CHECK IF APPLICABLE:

This promotion is temporary and will remain in effect only for the duration of present assignment. Upon conclusion of present assignment employee will be allocated to permanent grade level.

67-NOT RECORDED
12 JAN 13 1967

31. DATE OF APPOINTMENT AFFIDAVIT (Accession only)		34. SIGNATURE (Or other authentication) AND TITLE Director	
32. OFFICE MAINTAINING PERSONNEL FOLDER (If different from employing office)		35. DATE 1-13-67	
33. CODE DJ 02	EMPLOYING DEPARTMENT OR AGENCY FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20535		
4. PERSONNEL FOLDER COPY			

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Wick _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

TO : J. P. MOHR

DATE: 1/9/67

FROM : W. S. HYDE *W. S. Hyde*

SUBJECT: ASAC J. WALLACE LA PRADE
MILWAUKEE OFFICE
EOD 5/7/51, GS-14, \$16,675

The purpose of this memo is to recommend that ASAC J. Wallace LaPrade, Milwaukee Office, be promoted from GS-14 to GS-15. His SAC, Paul H. Fields, Jr., is in Grade GS-16.

ASAC J. Wallace LaPrade entered on duty 5/7/51, is in Grade GS-14, \$16,675, 40 years old, married with four children, has a B.S. degree and was born in Virginia. He has served as ASAC in the Milwaukee Office since 5/15/63 (his first office as ASAC). During his service as ASAC he has been censured on four occasions, the latest by letter 5/18/66 for inspection findings of derelictions in a case supervised by him. During the same period, he has been commended on four occasions, the latest by letter 10/27/66 for the exemplary manner in which he carried out his duties in the investigation of an Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property case. He also received a cash award of \$150 by letter 7/28/66 for his exceptionally fine performance in the over-all supervision of the Milwaukee Office Clerical Applicant Program. The Milwaukee Office was last inspected in March and April 1966 and received the following ratings: Investigative Operations and Administrative Operations - Good; Physical Condition and Maintenance, Personnel Matters and Contacts - Very Good; Applicant Recruitment Matters - Excellent. The Inspector stated that LaPrade made an excellent personal appearance, was business-like, alert, readily accepted responsibility and was ambitious. In letter of 12/30/66 from SAC, Milwaukee in which he recommends ASAC LaPrade for promotion to GS-15, he stated LaPrade has been effective and highly competent, makes a fine personal appearance, meets the public and press in a professional manner and supervises his desk efficiently. His work requires little direction or supervision. LaPrade was last seen by the Director on 7/8/66 and the Director stated he made a good personal appearance.

As of 11/30/66 (latest figures available) the Milwaukee Office had 1488 investigative matters pending with 53 agents assigned. As of that same date, the Milwaukee Office was up in three of the four statistical categories, namely, +8% in fines, savings and recoveries; +5% in fugitives apprehended; +3% in automobiles recovered. It was down 24% in convictions.

BRIEF ATTACHED
WSH:lae(2)

20 JAN 16 1967

OVER

W. S. HYDE TO J. P. MOHR
ASAC J. WALLACE LA PRADE

RECOMMENDATION:

That ASAC J. Wallace LaPrade of the Milwaukee Office be promoted from
Grade GS-14 to Grade GS-15.

*Letter Prep.
1-10-67
mep*

WSA
WHL

✓

RLB

PERMANENT BRIEF OF LA PRADE's PERSONNEL FILE ATTACHED

Mr. Tolson	✓
Mr. DeLoach	✓
Mr. Mohr	✓
Mr. Wick	✓
Mr. Casper	✓
Mr. Callahan	✓
Mr. Conrad	✓
Mr. Felt	✓
Mr. Gale	✓
Mr. Rosen	✓
Mr. Sullivan	✓
Mr. Tavel	✓
Mr. Trotter	✓
Tele. Room	✓
Miss Holmes	✓
Miss Gandy	✓

Milwaukee, Wisconsin
January 12, 1967

Mr. J. Edgar Hoover, Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
U. S. Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20535

Dear Mr. Hoover:

I am elated with your letter informing me of my promotion to GS-15. This is most appreciated by my family, as well as myself.

The confidence and trust placed in me, as evidenced by this action, is something for which I am particularly grateful. I want to assure you I will continue to do everything humanly possible to attain and maintain the highest level of performance in my position.

Again, I want to express my many thanks for your affording me this promotion.

Sincerely,

J. Wallace LaPrade
J. Wallace LaPrade
Assistant Special Agent in Charge

67-430338-132
Searched _____ Indexed _____
10 JAN 17 1967
70

REC-146

EXP. PROC.
JAN-13-1967-33
16/67

9 JAN 18 1967

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : MR. TOLSON

DATE: 3/17/67

FROM : J. P. MOHR

SUBJECT: PERSONNEL CHANGES

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Wick _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

A need presently exists for an Inspector in the Inspection Division. ASAC J. Wallace LaPrade of the Milwaukee Office is well qualified to fill this need.

ASAC J. Wallace LaPrade entered on duty 5/7/51, is in Grade GS-15, \$18,157, 40 years old, married with four children, has a B. S. degree and was born in Franklin County, Virginia. He has served in Milwaukee as ASAC (his first office as ASAC) since 5/15/63. During his service as ASAC he has been censured on four occasions, the latest by letter 5/18/66 for inspection findings of derelictions in a case supervised by him. During this same period he has been commended on four occasions, the latest by letter 10/27/66 for the exemplary manner in which he carried out his duties in the investigation of an Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property case. He received a cash award of \$150 by letter 7/28/66 for the exceptionally fine performance in the over-all supervision of the Milwaukee Office Clerical Applicant Program. The Milwaukee Office was last inspected in March and April 1966 and received the following ratings: Investigative Operations and Administrative Operations - Good; Physical Condition and Maintenance, Personnel Matters and Contacts - Very Good; Applicant Recruitment Matters - Excellent. The Inspector stated that LaPrade made an excellent personal appearance, was businesslike, alert, readily accepted responsibilities and was ambitious. ASAC LaPrade was last seen by the Director on 7/8/66 and the Director stated he made a good personal appearance. As of 2/28/67 the Milwaukee Office was up in all four statistical categories, namely, +5% in convictions; +27% in fines, savings and recoveries; +10% in fugitives apprehended and +2% in automobiles recovered.

RECOMMENDATION:

REC-141

67-430338-133
Searched _____
91

That ASAC J. Wallace LaPrade be transferred from the Milwaukee Office to perform duties as an Inspector in the Inspection Division at no change in grade or salary.

BRIEF ATTACHED
WSH:lae(2)

transfer letter
prep 3-20-67
Kale



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20535

Dear Sir:

For inclusion in the fund to be paid to the designated beneficiary of any Special Agent of the FBI who has previously contributed to this fund and who dies from any cause except self-destruction while employed as a Special Agent, I am forwarding herewith (by Check - Money Order) the sum of \$10, payable to S.A.I.F., to be included in said fund. Payment will be made for death by self-destruction after the Agent has been a member of the fund for a continuous period of two years. It is understood and agreed that the sum tendered herewith is a voluntary, gratuitous contribution to said fund which I understand is to be administered in the following manner.

The Director of the FBI will appoint a committee which shall consider all matters pertaining to the acquisition, safe keeping and expending of said fund, which committee will recommend appropriate action to the Director in pertinent matters. The Assistant Director of the Administrative Division of the FBI shall receive all contributions and account for same to the Director. Upon the death of any Special Agent who is a member of said fund the appointed committee will consider the case and submit a recommendation to the Director as to its conclusions. Appropriate instructions will then be issued to the Assistant Director of the Administrative Division, directing him to pay to the designated beneficiary the sum of \$10,000. The liability of the fund shall not under any circumstances exceed the amount of monies in the fund at the time any liability shall occur.

EXECUTE IN DUPLICATE AND SUBMIT BOTH COPIES TO THE BUREAU

Official Bureau Name (please type or print)	Date	Office of Assignment (or SOG Division)
SA J. WALLACE LA PRADE	2-27-67	Milwaukee Office

The following person is designated as my beneficiary for Special Agents Insurance Fund:

Name (primary beneficiary; use given first name if female)	Relationship
ELIZABETH K. LA PRADE	Wife

Address	
5849 No. Witte Lane, Glendale, Wis. 53209	

Name (contingent beneficiary, if desired; use given first name if female)	Relationship

Address	

Do you desire to designate the above-listed beneficiaries as the beneficiary and contingent beneficiary respectively of the Chas. S. Ross Fund as well? ☒ Yes ☐ No If not, the entire following portion must be executed.

The following person is designated as my beneficiary under the Chas. S. Ross Fund providing \$1500 death benefit to beneficiary of agents killed in the line of duty, other than travel accidents.

Name (primary beneficiary; use given first name if female)	Relationship

Address	

Name (contingent beneficiary, if desired; use given first name if female)	Relationship

Address	

Payment Received
Special Agents Insurance Fund

MAR 1 1967

J. Edgar Hoover, Director

Very truly yours,

J. Wallace LaPrade
Ass't Special Agent in Charge

End

Mr. Tolson	✓
Mr. DeLoach	✓
Mr. Mohr	✓
Mr. Wick	✓
Mr. Casper	✓
Mr. Callahan	✓
Mr. Conrad	✓
Mr. Felt	✓
Mr. Gale	✓
Mr. Rosen	✓
Mr. Sullivan	✓
Mr. Tavel	✓
Mr. Trotter	✓
Tele. Room	✓
Miss Holmes	✓
Miss Gandy	✓

Milwaukee, Wisconsin
March 21, 1967

Mr. J. Edgar Hoover, Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
U. S. Department of Justice
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Hoover:

I have just received your letter changing my headquarters from Milwaukee to Washington, D.C. It is indeed an honor to be selected by you to serve with the Inspection Staff.

I will do my best to justify the confidence placed in assigning me to this position.

I am certainly looking forward to again being associated with you and the Headquarters staff.

Sincerely,

J. Wallace LaPrade
J. Wallace LaPrade
MILWAUKEE OFFICE

REC-139

44-30338-134	
Searched	Numbered
2	91

9 MAR 29 1967

EXP. PROC. 37

MAR 23 1967

3 gm

REC-148


March 20, 1967

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Dear Mr. LaPrade:

Your headquarters are changed for official reasons from Milwaukee, Wisconsin, to Washington, D. C., effective upon your arrival there on or after this date. Travel and transportation expenses and applicable allowances and benefits for you and your dependents incidental to this transfer as provided by the Administrative Expenses Act of 1946, as amended; Bureau of the Budget Circular Number A-56, dated October 12, 1966, and implementing regulations prescribed by this Bureau, shall be paid to you or on your behalf. However, before these expenses can be paid by the Government you must agree in writing (Bureau Form FD-384) to remain with the Government for one year following the effective date of the transfer. If you are being transferred to a duty station outside the continental United States the written agreement form FD-382 need only be executed. **Report to the Seat of Government, Room 5515, for assignment. You will be assigned inspection duties.**

Very truly yours,



Richard J. Evans
Paul
EMH

John Edgar Hoover
John Edgar Hoover
Director

Enclosure

1 - SAC, Milwaukee (Personal Attention)(Enclosures 2) Have ASAC LaPrade execute the enclosed Forms FD-384 and return the original and copy to the Bureau. Expedite transfer and advise within 48 hours departure and arrival dates.

1 - Mr. Felt

✓ Mr. LaPrade is to be assigned to your Division.

rah

(5)

"APPROPRIATE BUREAU PERSONNEL WILL BE NOTIFIED."

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Wick _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : MR. CALLAHAN

DATE: April 3, 1967

FROM : MR. FELT

SUBJECT: J. WALLACE LA PRADE
SPECIAL AGENT (Inspector Trainee)
INSPECTION DIVISION

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Wick _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

Handwritten: J. La Prade
as [unclear]
[unclear]

This is to advise that SA J. Wallace La Prade reported to the Inspection Division on this date for assignment as an Inspector (Trainee).

1 - Movement Unit

WMF:wmj
(5)

Handwritten: per #2
register
arrived 4-1-67
JPF

Handwritten: 3-78#

Handwritten: 430338-135

7C-149

Searched	Numbered
2	6
APR 6 1967	

Handwritten: 11
APR 11 1967

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 3/31/67

FROM : SAC, Milwaukee *W. J. [unclear]*

SUBJECT: ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF TRANSFER ORDERS

ReBulet 3/20/67*W. J. [unclear]*
W. J. [unclear]
W. J. [unclear]
W. J. [unclear]

Name J. WALLACE LA PRADE	Contemplated departure date
Title Asst. Special Agent in Charge	Annual leave en route none
Transfer to Washington, D.C.	Contemplated arrival date 4/1/67
Departed on transfer 3/30/67	Destination
Arrival on transfer (time and date) "	Reported for duty

Person to be notified in case of an emergency while en route on transfer (to be furnished when such person and address differ from information previously furnished the Bureau; if employee will be traveling with person who normally would be notified in the event of an emergency, he should furnish name and address of some other person)

Name

Relationship

Address

Comments:

67-1047-10-Bureau 1-Milwaukee
PHH:mk (2)
10 APR 1967

*17**THREE*



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Date: 3/20/67


Mr. John Edgar Hoover
Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Hoover:

In connection with my transfer from Milwaukee, Wisc. to Washington, D. C., I agree to remain with the service of the FBI for 12 months following the effective date of this transfer. It is understood that should I violate this agreement for personal reasons within my control, I become obligated to refund to the Government all costs incurred on my behalf for travel, transportation, and related expenses as described in the Government Travel Regulations.

Signature
J. Wallace LaPrade, ASAC

COPY RETAINED BY
VOUCHER - STAT SECTION



3/27/67

4-3-67
Noted 3/27
CWA

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : MR. TOLSON

FROM : W. M. FELT

SUBJECT: J. WALLACE LA PRADE
SPECIAL AGENT (Inspector Trainee)
INSPECTION DIVISION

DATE: April 3, 1967

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Wick _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

On reporting to the Inspection Division for assignment, the above-captioned individual was given a thorough briefing as to techniques, policies, and procedures germane to the Inspection Division. The necessity of conducting penetrative, objective inspections; need for accuracy; maintaining information which comes to him in the course of his duties in the Inspection Division in confidence; the need for following all Bureau rules and regulations; the necessity for maximum economy of operations and concrete accomplishments during inspections were thoroughly emphasized to him.

1 - Mr. Callahan

WMF:wmj
(3)

REC-149

1 APR 6 1967

1 APR 11 1967

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

REPORT OF PERFORMANCE RATING

Name of Employee: J. WALLACE LA PRADE

Where Assigned: Milwaukee
(Division)

(Section, Unit)

Official Position Title and Grade: Assistant Special Agent in Charge GS-15

Rating Period: from 4/1/66 to 3/31/67

ADJECTIVE RATING: Excellent
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

Employee's Initials

Rated by:

Paul H. Fields, Jr. Special Agent in Charge
Signature Title

3/31/67
Date

Reviewed by:

Assistant Director

APR 18 1964

Rating Approved by:

Signature

Title

Date _____

TYPE OF REPORT

☒ Official

☒ Annual

☐ Administrative☐ 60-Day☒ 90-Day☒ Transfer

Separation from Service

☐ Special

REC-150

Searcher's

Numbered

5 APR 13 1967

APR 21 1967

PERFORMANCE RATING GUIDE FOR INVESTIGATIVE PERSONNEL

(For use as attachment to Performance Rating Form No. FD-185)

Assistant Special

Name of Employee J. WALLACE LA PRADETitle Agent in Charge GS-15Rating Period: from 4/1/66 to 3/31/67

RATING GUIDE AND CHECK-LIST

Note: Only those items having pertinent bearing on employee's performance should be rated. All employees in same salary grade should be compared.

RATE ITEMS AS FOLLOWS:

- + Outstanding (exceeding excellent and deserving of special commendation).
E Excellent.
✓ Satisfactory (good or very good).
- Unsatisfactory.
O No opportunity to appraise performance during rating period.

Guide for determining objective rating:

- "Outstanding" adjective rating requires (A) that all elements be + and (B) that each and every rated element be factually justified by narrative details, including reasons for considering each worthy of Special Commendation and be attached to FD-185a.
- "Excellent," "Satisfactory" or "Unsatisfactory" adjective ratings will depend upon the composite result of evaluating all rated elements rather than following any mechanical formulas; however, for an employee to be rated "Excellent" he must not be rated unsatisfactory on any performance evaluation factors on the rating guide and check-list and must be rated "Excellent" or "Outstanding" on the majority of such rating factors. Good judgment must be exercised to insure that adjective rating is reasonable in the light of elements rated.
 - Any element rated "Unsatisfactory" must be supported by narrative comments.
 - An official rating of "Unsatisfactory" must be supported in writing stating (1) wherein the performance is unsatisfactory, (2) the facts of the (90-day) prior warning, and (3) the efforts made after the warning to help the employee bring his performance up to a satisfactory level and must be attached to FD-185a.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <u>+</u> (1) Personal appearance. | <u>+</u> (16) Firearms ability. |
| <u>+</u> (2) Personality and effectiveness of his personal contacts. | <u>+</u> (17) Development of informants and sources of information. |
| <u>+</u> (3) Attitude (including dependability, cooperativeness, loyalty, enthusiasm, amenability and willingness to equitably share work load). | <u>+</u> (18) Reporting ability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>O</u> (a) Investigative reports <u>O</u> (b) Summary reports <u>+</u> (c) Memos, letters, wires (Consider: <u>+</u> conciseness; <u>+</u> clarity; <u>+</u> organization; <u>+</u> thoroughness; <u>+</u> accuracy; <u>+</u> adequacy and pertinency of leads; <u>+</u> administrative detail.) |
| <u>+</u> (4) Physical fitness (including health, energy, stamina). | <u>O</u> (19) Performance as a witness. |
| <u>+</u> (5) Resourcefulness and ingenuity. | <u>+</u> (20) Executive ability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (a) Leadership <u>+</u> (b) Ability to handle personnel <u>+</u> (c) Planning <u>+</u> (d) Making decisions <u>+</u> (e) Assignment of work <u>+</u> (f) Training subordinates <u>+</u> (g) Devising procedures <u>+</u> (h) Emotional stability <u>+</u> (i) Promoting high morale <u>+</u> (j) Getting results |
| <u>+</u> (6) Forcefulness and aggressiveness as required. | <u>E</u> (21) Ability on raids and dangerous assignments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>E</u> (a) As leader <u>E</u> (b) As participant |
| <u>+</u> (7) Judgment, including common sense, ability to arrive at proper conclusions, ability to define objectives. | <u>E</u> (22) Organizational interest, such as making of suggestions for improvement. |
| <u>+</u> (8) Initiative and the taking of appropriate action on own responsibility. | <u>+</u> (23) Ability to work under pressure. |
| <u>+</u> (9) Planning ability and its application to the work. | <u>+</u> (24) Miscellaneous. Specify and rate: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>E</u> Dictation ability |
| <u>+</u> (10) Accuracy and attention to pertinent detail. | |
| <u>+</u> (11) Industry, including energetic, consistent application to duties. | |
| <u>+</u> (12) Productivity, including amount of acceptable work produced and rate of progress on or completion of assignments. Also consider adherence to deadlines unless failure to meet is attributable to causes beyond employee's control. | |
| <u>+</u> (13) Knowledge of duties, instructions, rules and regulations, including readiness of comprehension and "know how" of application. | |
| <u>E</u> (14) Investigative ability and results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (a) Internal security cases <u>E</u> (b) Criminal or general investigative cases <u>E</u> (c) Fugitive cases <u>E</u> (d) Applicant cases <u>O</u> (e) Accounting cases | |
| <u>E</u> (15) Physical surveillance ability. | |

A. Specify general nature of assignment during most of rating period (such as security, criminal, applicant squad, or as Resident Agent, supervisor, instructor, etc.):

Assistant Special Agent in Charge

B. Specify employee's most noteworthy special talents (such as investigator, desk man, research, instructor, speaker):

Desk man, speaker, administrator

- C. (1) Is employee available for general assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)
 (2) Is employee available for special assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)

D. 1. Has employee had an abnormal sick leave record during rating period? No 2. Has employee used more sick leave (including annual leave or LWOP for illness) during rating period than the amount of sick leave earned during such period? No (If answer to either question is "yes," explain in narrative comments.)

E. Is employee qualified to operate a motor vehicle incidental to his official duties? ☒ Yes ☐ No
 If answer is "yes," personnel file must reflect the following: (a) Has valid State or local operator's license for type vehicle he is to use.
 (b) Is physically fit to drive. (c) Past safe-driving record OK or has passed Bureau road test.

ADJECTIVE RATING:

Excellent

Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

EMPLOYEE'S INITIALS

JWS

NARRATIVE COMMENTS

1. PERSONAL APPEARANCE AND PERSONALITY:

ASAC J. WALLACE LA PRADE dresses in a business-like and conservative manner and presents an effective personal appearance. He exhibits energy and enthusiasm, is poised, and makes a fine Bureau representative.

2. ABILITY TO PARTICIPATE IN RAIDS AND DANGEROUS ASSIGNMENTS:

He is capable of participation in raids or dangerous assignments, and has done so in leadership capacity during the rating period. There is no hesitation in utilizing him in this capacity.

3. LIMITATIONS ON AVAILABILITY; PHYSICAL LIMITATIONS AFFECTING PERFORMANCE; AND SICK LEAVE INFORMATION:

He has no physical limitations affecting his performance, is completely available for any special or general assignment, and has not taken more sick leave than earned.

4. TYPE OF CASES OR WORK HANDLED AND APPRAISAL OF OVER-ALL PERFORMANCE INCLUDING ABILITY TO HANDLE COMPLICATED INVESTIGATIVE MATTERS AND SUPERVISION REQUIRED:

ASAC LA PRADE has functioned as Assistant Special Agent in Charge, during the entire rating period. He supervises investigative matters, including security, applicant and general criminal cases. He is experienced in administrative and supervisory responsibilities and his handling of all aspects of his desk is most effective. He accepts responsibility in a cooperative, productive manner, works well with office employees and officials whom he contacts, and has the respect of his subordinates. He has made numerous personal appearances during the rating period, including a number of radio programs, has received many commendatory remarks concerning his speeches, and is considered to be accomplished in this field. His relationships with news media have been productive and he has gained valuable experience in this regard. He has functioned as head of the office on many occasions in the absence of the SAC and has handled his responsibilities most effectively. He uses good judgement, follows policy matters closely to insure completion, and exhibits resourcefulness in his approach to his assignments. He has expended considerable and energetic efforts in supervising and emphasizing applicant matters which are assigned to his desk, and despite censure of the SAC in March, 1967, regarding failure of the office to meet total clerical quota for Seat of Government, ASAC is considered to have obtained excellent results during the first one-half of the rating period in applicant matters and to have obtained very satisfactory results over-all in this area. He is fully competent to handle complex and delicate investigative or administrative matters with competence and carries out his responsibilities

(SEE ATTACHED)

JWP
Initials

4. Continued:

professionally and competently in this regard. His work requires little direction or supervision. I consider his over-all performance to be excellent.

Just

5. NUMBER OF INCENTIVE AWARDS AND COMMENDATIONS RECEIVED:

He shared in an office commendation by Bulet 9-29-66 for no Bureau car accidents in the division during the past fiscal year; shared in group commendation by Bulet 10-27-66 for very effective supervision (SEE ATTACHED)

6. DISCIPLINARY ACTION AND JUSTIFICATION FOR ANY UNSATISFACTORY ITEMS:

(List items taken into consideration on rating guide and check list.)

He was censured by Bulet 5-18-66 for failure to insure earlier conclusion of SM-C investigation and prompt submission of report and for allowing a first office agent to obtain fugitive process in a true name check case, which derelictions were disclosed during regular Bureau inspection of the office. Item #7 on rating guide considered. SAC was censured by Bulet 3-13-67 for failure of office to meet total clerical quota for Seat of Government October, 1966, through February, 1967. Mr. La Prade, who supervises applicant matters, shares responsibility in this regard. Item #12 on rating guide considered.

7. PARTICIPATION IN INFORMANT PROGRAMS:

Mr. La Prade handles the security informant program and racial informants, on his desk. He has very competently discharged his responsibilities in these important areas of operation during the rating period.

8. TESTIFYING EXPERIENCE AND ABILITY:

He has had no occasion to testify during the rating period, but has previously done so and is considered to be a competent Government witness.

9. ACCOUNTING INFORMATION:

N/A

10. POLICE INSTRUCTION:

N/A

11. RESIDENT AGENTS:

N/A


Initials

5. Continued:

in ITSP case: received \$150 Incentive Award by Bulet 7-28-66
for exceptionally fine performance in supervision of clerical
applicant program during the first 6 months of the fiscal year.

JWS

12. EXPERIENCE AND ABILITY AS INSPECTOR'S AIDE:

N/A

13. FOREIGN LANGUAGE ABILITY:

N/A

Language in which proficient _____

Completed language school ☐ Yes ☐ No

Fluent in _____ language to extent Agent can handle typical investigative problems as follows: (1) Conversation form ☐ Yes ☐ No

(2) Written form ☐ Yes ☐ No

Evaluate language proficiency in each phase as excellent, very good, good, fair or unsatisfactory

<u>Language</u>	<u>Read</u>	<u>Write</u>	<u>Speak</u>	<u>Understand</u>
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Frequency _____ language ability used during rating period:

Frequency of use of _____ language ability anticipated during ensuing year:

14. ADMINISTRATIVE ADVANCEMENT:

(a) Agent is interested in administrative advancement. ☒ Yes ☐ No

(b) Agent is completely available for administrative advancement. ☒ Yes ☐ No

(c) Agent is considered completely qualified at present for administrative advancement, including experience, ability, personality and appearance. ☒ Yes ☐ No

(d) If answer to (c) is "Yes," Agent's qualifications are considered
☐ very good ☒ excellent ☐ outstanding

(e) If answer to (c) is "No," is Agent considered to have potential for future administrative advancement? (If applicable, explanatory comments required.) ☐ Yes ☐ No

Mr. La Prade is considered well qualified for further administrative advancement and entirely capable of assuming inspection staff responsibilities at the Seat of Government.


Initials

NOTIFICATION OF PERSONNEL ACTION
(EMPLOYEE — See General Information on Reverse)

5 PART
50-124-04

(FOR AGENCY USE)

1. NAME (CAPS) LAST-FIRST-MIDDLE LA PRADE, J. WALLACE (MR.)		MR.—MISS—MRS.	2. (FOR AGENCY USE)	3. BIRTH DATE (Mo., Day, Year) 7-27-26	4. SOCIAL SECURITY NO. 225-22-3932
5. VETERAN PREFERENCE 2 1—NO 2—5 PT. 3—10 PT. DISAB. 4—10 PT. COMP. 5—10 PT. OTHER			6. TENURE GROUP	7. SERVICE COMP. DATE	8. PHYSICAL HANDICAP CODE
9. FEGLI 1—COVERED 2—INELIGIBLE 3—WAIVED			10. RETIREMENT 1—CS 2—FICA 3—FS 4—NONE 5—OTHER		11. (FOR CSC USE)
12. CODE NATURE OF ACTION REASSIGNMENT			13. EFFECTIVE DATE (Mo., Day, Year) 4-3-67		14. CIVIL SERVICE OR OTHER LEGAL AUTHORITY EXCEPTED BY LAW
15. FROM: POSITION TITLE AND NUMBER Supervisory Special Agent (Assistant Special Agent in Charge) 61-F-114 130			16. PAY PLAN AND OCCUPATION CODE GS Series 1811		17. (a) GRADE OR LEVEL 15 (b) STEP OR RATE 2
					18. SALARY \$18,157 pa
19. NAME AND LOCATION OF EMPLOYING OFFICE					

20. TO: POSITION TITLE AND NUMBER Supervisory Special Agent 61-F-114 160		21. PAY PLAN AND OCCUPATION CODE GS Series 1811		22. (a) GRADE OR LEVEL 15 (b) STEP OR RATE 2	23. SALARY \$18,157 pa
24. NAME AND LOCATION OF EMPLOYING OFFICE					

25. DUTY STATION (City—county—State) S. & E., FBI			26. LOCATION CODE		
27. APPROPRIATION		28. POSITION OCCUPIED 1—COMPETITIVE SERVICE 2 2—EXCEPTED SERVICE		29. APPORTIONED POSITION FROM: TO: STATE 1—PROVED-1 2—WAIVED-2	

30. REMARKS: ☐ A. SUBJECT TO COMPLETION OF 1 YEAR PROBATIONARY (OR TRIAL) PERIOD COMMENCING ☐ B. SERVICE COUNTING TOWARD CAREER (OR PERMANENT) TENURE FROM: ☐ C. DURING PROBATION ☐ D. FROM APPOINTMENT OF 6 MONTHS OR LESS

SEPARATIONS: SHOW REASONS BELOW, AS REQUIRED. CHECK IF APPLICABLE:

67-NOT RECORDED
14 APR 18 1967

31. DATE OF APPOINTMENT AFFIDAVIT (Accessions only)		34. SIGNATURE (Or other authentication) AND TITLE J. E. Hoover Director	
32. OFFICE MAINTAINING PERSONNEL FOLDER (If different from employing office)		35. DATE 4-7-67	
33. CODE DJ 02	EMPLOYING DEPARTMENT OR AGENCY FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20535		

4. PERSONNEL FOLDER COPY

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 4/7/67

FROM : SAC, Milwaukee

SUBJECT: J. WALLACE LA PRADE

Asst. SPECIAL AGENT In Charge

Off Property
② H. H. H. H. H.
③ H. H. H. H. H.
H. H. H.

There are submitted herewith the following items referring to the above-named employee who was recently transferred to your office:

1. Personnel file
2. Field firearms record *(Sent to SAC, Quantico, 4/12/67) her*
3. Duplicate property record
(properly verified prior to departure) *detached per Property*
4. ☐ Health record card ☒ No health record card
5. ☒ Electrocardiogram tracings *det. and retained in Physical Unit. 4-10-67*
6. FD-324 (Special Agents' Accomplishments Record)

Date of last physical 3/24/67

Forwarded under separate cover:

T&A card
FD-329, "Monthly Report - VOT and TIO"
FD-304, "Record of Absences for Illness"
Leave slips

2 mos.

Technical training: ☒ Completed for first half 19 67
☐ Completed for 19 ____
☐ Delinquent technical training

REC-131

67-430338-138	
Searched	Numbered
19	APR 14 1967

1-Bureau.
1-Milwaukee
PHF:mk
(2)
Enclosures

ENCLOSURE

APR 13 1967

Registered Mail

H. H. H.
TERBEN

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. Callahan

DATE: 4-3-67

FROM : J. B. Adams

SUBJECT: J. WALLACE LA PRADE
Inspector
Inspection Division
EOD 5-7-51
GS-15, \$18,157
Veteran

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Wick _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

This is a brief summary of Mr. LaPrade's record for the Director's use. On 3-17-67 the Director approved that he be transferred from Milwaukee where he was serving as ASAC, to perform duties as an Inspector in the Inspection Division at no change in grade or salary. He reported to the Inspection Division on 4-3-67.

Mr. LaPrade entered on duty on 5-7-51, was promoted to grade GS-15 on 1-15-67, he is 40 years old and is married with 4 children. He served in two field offices, in the Domestic Intelligence Division, the Training and Inspection Division and later the Inspection Division, the Special Investigative Division and on 5-15-63 he was transferred to the Milwaukee Office as ASAC. During his tenure as ASAC, Milwaukee, he had been CENSURED on 4 occasions, the latest by letter dated 5-18-66 for derelictions detected during an inspection in his supervision of a case. During the same period he had been COMMENDED on four occasions and on 7-28-66 he received a CASH AWARD for his exceptionally fine performance in the over-all supervision of the Milwaukee Office Clerical Applicant Program.

On 3-31-66 he was rated EXCELLENT and comments reflected he was fully competent to handle complex and delicate investigative or administrative matters with assurance that such would be carried out professionally and competently. He was interested in, available for and had excellent qualifications for administrative advancement.

The Director last saw him on 7-8-66 and commented that he made a good personal appearance.

Since February, 1962, he has listed London, Richmond and Phoenix as his offices of preference. He stands #6 for London, #3 for Richmond and #10 for Phoenix. His overtime is satisfactory.

His nephew, J. Richard LaPrade, is a Cryptographic Equipment Operator, in GS-5, and is assigned to the Files and Communications Division.

FDH/jas (2) permanent brief attached.

*Backed
for filing*

April 14, 1967

MEMORANDUM FOR MR. TOLSON

On April 5, 1967, I saw Inspector J. Wallace LaPrade, who has recently been assigned to the Inspection Division. Mr. LaPrade makes a substantial personal appearance and seems to be intensely interested in his new assignment.

I discussed with him generally the duties and responsibilities of an Inspector and I believe he will endeavor to measure up to them in every respect.

Very truly yours,

J. E. H.

John Edgar Hoover
Director

JEH:EDM (4)

edm

SENT FROM D.O.	
TIME	4 23 PM
DATE	4/18/67
BY	<i>[Signature]</i>

REC-137

4303-140	
S. applied	Numbered
4 APR 18 1967	

7

APR 24 1967

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

[Signature]

[Signature]

REPORT OF MEDICAL EXAMINATION

88-105
1A-0109-200-7002

1. LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME LAPRADE, Wallace N.			2. GRADE AND COMPONENT OR POSITION Special Agent		3. IDENTIFICATION NO.	
4. HOME ADDRESS (Number, street or RFD, city or town, zone and State) 5849 N. Witte Lane Milwaukee, Wisconsin			5. PURPOSE OF EXAMINATION Annual		6. DATE OF EXAMINATION 24 Mar 67	
7. SEX Male	8. RACE Cau.	9. TOTAL YEARS GOVERNMENT SERVICE MILITARY 2 1/2 CIVILIAN 16		10. AGENCY FBI	11. ORGANIZATION UNIT MILWAUKEE	
12. DATE OF BIRTH 27 Jul 26		13. PLACE OF BIRTH Franklin City, Virginia		14. NAME, RELATIONSHIP, AND ADDRESS OF NEXT OF KIN Elizabeth Laprade, Wife Same as #4		
15. EXAMINING FACILITY OR EXAMINER, AND ADDRESS Naval Hospital, Great Lakes, Illinois				16. OTHER INFORMATION		
17. RATING OR SPECIALTY				TIME IN THIS CAPACITY (Total)		LAST SIX MONTHS

CLINICAL EVALUATION		
NOR- MAL	(Check each item in appropriate column; enter "NE" if not evaluated.)	ABNOR- MAL
X	18. HEAD, FACE, NECK, AND SCALP	
X	19. NOSE	
X	20. SINUSES	
X	21. MOUTH AND THROAT	
X	22. EARS—GENERAL (Enter R ear, Z on left; Otitis, wax, etc. in 72 and 73)	
X	23. DRUMS (Perforation)	
X	24. EYES—GENERAL (Visual fields, etc. in 72 and 73)	
X	25. OPHTHALMOSCOPIC	
X	26. PUPILS (Equality and reaction)	
X	27. OCULAR MOTILITY (Associated parallel movements, nystagmus)	
X	28. LUNGS AND CHEST (Include breasts)	
X	29. HEART (Thrust, size, rhythm, sounds)	
X	30. VASCULAR SYSTEM (Varicosities, etc.)	
X	31. ABDOMEN AND VISCERA (Include hernia)	
X	32. ANUS AND RECTUM (Hemorrhoids, fistulas, prostates, if indicated)	
X	33. ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	
X	34. G-U SYSTEM	
X	35. UPPER EXTREMITIES (Strength, range of motion)	
X	36. FEET	
X	37. LOWER EXTREMITIES (Except feet) (Strength, range of motion)	
X	38. SPINE, OTHER MUSCULOSKELETAL	
X	39. IDENTIFYING BODY MARKS, SCARS, TATTOOS	
X	40. SKIN, LYMPHATICS	
X	41. NEUROLOGIC (Equilibrium tests under item 72)	
X	42. PSYCHIATRIC (Specify any personality deviation)	
X	43. PELVIC (Females only) (Check how done)	
	<input type="checkbox"/> VAGINAL <input type="checkbox"/> RECTAL	

NOTES. (Describe every abnormality in detail. Enter pertinent item number before each comment. Continue in item 73 and use additional sheets if necessary.)

REC-131

67-430338-104
APR 18 1967
3/19

ENCLOSURE 100

(Continue in item 73)

44. DENTAL (Place appropriate symbols above or below number of upper and lower teeth, respectively.)																		REMARKS AND ADDITIONAL DENTAL DEFECTS AND DISEASES TYPE III EXAM CLASS I	
O—Restorable teeth I—Nonrestorable teeth X—Missing teeth XXX—Replaced by dentures (6 X 8)—Fixed bridge, brackets to include abutments																			
R	1	2	X	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	X	L		
I	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	X	E		

45. URINALYSIS: A. SPECIFIC GRAVITY 1.011				46. CHEST X-RAY (Place, date, film number and result) NH#13, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS, #7143-67 PA & LAT CHEST: WNL 24 Mar 67			
B. ALBUMIN Neg.				D. MICROSCOPIC Ess. Neg.			
C. SUGAR Neg.				49. BLOOD TYPE AND RH FACTOR NA			
47. SEROLOGY (Specify test used and result) VDRL: NON REA.				50. OTHER TESTS WBC: 7300 HCT: 46 HGB: 16.0			

1 APR 20 1967

MEASUREMENTS AND OTHER FINDINGS

51. HEIGHT 70-3/4"		52. WEIGHT 158		53. COLOR HAIR Brown		54. COLOR EYES Brown		55. BUILD: <input type="checkbox"/> SLENDER <input type="checkbox"/> MEDIUM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HEAVY <input type="checkbox"/> OBESE				56. TEMPERATURE 98.6																																							
57. BLOOD PRESSURE (Arm at heart level)						58. PULSE (Arm at heart level)																																													
A. SITTING SYS. 138 DIAS. 78		B. RECUMBENT SYS. DIAS.		C. STANDING (3 min.) SYS. DIAS.		A. SITTING 80		B. AFTER EXERCISE		C. 2 MIN. AFTER		D. RECUMBENT		E. AFTER STANDING 3 MIN.																																					
59. DISTANT VISION						60. REFRACTION						61. NEAR VISION																																							
RIGHT 20/ 20 CORR. TO 20/						BY S. OX						J-1@14 CORR. TO BY																																							
LEFT 20/ 20 CORR. TO 20/						BY S. OX						J-1@14 CORR. TO BY																																							
62. HETEROPHORIA (Specify distance)																																																			
ES°		EX°		R. H.		L. H.		PRISM DIV.		PRISM CONV. CT		PC		PD																																					
63. ACCOMMODATION				64. COLOR VISION (Test used and result)				65. DEPTH PERCEPTION (Test used and score)				UNCORRECTED																																							
RIGHT LEFT				Passed Farnsworth Lantern								CORRECTED																																							
66. FIELD OF VISION				67. NIGHT VISION (Test used and score)				68. RED LENS TEST				69. INTRAOCULAR TENSION																																							
70. HEARING				71. A.S.A. AUDIOMETER								72. PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PSYCHOMOTOR (Tests used and score)																																							
RIGHT WV /15 SV /15				<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td>250</td> <td>500</td> <td>1000</td> <td>2000</td> <td>3000</td> <td>4000</td> <td>6000</td> <td>8000</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>dB</td> <td>dB</td> <td>dB</td> <td>dB</td> <td>dB</td> <td>dB</td> <td>dB</td> <td>dB</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RIGHT</td> <td>0</td> <td>-10</td> <td>-10</td> <td>-10</td> <td>-10</td> <td>0</td> <td>20</td> <td>15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LEFT</td> <td>15</td> <td>20</td> <td>20</td> <td>5</td> <td>15</td> <td>15</td> <td>25</td> <td>15</td> </tr> </table>									250	500	1000	2000	3000	4000	6000	8000		dB	dB	dB	dB	dB	dB	dB	dB	RIGHT	0	-10	-10	-10	-10	0	20	15	LEFT	15	20	20	5	15	15	25	15				
	250	500	1000	2000	3000	4000	6000	8000																																											
	dB	dB	dB	dB	dB	dB	dB	dB																																											
RIGHT	0	-10	-10	-10	-10	0	20	15																																											
LEFT	15	20	20	5	15	15	25	15																																											
LEFT WV /15 SV /15																																																			

73. NOTES (Continued) AND SIGNIFICANT OR INTERVAL HISTORY

(Use additional sheets if necessary)

74. SUMMARY OF DEFECTS AND DIAGNOSES (List diagnoses with item numbers)

75. RECOMMENDATIONS—FURTHER SPECIALIST EXAMINATIONS INDICATED (Specify)

76. EXAMINEE (Check)

A. ☒ IS QUALIFIED FOR FBI ANNUAL.
B. ☐ IS NOT QUALIFIED FOR

77. IF NOT QUALIFIED, LIST DISQUALIFYING DEFECTS BY ITEM NUMBER

78. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN

J. J. ZELKO LT MC USNR

79. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN

80. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF DENTIST OR PHYSICIAN (Indicate which)

D. L. CHALMERS LT DCUSNR

81. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF REVIEWING OFFICER OR APPROVING AUTHORITY

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

76. A. PHYSICAL PROFILE

P	U	L	H	E	S

B. PHYSICAL CATEGORY

A	B	C	E

NUMBER OF ATTACHED SHEETS

Just

Attachment to Standard Form 88, Report of Medical Examination

For Information and Guidance of Medical Examiner

Name of Examinee
(Type or print)

La Prade J. Wallace

Last First Middle

The following portions of the attached examination report form need not be completed:

2	14	68
3	17	69
4	62	72
9	65	76
11	67	

46. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.
48. Not required unless examinee is over 35 years of age or examination indicates such is desirable.
49. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.
71. Audiometer examinations should be afforded whenever possible for all Special Agent applicants and Special Agents. Applicants for the Special Agent position will not be accepted if the hearing loss exceeds a 15 decibel average in either ear in the conversational speech range (500, 1000, 2000 cycles).

For All Examinees, Whether Clerical or Special Agent Applicants or Employees:

The medical examiner should answer the following question:

Examinee ☒ is ☐ is not qualified for strenuous physical exertion.

To be Answered in the Case of All Male Employees and Male Applicants:

1. Does examinee have any defects restricting or prohibiting his participation in defensive tactics and dangerous assignments which might entail the practical use of firearms?

☒ No ☐ Yes If "yes" please specify defects. _____

2. Does examinee have any defects prohibiting safe operation of motor vehicles?

☒ No ☐ Yes If "yes" please specify defects. _____

3. For safe driving of motor vehicles, Civil Service Commission requires distant vision must test at least 20/40 in one eye and 20/100 in the other, corrected or uncorrected. Should examinee wear corrective glasses while operating a motor vehicle? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- If recommendation is based on a factor other than above standard, indicate basis _____


67-43200

Desirable Weight Ranges for Males

Height	Small Frame	Medium Frame	Large Frame
5' 4"	117 - 125	123 - 135	131 - 148
5' 5"	120 - 129	126 - 139	134 - 152
5' 6"	124 - 133	130 - 143	138 - 157
5' 7"	128 - 137	134 - 148	143 - 162
5' 8"	132 - 141	138 - 152	147 - 166
5' 9"	136 - 146	142 - 156	151 - 170
5' 10"	140 - 150	146 - 161	155 - 175
5' 11"	144 - 154	150 - 166	160 - 180
6'	148 - 158	154 - 171	164 - 185
6' 1"	152 - 163	158 - 176	169 - 190
6' 2"	156 - 167	163 - 181	174 - 195
6' 3"	160 - 171	168 - 186	178 - 200
6' 4"	169 - 180	178 - 196	188 - 210
6' 5"	174 - 185	182 - 202	192 - 216

4. Examinee's frame is ☐ small ☐ medium ☒ large
5. Considering above weight table, the examinee's frame, and other individual physical characteristics, I consider his present weight - ☒ Satisfactory ☐ Excessive ☐ Deficient
6. Under proper medical supervision, examinee should ☐ lose _____ pounds
☐ gain _____ pounds

Remarks: _____


 (Signature of Medical Examiner)

November 24, 1967
 (Date)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

REPORT OF PERFORMANCE RATING

Name of Employee: J. WALLACE LA PRADEWhere Assigned: INSPECTION
(Division)

(Section, Unit)

Official Position Title and Grade: SPECIAL AGENT (INSPECTOR TRAINEE) GS-15Rating Period: from 4/1/67 to 7/1/67ADJECTIVE RATING: EXCELLENT
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, UnsatisfactoryEmployee's
InitialsJWL

Rated by:

[Signature]
Signature

Assistant Director

Title

Date

Reviewed by:

[Signature]
Signature

Assistant Director

Title

Date

JUL 18 1967

Rating Approved by:

Signature

REC-130

Title

Date

TYPE OF REPORT

☐ Official
☐ Annual

☒ Administrative
☐ 60-Day
☒ 90-Day
☐ Transfer
☐ Separation from Service
☐ Special

73

[Signature]

PERFORMANCE RATING GUIDE FOR INVESTIGATIVE PERSONNEL

(For use as attachment to Performance Rating Form No. FD-185)

Name of Employee J. WALLACE LA PRADE

RATING GUIDE AND CHECK-LIST

Note: Only those items having pertinent bearing on employee's performance should be rated. All employees in same salary grade should be compared.

RATE ITEMS AS FOLLOWS:

- + Outstanding (exceeding excellent and deserving of special commendation).
E Excellent.
✓ Satisfactory (good or very good).
- Unsatisfactory.
O No opportunity to appraise performance during rating period.

Guide for determining adjective ratings:

- "Outstanding" adjective rating requires (A) that all elements be + and (B) that each and every rated element be factually justified by narrative details, including reasons for considering each worthy of Special Commendation and be attached to FD-185a.
- "Excellent," "Satisfactory" or "Unsatisfactory" adjective ratings will depend upon the composite result of evaluating all rated elements rather than following any mechanical formulas; however, for an employee to be rated "Excellent" he must not be rated unsatisfactory on any performance evaluation factors on the rating guide and check-list and must be rated "Excellent" or "Outstanding" on the majority of such rating factors. Good judgment must be exercised to insure that adjective rating is reasonable in the light of elements rated.
 - Any element rated "Unsatisfactory" must be supported by narrative comments.
 - An official rating of "Unsatisfactory" must be supported in writing stating (1) wherein the performance is unsatisfactory, (2) the facts of the (90-day) prior warning, and (3) the efforts made after the warning to help the employee bring his performance up to a satisfactory level and must be attached to FD-185a.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <u>+</u> (1) Personal appearance. | <u>+</u> (16) Firearms ability. |
| <u>E</u> (2) Personality and effectiveness of his personal contacts. | <u>O</u> (17) Development of informants and sources of information. |
| <u>+</u> (3) Attitude (including dependability, cooperativeness, loyalty, enthusiasm, amenability and willingness to equitably share work load). | <u>E</u> (18) Reporting ability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>O</u> (a) Investigative reports <u>O</u> (b) Summary reports <u>E</u> (c) Memos, letters, wires (Consider: <u>E</u> conciseness; <u>E</u> clarity; <u>E</u> organization; <u>E</u> thoroughness; <u>E</u> accuracy; <u>E</u> adequacy and pertinency of leads; <u>E</u> administrative detail.) |
| <u>+</u> (4) Physical fitness (including health, energy, stamina). | <u>O</u> (19) Performance as a witness. |
| <u>+</u> (5) Resourcefulness and ingenuity. | <u>E</u> (20) Executive ability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>E</u> (a) Leadership <u>E</u> (b) Ability to handle personnel <u>E</u> (c) Planning <u>E</u> (d) Making decisions <u>E</u> (e) Assignment of work <u>E</u> (f) Training subordinates <u>E</u> (g) Devising procedures <u>E</u> (h) Emotional stability <u>E</u> (i) Promoting high morale <u>E</u> (j) Getting results |
| <u>+</u> (6) Forcefulness and aggressiveness as required. | <u>O</u> (21) Ability on raids and dangerous assignments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>O</u> (a) As leader <u>E</u> (b) As participant |
| <u>E</u> (7) Judgment, including common sense, ability to arrive at proper conclusions, ability to define objectives. | <u>E</u> (22) Organizational interest, such as making of suggestions for improvement. |
| <u>+</u> (8) Initiative and the taking of appropriate action on own responsibility. | <u>+</u> (23) Ability to work under pressure. |
| <u>E</u> (9) Planning ability and its application to the work. | <u>E</u> (24) Miscellaneous. Specify and rate: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>E</u> Dictation ability |
| <u>E</u> (10) Accuracy and attention to pertinent detail. | |
| <u>+</u> (11) Industry, including energetic, consistent application to duties. | |
| <u>E</u> (12) Productivity, including amount of acceptable work produced and rate of progress on or completion of assignments. Also consider adherence to deadlines unless failure to meet is attributable to causes beyond employee's control. | |
| <u>E</u> (13) Knowledge of duties, instructions, rules and regulations, including readiness of comprehension and "know how" of application. | |
| <u>O</u> (14) Investigative ability and results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>O</u> (a) Internal security cases <u>O</u> (b) Criminal or general investigative cases <u>O</u> (c) Fugitive cases <u>O</u> (d) Applicant cases <u>O</u> (e) Accounting cases | |
| <u>O</u> (15) Physical surveillance ability. | |

- A. Specify general nature of assignment during most of rating period (such as security, criminal, applicant squad, or as Resident Agent, supervisor, instructor, etc.):

Inspector (Trainee)

- B. Specify employee's most noteworthy special talents (such as investigator, desk man, research, instructor, speaker):

Inspections, surveys

- C. (1) Is employee available for general assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)
 (2) Is employee available for special assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)
- D. 1. Has employee had an abnormal sick leave record during rating period? No 2. Has employee used more sick leave (including annual leave or LWOP for illness) during rating period than the amount of sick leave earned during such period? No (If answer to either question is "yes," explain in narrative comments.)
- E. Is employee qualified to operate a motor vehicle incidental to his official duties? ☒ Yes ☐ No
 If answer is "yes," personnel file must reflect the following: (a) Has valid State or local operator's license for type vehicle he is to use.
 (b) Is physically fit to drive. (c) Past safe driving record OK or has passed Bureau road test.

ADJECTIVE RATING:

EXCELLENT

Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

EMPLOYEE'S INITIALS

Just

NARRATIVE COMMENTS

1. PERSONAL APPEARANCE AND PERSONALITY:

Mr. LaPrade makes a substantial personal appearance. He has a pleasant personality and is a fine Bureau representative.

2. ABILITY TO PARTICIPATE IN RAIDS AND DANGEROUS ASSIGNMENTS:

I have not had an opportunity to observe Mr. LaPrade on such an assignment; however, he is rated Outstanding in Firearms Ability and I feel he is fully qualified to participate in raids and other dangerous assignments.

3. LIMITATIONS ON AVAILABILITY; PHYSICAL LIMITATIONS AFFECTING PERFORMANCE; AND SICK LEAVE INFORMATION:

None

4. TYPE OF CASES OR WORK HANDLED AND APPRAISAL OF OVER-ALL PERFORMANCE INCLUDING ABILITY TO HANDLE COMPLICATED INVESTIGATIVE MATTERS AND SUPERVISION REQUIRED:

Mr. LaPrade reported to the Inspection Division on April 1, 1967, as an Inspector Trainee. He previously served as ASAC in the Milwaukee Office, where his services were rated as Excellent.

During the rating period Mr. LaPrade has assisted on four inspections and has been the Inspector in Charge of the recently completed Little Rock inspection.

Mr. LaPrade has an excellent knowledge of inspection procedures. He has had extensive Bureau experience both in the field and at the Seat of Government. He is an enthusiastic and willing worker and the inspection material including the Little Rock summary have required a minimum of supervision. His progress on the Inspection Staff to date has been excellent.


Initials

5. NUMBER OF INCENTIVE AWARDS AND COMMENDATIONS RECEIVED:

By letter June 1, 1967, through the Inspector in Charge, Mr. LaPrade was commended for effectively participating in the difficult investigation which resulted in the identification of the writer of an anonymous letter.

6. DISCIPLINARY ACTION AND JUSTIFICATION FOR ANY UNSATISFACTORY ITEMS:

(List items taken into consideration on rating guide and check list.)

NA

7. PARTICIPATION IN INFORMANT PROGRAMS:

NA

8. TESTIFYING EXPERIENCE AND ABILITY:

Mr. LaPrade has not testified during the rating period. However, based upon his overall performance, his poise and demeanor, I feel he would make an excellent witness.

9. ACCOUNTING INFORMATION:


NA

10. POLICE INSTRUCTION:

NA

11. RESIDENT AGENTS:

NA


Initials

12. EXPERIENCE AND ABILITY AS INSPECTOR'S AIDE:

See previous comments.

13. FOREIGN LANGUAGE ABILITY:

Language in which proficient NA
Completed language school ☐ Yes ☐ No
Fluent in _____ language to extent Agent can handle typical investigative problems as follows: (1) Conversation form ☐ Yes ☐ No
(2) Written form ☐ Yes ☐ No
Evaluate language proficiency in each phase as excellent, very good, good, fair or unsatisfactory

<u>Language</u>	<u>Read</u>	<u>Write</u>	<u>Speak</u>	<u>Understand</u>
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Frequency _____ language ability used during rating period:

Frequency of use of _____ language ability anticipated during ensuing year:

14. ADMINISTRATIVE ADVANCEMENT:

- (a) Agent is interested in administrative advancement. ☒ Yes ☐ No
(b) Agent is completely available for administrative advancement. ☒ Yes ☐ No
(c) Agent is considered completely qualified at present for administrative advancement, including experience, ability, personality and appearance. ☒ Yes ☐ No
(d) If answer to (c) is "Yes," Agent's qualifications are considered
☐ very good ☒ excellent ☐ outstanding
(e) If answer to (c) is "No," is Agent considered to have potential for future administrative advancement? (If applicable, explanatory comments required.) ☐ Yes ☐ No

Jan
Initials

(FOR AGENCY USE)

1. NAME (CAPS) LAST-FIRST-MIDDLE LA PRADE, J. WALLACE (MR.)		MR.—MISS—MRS.	2. (FOR AGENCY USE)	3. BIRTH DATE (Mo., Day, Year) 7-27-26	4. SOCIAL SECURITY NO. 225-22-3932
5. VETERAN PREFERENCE 2 1—NO 2—5 PT. 3—10 PT. DISAB. 4—10 PT. COMP. 5—10 PT. OTHER			6. TENURE GROUP	7. SERVICE COMP. DATE	8. PHYSICAL HANDICAP CODE
9. FEGLI 1—COVERED 2—INELIGIBLE 3—WAIVED			10. RETIREMENT 1—CS 2—FICA 3—FS 4—NONE		11. (FOR CSC USE)
12. CODE NATURE OF ACTION REASSIGNMENT			13. EFFECTIVE DATE (Mo., Day, Year) 7-17-67		14. CIVIL SERVICE OR OTHER LEGAL AUTHORITY EXCEPTED BY LAW
15. FROM: POSITION TITLE AND NUMBER Supervisory Special Agent 61-F-114 160			16. PAY PLAN AND OCCUPATION CODE GS Series 1811		17. (a) GRADE OR LEVEL 15 (b) STEP OR RATE 2
18. SALARY \$18,157 pa					
19. NAME AND LOCATION OF EMPLOYING OFFICE					

20. TO: POSITION TITLE AND NUMBER Inspector 61-F-114 150		21. PAY PLAN AND OCCUPATION CODE GS Series 1811		22. (a) GRADE OR LEVEL 15 (b) STEP OR RATE 2	23. SALARY \$18,157 pa
24. NAME AND LOCATION OF EMPLOYING OFFICE					

25. DUTY STATION (City—county—State)			26. LOCATION CODE		
27. APPROPRIATION S. & E., FBI			28. POSITION OCCUPIED 1—COMPETITIVE SERVICE 2—EXCEPTED SERVICE		29. APPORTIONED POSITION FROM: TO: STATE 1—PROVED-1 2—WAIVED-2

30. REMARKS: ☐ A. SUBJECT TO COMPLETION OF 1 YEAR PROBATIONARY (OR TRIAL) PERIOD COMMENCING ☐ B. SERVICE COUNTING TOWARD CAREER (OR PERMANENT) TENURE FROM: ☐ C. DURING PROBATION ☐ D. FROM APPOINTMENT OF 6 MONTHS OR LESS

SEPARATIONS: SHOW REASONS BELOW, AS REQUIRED. CHECK IF APPLICABLE:

67-NOT RECORDED
JUL 20 13 367

31. DATE OF APPOINTMENT AFFIDAVIT (Accessions only)		34. SIGNATURE (Or other authentication) AND TITLE J. E. Hoover Director	
32. OFFICE MAINTAINING PERSONNEL FOLDER (If different from employing office)		35. DATE 7-18-67	
33. CODE DJ 02	EMPLOYING DEPARTMENT OR AGENCY FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20535		

June 1, 1967

Mr. Leonard M. Walters
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Walters:

It is a pleasure to commend you and, through you, the personnel on your staff who so effectively participated in the difficult investigation which resulted in the identification of the writer of an anonymous letter.

Through your exemplary direction and the excellent work of the staff, the writer of this anonymous letter was promptly identified and this matter resolved. I do not want the opportunity to pass without thanking you for your fine leadership and to ask that you convey my appreciation to the officers for a job well done.

Sincerely yours,

1 - Mr. Felt (Personal Attention)

Copy of this letter is being placed in personnel files of appropriate participants.

1 - Miss Usilton (Sent Direct)

MSM

(13)

Based on Felt-Tolson memo 5/29/67 re: Anonymous Letter Postmarked 5/20/67, Making Allegations against [redacted] Occupational Health Nurse, Newark Division - Personnel Matter. b6 b7c

Copies prepared and attached for placing in personnel files of -
John W. Burns, J. Wallace LaPrade, Charles J. Devic, Bruce P. Fisher, James H. Kavanagh, Dennis G. Lofgren, Harold C. Swanson, and Loy A. Wagoner.

67-1-1000-1



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to
 File No.

Director
 Federal Bureau of Investigation
 United States Department of Justice
 Washington, D. C. 20535

Dear Sir:

For inclusion in the fund to be paid to the designated beneficiary of any Special Agent of the FBI who has previously contributed to this fund and who dies from any cause except self-destruction while employed as a Special Agent, I am forwarding herewith (by Check - Money Order) the sum of \$20, payable to S.A.I.F., to be included in said fund. Payment will be made for death by self-destruction after the Agent has been a member of the fund for a continuous period of two years. It is understood and agreed that the sum tendered herewith is a voluntary, gratuitous contribution to said fund which I understand is to be administered in the following manner.

The Director of the FBI will appoint a committee which shall consider all matters pertaining to the acquisition, safe keeping and expending of said fund, which committee will recommend appropriate action to the Director in pertinent matters. The Assistant Director of the Administrative Division of the FBI shall receive all contributions and account for same to the Director. Upon the death of any Special Agent who is a member of said fund the appointed committee will consider the case and submit a recommendation to the Director as to its conclusions. Appropriate instructions will then be issued to the Assistant Director of the Administrative Division, directing him to pay to the designated beneficiary the sum of \$20,000. The liability of the fund shall not under any circumstances exceed the amount of monies in the fund at the time any liability shall occur.

EXECUTE IN DUPLICATE AND SUBMIT BOTH COPIES TO THE BUREAU

Official Bureau Name (please type or print)	Date	Office of Assignment (or SOG Division)
SA <u>J. Wallace LaPrade</u>	<u>5/26/27</u>	<u>Inspection Div.</u>
The following person is designated as my beneficiary for Special Agents Insurance Fund:		
Name (primary beneficiary; use given first name if female)	Relationship	
<u>Elizabeth K. LaPrade</u>	<u>WIFE</u>	
Address		
<u>7904 Tansen Ct. Springfield, Va. 22150</u>		
Name (contingent beneficiary, if desired; use given first name if female)	Relationship	
Address		

Do you desire to designate the above-listed beneficiaries as the beneficiary and contingent beneficiary respectively of the Chas. S. Ross Fund as well? ☒ Yes ☐ No If not, the entire following portion must be executed.

The following person is designated as my beneficiary under the Chas. S. Ross Fund providing \$1500 death benefit to beneficiary of agents killed in the line of duty, other than travel accidents.

Name (primary beneficiary; use given first name if female)	Relationship
Address	
Name (contingent beneficiary, if desired; use given first name if female)	Relationship
Address	

Very truly yours,

Payment Received
 Special Insurance Fund

JUN 6 1927

J. Edgar Hoover, Director

J. Wallace LaPrade
 Special Agent

B-ecd

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI 225-22-3937-11

FROM : J. Wallace LaPrade
(Name of employee)
Special Agent D-10
(Title)

SUBJECT: OFFICES OF PREFERENCE

DATE:

5/19/67

Attention: Movement Unit

Please list my offices of preference as follows:

1. RICHMOND 3710

2. _____ 0000

3. _____ 0000

Rowner
J

ED

5 MAY 1967

MAY 29 1967

T. K. E. E.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : MR. TOLSON

DATE: July 13, 1967

FROM : W. M. FELT

SUBJECT: J. WALLACE LA PRADE
SPECIAL AGENT (INSPECTOR TRAINEE)
INSPECTION DIVISION
EOD 5/7/51
GS-15 @ \$18,157
VETERAN

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Wick _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

Attached is a 90-day performance rating for Mr. LaPrade, who reported to the Inspection Staff as an Inspector Trainee on April 1, 1967.

As indicated in the attached performance rating, Mr. LaPrade's progress to date has been excellent. He served as Number One Man on several inspections and recently completed Little Rock inspection as the Inspector in Charge; This inspection was conducted in an effective manner and indicates that LaPrade is capable of handling field inspections as the Inspector in Charge.

Mr. LaPrade was last seen by the Director on April 14, 1967. The Director commented that he "makes a substantial personal appearance and seems to be intensely interested in his new assignment."

He equitably shares the overtime in the Inspection Division and is within the desirable weight limits.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Mr. LaPrade be designated as an Inspector with no change in grade or salary.

1 - Mr. Callahan

1 - Mr. Mohr

PERMANENT BRIEF OF SA J. WALLACE LA PRADE ATTACHED

Enclosures

WMF:wmj (5)

REC-130

67-430338-143
Searched _____
4 JUL 20 1967

ENCLOSURE
1/102

7-13

Let prep
mws 7-17-67

3-ant

November 21, 1967

PERSONAL

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear LaPrade:

It is a pleasure to commend you for the manner in which you supervised the inspection of the Espionage Division in the New York Office which resulted in a material saving due to several streamlining procedures which were effected.

As a result of your splendid endeavors, these new procedures will aid the Bureau in better fulfilling its responsibilities. I want you to know I appreciate your guidance in these matters.

Sincerely,

J. Edgar Hoover

1 - Mr. Felt (Personal Attention)

1 - Miss Usilton (Sent Direct) **REC-135**

NLK *per*
(5)

67-430338

Based on Felt-Tolson memo 11/17/67 re Inspection - New York Office; 10/12/67 - 11/3/67.

Salutation per file.

67-430338-144
Searched _____
Numbered _____
5 NOV 22 1967

Re: 3

Tolson	_____
DeLoach	_____
Mohr	_____
Bishop	_____
Casper	_____
Callahan	_____
Conrad	_____
Felt	_____
Gale	_____
Rosen	_____
Sullivan	_____
Tavel	_____
Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Holmes	_____
Gandy	_____

11
NOV 22 1967
MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

September 19, 1967

Mr. Edmund D. Mason
The Columbus Dispatch
34 South Third Street
Columbus, Ohio 43216

Dear Mason:

Thank you for writing on September 14th
to furnish me your comments concerning Inspector LaPrade.
I am indeed pleased to learn you and Mr. Wolfe enjoyed
your visit with him, and you may be certain Mr. LaPrade
appreciates, as I do, the thoughtful remarks.

Sincerely,

1 - Mr. Felt - Enclosure

Personal Attention: Bring to the attention of Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade.

① - Personnel File of J. Wallace LaPrade - Enclosure

NOTE: Mr. Mason is a retired SAC who is on the Special Correspondents
List. Inspector J. Wallace LaPrade is assigned to the Inspection Division
and began an inspection of the Cincinnati Office 9-11-67.

HRH:mlb (5)

URGENT TELETYPE

EXECUTIVE OFFICES



The Columbus Dispatch

OHIO'S GREATEST HOME NEWSPAPER

34 South Third Street

Columbus, Ohio 43216

September 14, 1967

Honorable J. Edgar Hoover, Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
9th and Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W.
Washington, D. C.

Dear Boss:

Inspector J. Wallace LaPrade, currently inspecting the Cincinnati Division, visited Publisher Robert H. Wolfe and me, today.

Although I am not accustomed to writing "fan" letters about inspectors it is a fact that I have seen many SACs, inspectors and Bureau officials, in their dealings with newspaper publishers and other prominent people.

Because of my affection for FBI, I felt a great pride swell up in me as I observed Inspector LaPrade meet and handle Bob Wolfe. I had not known LaPrade before but he is most impressive...poised...clear speaking...sensible. He makes an outstanding appearance and undoubtedly has extraordinary ability in public relations.

You undoubtedly know these things, but if he has not come to your attention, I wanted you to be assured he has unusual executive abilities.

Bob and I were honored by the inspector's visit.

Sincerely,

E. D. Mason

Name: J. Wallace LaPrade

Title: Inspector

EOD: 5/7/51

Grade: GS-15 @ \$18,157

Veteran

ASSISTANT DIRECTOR W. M. FELT: Mr. LaPrade reported to the Inspection Division as Inspector (Trainee) on 4/1/67. After completing several inspections successfully he was designated as an Inspector on 7/17/67. Mr. LaPrade is thorough and aggressive. During the Newark inspection his penetrative analysis of personnel files led to the identification of the author of an anonymous letter. During the recently completed Los Angeles inspection he made a very penetrative analysis of the Young kidnapping case and as a result was able to make a number of intelligent suggestions for additional investigation.

Mr. LaPrade makes an excellent personal appearance. He is above average in intelligence and his services as an Inspector have been very effective. He is entitled to the overall rating of Excellent.

Rating: EXCELLENT

INSPECTION DIVISION INSPECTION

9/12/67

WMF:wmj

SEP 20 1967

SEE ADDENDUM ON PAGE 2.

3/22/68

ADDENDUM

LLD ASSISTANT DIRECTOR TAVEL:

(LLD:crt, 9-13-67)
LLD

Mr. LaPrade was not interviewed,
since he is presently out of town,
engaged in the inspection of the

Cincinnati Office. Nothing noted from information available to pre-
clude continuing him in his present assignment. No change recommended.

ST
9/18

RECEIPT FOR GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE9-1-67

I certify that I have received the following Government property for official use:

~~xxxxxxx~~New Commission Card with case # 149
Inspector

RETURNED:

Old Commission Card with case # 149

ASAC

READ

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed. DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MUTILATE IT IN ANY WAY.

Very truly yours,

FILE
3/ *sign*(Written
Signature)(Typed
Signature)*J. Wallace LaPrade*
J. Wallace LaPrade

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : MR. CALLAHAN *WPC*

FROM : MR. FELT *J*

SUBJECT: COMPANION BRIEF CASE

DATE: August 31, 1967

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

It is requested that a Companion Brief Case be furnished to Inspector J. W. LaPrade of the Inspection Division.

WMF:wmj
(1)

*Brief case
furnished
8.31.67
W*



35

3/10

RECEIPT FOR GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Date

8/31/67I certify that I have ☒ received ☐ returned the following Government property for official use:

Companion Brief Case ✓

RETURNED

Agent Brief Case ✓

FILE

31
ng

READ

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed.

DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MUTILATE IT IN ANY WAY.

Very truly yours,

(Signature)

J. Wallace LaPrade

(Typed name)

J. Wallace LaPrade



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20535

Dear Sir:

For inclusion in the fund to be paid to the designated beneficiary of any Special Agent of the FBI who has previously contributed to this fund and who dies from any cause except self-destruction while employed as a Special Agent, I am forwarding herewith (by Check - Money Order) the sum of \$20, payable to S.A.I.F., to be included in said fund. Payment will be made for death by self-destruction after the Agent has been a member of the fund for a continuous period of two years. It is understood and agreed that the sum tendered herewith is a voluntary, gratuitous contribution to said fund which I understand is to be administered in the following manner.

The Director of the FBI will appoint a committee which shall consider all matters pertaining to the acquisition, safe keeping and expending of said fund, which committee will recommend appropriate action to the Director in pertinent matters. The Assistant Director of the Administrative Division of the FBI shall receive all contributions and account for same to the Director. Upon the death of any Special Agent who is a member of said fund the appointed committee will consider the case and submit a recommendation to the Director as to its conclusions. Appropriate instructions will then be issued to the Assistant Director of the Administrative Division, directing him to pay to the designated beneficiary the sum of \$20,000. The liability of the fund shall not under any circumstances exceed the amount of monies in the fund at the time any liability shall occur.

EXECUTE IN DUPLICATE AND SUBMIT BOTH COPIES TO THE BUREAU

Official Bureau Name (please type or print)	Date	Office of Assignment (or SOG Division)
SA J. WALLACE LAPRADE	7/12/67	INSPECTION DIV.

The following person is designated as my beneficiary for Special Agents Insurance Fund:

Name (primary beneficiary; use given first name if female)	Relationship
ELIZABETH K. LAPRADE	WIFE

Address

7904 Jansen Ct., Springfield, Virginia 22150

Name (contingent beneficiary, if desired; use given first name if female)	Relationship
---	--------------

Address

Do you desire to designate the above-listed beneficiaries as the beneficiary and contingent beneficiary respectively of the Chas. S. Ross Fund as well? ☒ Yes ☐ No If not, the entire following portion must be executed.

The following person is designated as my beneficiary under the Chas. S. Ross Fund providing \$1500 death benefit to beneficiary of agents killed in the line of duty, other than travel accidents.

Name (primary beneficiary; use given first name if female)	Relationship
--	--------------

Address

Name (contingent beneficiary, if desired; use given first name if female)	Relationship
---	--------------

Address

Very truly yours,

Special Agent Insurance Fund

JUL 13 1967

J. Edgar Hoover, Director

J. Wallace LaPrade
J. Wallace LaPrade
Special Agent

✓4
REC-130

July 17, 1967

PERSONAL


Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear LaPrade:

I am indeed pleased to advise you
that you are being designated as an Inspector,
with no change in grade or salary, effective
this date.

Sincerely,

J. Edgar Hoover



- 1 - Mr. Felt (PERSONAL ATTENTION)
- 1 - Miss Usilton
- 1 - Movement
- 1 - Property
- 1 - Miss Tibbotts

Just
act
mw (8)

6
Relly

Tolson	_____
DeLoach	_____
Mohr	_____
Wick	_____
Casper	_____
Callahan	_____
Conrad	_____
Felt	_____
Gale	_____
Rosen	_____
Sullivan	_____
Tavel	_____
Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Holmes	_____
Gandy	_____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

mw
JBA
RGH WPH

December 20, 1967

PERSONAL

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear LaPrade:

I want to commend you and advise that your suggestion concerning a more efficient and economical procedure of recording personnel interviews handled during inspections has been adopted. In recognition of the saving to be realized therefrom, I have approved an incentive award for you and the enclosed check represents an award of \$150.00.

Your splendid idea has contributed substantially to the improvement of our operations and has resulted in an exemplary saving. I want to express my appreciation for the noteworthy interest you exhibited in submitting this idea.

Sincerely,

J. Edgar Hoover

67-430338-145
Searched
DEC 28 1967

Enclosure

1 - Mr. Felt (Personal Attention) Enclosure

You should personally present this award and should this not be possible or should presentation be unreasonably delayed by your absence official acting for you should present it. Inform employee net amount of check represents this award less withholding tax.

1 - Training Division (Attention: J. E. Reilly - Suggestion #417-68)

1 - Miss Usilton (Sent Direct)

MMH

(6)

67-430338

Award #429-68

Based on Felt-Tolson memo 12/6/67 re Inspection Matters, (Personnel Interviews), Proposed Streamlining Procedure #417-68)

NOTE: Salutation per file.

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

M. A. Jones

MR. TOLSON

November 17, 1967

W. M. FELT

INSPECTION - NEW YORK OFFICE
10/12/67 - 11/3/67

During the recently completed inspection of the New York Office several important streamlining procedures and savings were effected, particularly in connection with espionage and intelligence matters.

The phase of the inspection relating to Division 3 in the New York Office which handles espionage matters was under the supervision of Inspector J. Wallace LaPrade. A number of Permanent Aides assisted; however, contributions of SA Floyd M. Griffin and SA Fred J. Cassidy were particularly noteworthy. LaPrade, Griffin and Cassidy were responsible for nine suggestions to the Espionage Division in New York and they participated in one other for an estimated approximate annual savings to the Bureau of \$44,131.01. The most significant suggestion was made by Griffin and related to the dissemination and channelization of technical surveillance information. Annual savings effected by this change are estimated to be \$14,000 but more important benefits are increased dissemination of important information to Agents handling related cases and faster and more accurate presentation of the information to case Agents. Permanent Aide Cassidy collaborated with representatives of the Domestic Intelligence Division in surveys and evaluations which led to the deletion of form FD-210 previously used to report arrivals and departures of foreign nationals -- savings estimated at \$30,131.01. LaPrade, Cassidy and Griffin were jointly involved in the eight other suggestions which will result in smoother administrative handling of leads, greater potential for development of informants, greater coverage of Soviet-bloc personnel on evenings and weekends and possible embarrassment of the Soviet colony in New York City.

Personnel in New York City handling espionage and intelligence matters commented to me that the recent inspection had been the most helpful of any within their recollection.

OBSERVATIONS

The splendid inspection work of these Agents is worthy of recognition. The monetary savings alone are substantial but even more important is the increased effectiveness. Letters of commendation are being recommended to

67-NOT RECORDED

1 - Mr. Tolson 1967

1 - Mr. Callahan

1 - Mr. Mohr

1 - Personnel Files J. Wallace LaPrade, Floyd M. Griffin and Fred J. Cassidy

Memorandum to Mr. Tolson
Re: Inspection - New York Office

these three Agents for their intelligent and penetrative approach to the inspection of the Espionage Division in New York which resulted in substantial improvements in the overall operation.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That a letter of commendation be directed to Inspector J. Wallace LaPrade. If approved to be handled by the Administrative Division.

2. That a letter of commendation be directed to SA Floyd M. Griffin. If approved to be handled by the Administrative Division.

3. That a letter of commendation be directed to SA Fred J. Cassidy. If approved to be handled by the Administrative Division.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : MR. TOLSON

DATE: 2/14/68

FROM : J. P. MOHR

SUBJECT: PERSONNEL CHANGES

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

SAC Earl M. Dalness of the Salt Lake City Office has submitted a request to retire active duty to cease 2/29/68. Inspector J. Wallace LaPrade of the Inspection Division is a suitable replacement.

Inspector J. Wallace LaPrade entered on duty 5/7/51, is in GS-15, \$19,630, 41 years old, married with four children, has a B.S. degree and was born in Virginia. He served as a Supervisor in the Domestic Intelligence, Training and Inspection, Inspection and Special Investigative Divisions prior to being assigned as ASAC in the Milwaukee Office, where he arrived on 5/15/63. He continued to serve as ASAC in Milwaukee until he returned to the Seat of Government to perform inspection duties, arriving 4/1/67. He received the designation of Inspector on 7/17/67. Inspector LaPrade performed in an above-average fashion as ASAC in Milwaukee and has continued to perform in an excellent fashion as an Inspector. Since his latest reassignment to the Inspection Division, he has been commended on two occasions, the first of these was by letter 6/1/67 for his excellent participation in an investigation resulting in the identification of the writer of an anonymous letter. He was again commended by letter 11/21/67 for excellent inspection analysis resulting in material savings through streamlining procedures. He also received a cash award of \$150 by letter 12/20/67 in recognition of savings resulting from a suggestion. He has not been censured during this same period. He was rated Excellent in his latest annual performance rating of 3/31/67 with comments that he was fully competent to handle complicated investigative or administrative matters. Assistant Director Felt advised that Inspector LaPrade has been a most effective member of the Inspection Staff and has consistently performed in an above-average fashion. He was last seen by the Director on 4/5/67, and the Director commented he made a substantial personal appearance and seemed to be intensely interested in his new assignment (the performance of inspection duties). As of 1/31/68 the Salt Lake City Office had 908 investigative matters pending with 28 agents assigned.

RECOMMENDATION:

REC-132

67- 430338	146
Searched _____	Numbered _____
FEB 20 1968	

That Inspector J. Wallace LaPrade be transferred from Inspection Division to serve as SAC in the Salt Lake City Office at no change in grade or salary.

BRIEF ATTACHED
WSH:lae(2)

transferred letter prep. 2-15-68 JPM
3/2/68



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20535

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Date: 2/15/68


Mr. John Edgar Hoover
Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Hoover:

In connection with my transfer from Washington, D. C.,
to Salt Lake City, Utah, I agree to remain with the service of
the FBI for 12 months following the effective date of this transfer. It
is understood that the effective date of this transfer is the day I report
for duty at the new station. It is also understood that should I violate
this agreement for personal reasons within my control, I become
obligated to refund to the Government all costs incurred on my behalf
for travel, transportation, and related expenses as described in the
Government Travel Regulations.

Signature
J. Wallace LaPrade, Inspector

3/



COPY RETAINED BY
VOUCHER - STAT SECTION
2-20-68

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : MR. FELT *J*

FROM : *L* J. WALLACE LaPRADE

SUBJECT: WHITE HOUSE TOUR

DATE: February 19, 1968

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt *J* _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

LaPrade

This is to request that arrangements be made for a White House tour for my family, consisting of my wife, four children and myself, at 8:30 AM on February 22, 1968.

1 - Mr. DeLoach

JWL:wmj
(4)

OK
J
Tour 8:30 AM 2/22/68
2-19-68 JWL

104
3 FEB 20 1968
104
104

67-NOT RECORDED
9 FEB 21 1968

91

PERS. REC. UNIT

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

NAME: LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE

SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER

NOTIFICATION OF BASIC CHANGE

CODE - NATURE OF ACTION.

<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>

892 -- QUALITY INCREASE

893 -- WITHIN GRADE INCREASE

894 -- PAY ADJUSTMENT

<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>

896 -- ADMIN. PAY INCREASE

897 -- ADMIN. PAY DECREASE

OTHER (SPECIFY IN REMARKS)

EFFECTIVE DATE

DATE OF LAST EQUIV. INCR.

GRADE OR LEVEL

STEP OR RATE

OLD SALARY

NEW SALARY

DATA ON UNPAID ABSENCE

PERIOD(S)

TOTAL EXCESS

IN PAY STATUS AT END OF WAITING PERIOD

INITIALS

☐ EMPLOYEE'S WORK IS OF AN ACCEPTABLE LEVEL OF COMPETENCE.

☐ EMPLOYEE'S PERFORMANCE RATING IS SATISFACTORY OR BETTER.

REMARKS:

67-NOT RECORDED
16 JAN 25 1968

JOHN EDGAR HOOVER
DIRECTOR

PERSONNEL FILE COPY

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : MR. TOLSON

DATE: December 6, 1967

FROM : W. M. FELT

SUBJECT: ~~INSPECTION MATTERS~~
(PERSONNEL INTERVIEWS)
PROPOSED STREAMLINING PROCEDURE
#4719-68

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

Wallace Ha Prade

WATSON

This is to recommend a more efficient and economical procedure of recording personnel interviews handled during inspections. A substantial savings can be realized with no loss in effectiveness.

BACKGROUND:

Currently a considerable number of individuals are interviewed during the course of an inspection including Chief Clerk, Assistant Chief Clerk, Principal Stenographer, Assistant Principal Stenographer, Field Supervisors, Limited Duty Agents, Approved Bureau Speakers, Agents Unavailable, Personnel on Probation or under Suspension, Agents Undergoing First Inspection and others. Primary purpose of these interviews is to determine if such individuals are performing adequately. Presently SAC of office under inspection prepares an evaluative write-up on the employee and after interview an Inspector's addendum is added. These are filed in personnel files both in the field and at the Seat of Government. Seldom does the write-up require any action and consequently serves only to record a satisfactory finding.

PROPOSED CHANGE:

There could be prepared on each inspection one administrative memorandum listing all personnel interviewed and recorded thereon would be data as to interviewer, date, and appropriate notation concerning satisfactory performance. The individual personnel write-up would be eliminated except in instances where specific action is to be recommended concerning an employee (recommendations for immediate advancement, for disciplinary action, for substantive errors, etc.). The administrative memorandum would become a permanent record in the Bureau inspection file covering each office and readily available for reference purposes. In any case where a specific recommendation is indicated a write-up would be prepared and would be filed in the individual personnel files.

OBSERVATIONS:

INSPECTION DIVISION ADDENDUM
PAGE THREE

Proposed change would eliminate preparation of extensive individual

67-NOT RECORDED

1 - Mr. Casper 1 - Mr. Tavel 1 - Mr. Callahan
1 - Mr. Bishop 1 - Mr. Mohr 1 - Mr. DeLoach

JAN 3 1968

ENCLOSURES CONTINUED - OVER

Memo for Mr. Tolson

Re: Inspection Matters (Personnel Interviews)
Proposed Streamlining Procedure

personnel write-ups by office under inspection and eliminate considerable typing and filing both in field offices and the Seat of Government. For example, in recent inspection of the San Diego Office the new procedure would eliminate 21 of 31 personnel write-ups which were prepared. Interviews of this type serve a very good purpose; however, except where some action is recommended the current method of recording is not necessary.

It is difficult to calculate accurately savings to be effected by adopting the new procedure as number of interviews necessary in each office will vary. However, it is estimated complete handling of each personnel write-up involves approximately 2 hours total time including clerical, secretarial, SAC, Inspector and Bureau officials. Total cost with clerical time of 1:15 and Agent time of 45 minutes would approximate \$11.00 per write-up. At this rate savings of approximately \$231 would have been realized on San Diego inspection. Projecting this field-wide and using same percentage of personnel write-ups needed as in San Diego, a total yearly savings would approximate \$16,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

That current procedure of recording personnel interviews be revised and personnel write-ups utilized only when action concerning an employee is to be recommended. If approved, appropriate changes will be effected in inspection papers and inspection manual changes submitted.

ADDENDUM OF THE INSPECTION DIVISION

W. M. FELT:wmj

12/14/67

The foregoing suggestion, which has been approved, was proposed by Inspector J. Wallace LaPrade. In view of the documented savings of some \$16,000 annually which will be achieved by this suggestion, it is recommended that favorable consideration be given to an award to Inspector LaPrade of an appropriate amount to be determined by the Administrative Division.

Administrative Division recommends incentive award of \$150 as consistent with past similar considerations.

Appropriate letter to Inspector J. Wallace LaPrade attached.

RECEIVED

DEC 17 1967

3

RECEIPT FOR GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Date 2/21/68

I certify that I have ☐ received ☒ returned the following Government property for official use:

Companion Brief Case

FILE

3/

29

READ

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed.

DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MUTILATE IT IN ANY WAY.

67-NOT RECORDED
9 FEB 27 1968

Very truly yours,

(Signature)

J. Wallace LaPrade

(Typed name)

J. Wallace LaPrade

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

REPORT OF PERFORMANCE RATING

Name of Employee: J. WALLACE LaPRADEWhere Assigned: INSPECTION
(Division)

(Section, Unit)

Official Position Title and Grade: INSPECTOR, GS-15Rating Period: from 7/2/67 to 2/21/68ADJECTIVE RATING: EXCELLENT
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, UnsatisfactoryEmployee's
Initials

Rated by:

[Signature]
SignatureAssistant Director
Title

Date

Reviewed by:

[Signature]
SignatureAssistant Director
Title

Date

Rating Approved by:

Signature

REC-135

67-430338-147	
Searched	Indexed
2 FEB 26 1968	

TYPE OF REPORT

☐ Official
☐ Annual

☒ Administrative
☐ 60-Day
☐ 90-Day
☒ Transfer
☐ Separation from Service
☐ Special

31 FEB 23 1968

2/21/68

PERFORMANCE RATING GUIDE FOR INVESTIGATIVE PERSONNEL

(For use as attachment to Performance Rating Form No. FD-185)

Name of Employee J. WALLACE LaPRADE

RATING GUIDE AND CHECK-LIST

Note: Only those items having pertinent bearing on employee's performance should be rated. All employees in same salary grade should be compared.

RATE ITEMS AS FOLLOWS:

- + Outstanding (exceeding excellent and deserving of special commendation).
E Excellent.
✓ Satisfactory (good or very good).
- Unsatisfactory.
0 No opportunity to appraise performance during rating period.

Guide for determining adjective ratings:

- "Outstanding" adjective rating requires (A) that all elements be + and (B) that each and every rated element be factually justified by narrative details, including reasons for considering each worthy of Special Commendation and be attached to FD-185a.
- "Excellent," "Satisfactory" or "Unsatisfactory" adjective ratings will depend upon the composite result of evaluating all rated elements rather than following any mechanical formulas; however, for an employee to be rated "Excellent" he must not be rated unsatisfactory on any performance evaluation factors on the rating guide and check-list and must be rated "Excellent" or "Outstanding" on the majority of such rating factors. Good judgment must be exercised to insure that adjective rating is reasonable in the light of elements rated.
 - Any element rated "Unsatisfactory" must be supported by narrative comments.
 - An official rating of "Unsatisfactory" must be supported in writing stating (1) wherein the performance is unsatisfactory, (2) the facts of the (90-day) prior warning, and (3) the efforts made after the warning to help the employee bring his performance up to a satisfactory level and must be attached to FD-185a.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <u>+</u> (1) Personal appearance. | <u>+</u> (16) Firearms ability. |
| <u>+</u> (2) Personality and effectiveness of his personal contacts. | <u>0</u> (17) Development of informants and sources of information. |
| <u>+</u> (3) Attitude (including dependability, cooperativeness, loyalty, enthusiasm, amenability and willingness to equitably share work load). | <u>E</u> (18) Reporting ability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>0</u> (a) Investigative reports <u>0</u> (b) Summary reports <u>E</u> (c) Memos, letters, wires (Consider: <u>E</u> conciseness; <u>E</u> clarity; <u>E</u> organization; <u>E</u> thoroughness; <u>E</u> accuracy; <u>E</u> adequacy and pertinency of leads; <u>E</u> administrative detail.) |
| <u>+</u> (4) Physical fitness (including health, energy, stamina). | <u>0</u> (19) Performance as a witness. |
| <u>+</u> (5) Resourcefulness and ingenuity. | <u>E</u> (20) Executive ability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>E</u> (a) Leadership <u>E</u> (b) Ability to handle personnel <u>+</u> (c) Planning <u>+</u> (d) Making decisions <u>+</u> (e) Assignment of work <u>E</u> (f) Training subordinates <u>+</u> (g) Devising procedures <u>+</u> (h) Emotional stability <u>E</u> (i) Promoting high morale <u>+</u> (j) Getting results |
| <u>+</u> (6) Forcefulness and aggressiveness as required. | |
| <u>+</u> (7) Judgment, including common sense, ability to arrive at proper conclusions, ability to define objectives. | <u>0</u> (21) Ability on raids and dangerous assignments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>0</u> (a) As leader <u>+</u> (b) As participant |
| <u>+</u> (8) Initiative and the taking of appropriate action on own responsibility. | <u>+</u> (22) Organizational interest, such as making of suggestions for improvement. |
| <u>+</u> (9) Planning ability and its application to the work. | <u>+</u> (23) Ability to work under pressure. |
| <u>E</u> (10) Accuracy and attention to pertinent detail. | <u>E</u> (24) Miscellaneous. Specify and rate: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>E</u> Dictation ability |
| <u>+</u> (11) Industry, including energetic, consistent application to duties. | |
| <u>E</u> (12) Productivity, including amount of acceptable work produced and rate of progress on or completion of assignments. Also consider adherence to deadlines unless failure to meet is attributable to causes beyond employee's control. | |
| <u>+</u> (13) Knowledge of duties, instructions, rules and regulations, including readiness of comprehension and "know how" of application. | |
| <u>0</u> (14) Investigative ability and results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>0</u> (a) Internal security cases <u>0</u> (b) Criminal or general investigative cases <u>0</u> (c) Fugitive cases <u>0</u> (d) Applicant cases <u>0</u> (e) Accounting cases | |
| <u>0</u> (15) Physical surveillance ability. | |

A. Specify general nature of assignment during most of rating period (such as security, criminal, applicant squad, or as Resident Agent, supervisor, instructor, etc.):

Inspector

B. Specify employee's most noteworthy special talents (such as investigator, desk man, research, instructor, speaker):

Inspections; surveys

- C. (1) Is employee available for general assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)
 (2) Is employee available for special assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)

D. 1. Has employee had an abnormal sick leave record during rating period? No 2. Has employee used more sick leave (including annual leave or LWOP for illness) during rating period than the amount of sick leave earned during such period? No (If answer to either question is "yes," explain in narrative comments.)

E. Is employee qualified to operate a motor vehicle incidental to his official duties? ☒ Yes ☐ No
 If answer is "yes," personnel file must reflect the following: (a) Has valid State or local operator's license for type vehicle he is to use.
 (b) Is physically fit to drive. (c) Past safe driving record OK or has passed Bureau road test.

ADJECTIVE RATING:

EXCELLENT

Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

EMPLOYEE'S INITIALS

Just

NARRATIVE COMMENTS

1. PERSONAL APPEARANCE AND PERSONALITY:

Mr. LaPrade makes a substantial personal appearance; makes a favorable impression upon those with whom he comes in contact; is poised, well groomed; friendly personality.

2. ABILITY TO PARTICIPATE IN RAIDS AND DANGEROUS ASSIGNMENTS:

I have not had an opportunity to observe Mr. LaPrade on such an assignment; however, he is rated Outstanding in Firearms Ability and I feel he is fully qualified to participate in raids and other dangerous assignments.

3. LIMITATIONS ON AVAILABILITY; PHYSICAL LIMITATIONS AFFECTING PERFORMANCE; AND SICK LEAVE INFORMATION:

None

4. TYPE OF CASES OR WORK HANDLED AND APPRAISAL OF OVER-ALL PERFORMANCE INCLUDING ABILITY TO HANDLE COMPLICATED INVESTIGATIVE MATTERS AND SUPERVISION REQUIRED:

Mr. LaPrade reported to the Inspection Division on 4/1/67. He has participated in numerous inspections as the Inspector in Charge. He has displayed intimate knowledge of Bureau procedures and regulations and has above-average intelligence. He is capable of handling any Bureau assignment. LaPrade is under transfer to Salt Lake City as Special Agent in Charge and I feel he will be very successful in this assignment.

Mr. LaPrade makes a splendid personal appearance. He has a pleasant but firm manner and will make a very fine representative of the Bureau.

I have been particularly impressed by the intelligent suggestions made by LaPrade. This denotes a sincere organizational interest but even more important the value and importance of the suggestions demonstrate a clear understanding of Bureau problems, a keen imagination and an above-average executive capacity.

Just
Initials

5. NUMBER OF INCENTIVE AWARDS AND COMMENDATIONS RECEIVED:

Mr. LaPrade was commended by letter dated 11/21/67 for his effective inspection of the Espionage Division of the New York Office which resulted in effective streamlining of procedures. On December 20, 1967, he was given an incentive award of \$150 for his suggestion which resulted in substantial

6. DISCIPLINARY ACTION AND JUSTIFICATION FOR ANY UNSATISFACTORY ITEMS: (see below)*
(List items taken into consideration on rating guide and check list.)

NA

7. PARTICIPATION IN INFORMANT PROGRAMS:

NA

8. TESTIFYING EXPERIENCE AND ABILITY:

Mr. LaPrade has not testified during the rating period. However, based on his poise, demeanor and overall performance I feel he would make an excellent witness.

9. ACCOUNTING INFORMATION:

NA

10. POLICE INSTRUCTION:

NA

11. RESIDENT AGENTS:

NA


Initials

*monetary savings through streamlining of inspection procedures.

12. EXPERIENCE AND ABILITY AS INSPECTOR'S AIDE:

See previous comments.

13. FOREIGN LANGUAGE ABILITY:

Language in which proficient _____ not applicable _____

Completed language school ☐ Yes ☐ No

Fluent in _____ language to extent Agent can handle typical investigative problems as follows: (1) Conversation form ☐ Yes ☐ No

(2) Written form ☐ Yes ☐ No

Evaluate language proficiency in each phase as excellent, very good, good, fair or unsatisfactory

<u>Language</u>	<u>Read</u>	<u>Write</u>	<u>Speak</u>	<u>Understand</u>
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Frequency _____ language ability used during rating period:

Frequency of use of _____ language ability anticipated during ensuing year:

14. ADMINISTRATIVE ADVANCEMENT:

(a) Agent is interested in administrative advancement. ☒ Yes ☐ No

(b) Agent is completely available for administrative advancement. ☒ Yes ☐ No

(c) Agent is considered completely qualified at present for administrative advancement, including experience, ability, personality and appearance. ☒ Yes ☐ No

(d) If answer to (c) is "Yes," Agent's qualifications are considered
☐ very good ☐ excellent ☒ outstanding

(e) If answer to (c) is "No," is Agent considered to have potential for future administrative advancement? (If applicable, explanatory comments required.) ☐ Yes ☐ No


Initials


REC-132

February 15, 1968

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. LaPrade:

Your headquarters are changed for official reasons from Washington, D. C., to Salt Lake City, Utah, as Special Agent in Charge, effective upon your arrival there on or after this date. Travel and transportation expenses and applicable allowances and benefits for you and your dependents incidental to this transfer as provided by the Administrative Expenses Act of 1946, as amended; Bureau of the Budget Circular Number A-56, dated October 12, 1966, and implementing regulations prescribed by this Bureau, shall be paid to you or on your behalf. However, before these expenses can be paid by the Government you must agree in writing (Bureau Form 3-34b) to remain with the FBI for one year following the first day you report for duty at the new station. If you are being transferred to a duty station outside the continental United States only the written agreement form, FD-382, need be executed.



Very truly yours,

Placed on Trans PAU
John Edgar Hoover
John Edgar Hoover
Director

Enclosure

- 1 - SAC, Salt Lake City (Personal Attention)
1 - Mr. Felt (Personal Attention) (Enclosures 2) Instruct Inspector LaPrade to report to Mr. Bishop for orientation prior to departure on transfer. Have him execute the enclosed Forms 3-34b and return the original and copy to the Administrative Division. Advise departure and arrival dates.

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

Mrh
(5)th
FEB 23 1968

Inspector LaPrade is presently in Philadelphia on inspection since 2/8/68.

APPROPRIATE BUREAU PERSONNEL WILL BE NOTIFIED."

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

March 14, 1968

MEMORANDUM FOR MR. TOLSON

On February 21, 1968, I saw Inspector J. Wallace LaPrade, who was under orders of transfer to Salt Lake City as Special Agent in Charge. Mr. LaPrade makes a substantial personal appearance and seems to be interested in his new assignment, and I would rate him above average.

I discussed with Mr. LaPrade generally the operations of a field division, he already having served as Assistant Special Agent in Charge at Milwaukee.

I commented to him about the fact there were eight classifications of cases in excess of 7% delinquency and this should be promptly corrected.

I also called his attention to the fact that there had been a drop of 2% in convictions in the Salt Lake City Office for the first seven months of the current fiscal year when compared with a similar period of the previous fiscal year, and this was an unhealthy downward trend and should be promptly corrected.

I noted that the Agents in the Salt Lake City Office in January closed 16.5 cases per Agent, which I considered to be healthy.

I told Mr. LaPrade I noted the Agents spent 10.7% of their time in the office in January, which is excessive, and that the Security Agents spent 11.2% of their time in the office and the Resident Agents 14.1%, both of which are excessive.

I stressed the value of informant coverage in all fields of our work, noting that the Salt Lake City Office has 40 criminal informants and 13 security informants, and the necessity for intensification of the development of top level informants.

I noted that the Agents of the Salt Lake City Office in January had averaged two hours and forty-five minutes overtime per day, which while excessive I did not believe could be materially reduced in view of the volume of work and shortage of personnel. I stated I wanted to emphasize the fact

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

31 MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

SENT FROM D. O.
TIME 7:55 PM
DATE 3-15-68
BY R. J. [signature]

THREE

Memorandum for Mr. Tolson

March 14, 1968

that I did not want any unnecessary overtime and whatever overtime was performed must be equitably shared by all or fringe benefits should be rescinded in those cases wherein the Agents are not able to equitably share the overtime.

I noted that the Salt Lake City Office since July 1, 1967, had recruited 5 Special Agents and he should continue the intensification of the recruitment program.

I called Mr. LaPrade's attention to the fact that there were 14 bank robberies and 1 bank burglary pending unsolved in the Salt Lake City Office and this should be given prompt attention.


I commented upon the last inspection of the Salt Lake City Office in January, 1968, in which the office received two ratings of Fair, which were in Administrative Operations and Applicant Recruitment Matters. I told Mr. LaPrade he should make certain these matters are promptly corrected.

I discussed with him the activities of the Communist Party and the necessity of keeping on top of it.

I discussed with him the Criminal Intelligence Program and noted that while there was no evidence of the existence of organized criminal activity in the Salt Lake City Division, he should be particularly alert in regard to violations of the antigambling statutes and endeavor to develop some cases in that field.

Very truly yours,

J. E. H.
John Edgar Hoover
Director



UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. C. D. DeLoach

FROM : W. C. Sullivan

SUBJECT: LECTURES ON COMMUNISM AND EXTREMISM,
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH, SALT LAKE CITY,
UTAH, MAY 10, 1968

1 - Mr. C. D. DeLoach
1 - Mr. T. E. Bishop
1 - Mr. M. A. Jones

DATE: 3/29/68

1 - Mr. W. C. Sullivan
1 - Mr. R. W. Smith
1 - Mr. A. W. Gray

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

J. Wallace LaPrade, Special Agent in Charge of the Salt Lake City Office has informed me that Parry D. Sorenson, Assistant to the President, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, has extended an invitation to me to address University of Utah students on the subject of communism and extremism on 5/10/68.

Sorenson, a former Special Agent, EOD 2/2/42, resigned 11/16/45, services satisfactory, is on the Special Correspondents' List.

There would be two lectures involved. One during the day with students in the Political Science Department and the other at night under the auspices of The Artists and Speakers Bureau of the University which would have an audience ranging from two to four thousand people. It is my feeling that these lectures would be a worthwhile presentation for a Bureau speaker and a fine opportunity to benefit the Bureau and project the Director's views on the dangers of communism and extremism before a significant group of young people.

I do not feel, however, that I should take the time from my responsibilities to handle these lectures. Since Mr. Sorenson is agreeable to a substitute if I am unavailable, I believe that SA Arbor W. Gray of the Domestic Intelligence Division, who is an experienced speaker on this subject, should be designated for these appearances.

RECOMMENDATION:

That I be authorized to inform SAC LaPrade, Salt Lake City, that he may advise Mr. Sorenson that, while I am unable to accept this invitation, the Director has designated SA Gray for captioned lecture appearances.

WCS:kma

67-2-201
67-2-201
APR 12 1968

XEROX

APR 12 1968

1 APR 3 1968

PERS. REC. UNIT

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 3/ 28/68

FROM : SAC, Salt Lake City

SUBJECT: J. WALLACE LaPRADE (SAC)
(Employee's present payroll name)SALT LAKE CITY
(Division)

PAYROLL NAME (List as desired on payroll)

ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE CHANGE

Present telephone number (city)

277-7108

84117

Salt Lake City, Utah 3050 Bonnie Brae Ave., Salt Lake City, Utah /

☒ FD-310 enclosed

Local address - (Number Street

City

State (zip code)

THE FOLLOWING MUST BE EXECUTED IN REPORTING MARRIAGES OR BIRTHS

MARITAL STATUS

Married to - Show full (maiden) name of spouse

Date and place of marriage

Is spouse a Bureau employee? ☐ Yes ☐ NoFD-310 enclosed ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you have previously filed any designation of beneficiary forms, it will be necessary for you to execute new forms in the event you now desire to cancel or alter prior designations.

NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF PERSON TO BE NOTIFIED IN CASE OF EMERGENCY

BIRTHS

Girl named

Boy named

Born on

Birthplace

To employee and (Name of spouse)

This is their _____ child

1 - Bureau

Enc. (1)

67-111-310
NOT RECORDED

FR 4 APR 3 1968

(-2-)

TI

RECEIPT FOR GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Date

3/11/68I certify that I have ☒ received ☐ returned the following Government property for official use:New Commission Card with case # 71

SAC

RETURNED

Old Commission Card with case # 149

Inspector

FILE

3/11/68

READ

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed.

DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MUTILATE IT IN ANY WAY.

Very truly yours,

(Signature)

J. Wallace La Prade

(Typed name)

J. Wallace La Prade

10 MAR 1968

NOTIFICATION OF PERSONNEL ACTION
 (EMPLOYEE — See General Information on Reverse)

5 PART
 50-124-04

(FOR AGENCY USE)

1. NAME (CAPS) LAST-FIRST-MIDDLE LA PRADE, J. WALLACE (MR.)		MR.—MISS—MRS.	2. (FOR AGENCY USE)	3. BIRTH DATE (Mo., Day, Year) 7-27-26	4. SOCIAL SECURITY NO. 225-22-3932
5. VETERAN PREFERENCE 2 1—NO 2—5 PT. 3—10 PT. DISAB. 4—10 PT. COMP. 5—10 PT. OTHER			6. TENURE GROUP	7. SERVICE COMP. DATE	8. PHYSICAL HANDICAP CODE
9. FEGLI 1—COVERED 2—INELIGIBLE 3—WAIVED			10. RETIREMENT 1—CS 2—FICA 3—FS 4—NONE 5—OTHER		11. (FOR CSC USE)
12. CODE NATURE OF ACTION REASSIGNMENT			13. EFFECTIVE DATE (Mo., Day, Year) 2/27/68		14. CIVIL SERVICE OR OTHER LEGAL AUTHORITY EXCEPTED BY LAW
15. FROM: POSITION TITLE AND NUMBER Inspector 61-F-114			16. PAY PLAN AND OCCUPATION CODE GS Series 1811	17. (a) GRADE OR LEVEL 15	(b) STEP OR RATE 3
18. SALARY \$19,630 pa			19. NAME AND LOCATION OF EMPLOYING OFFICE		

20. TO: POSITION TITLE AND NUMBER Supervisory Special Agent (Special Agent in Charge) 61-F-114		21. PAY PLAN AND OCCUPATION CODE GS Series 1811	22. (a) GRADE OR LEVEL 15	(b) STEP OR RATE 3	23. SALARY \$19,630 pa
24. NAME AND LOCATION OF EMPLOYING OFFICE					

25. DUTY STATION (City—county—State)			26. LOCATION CODE		
27. APPROPRIATION S. & E., FBI		28. POSITION OCCUPIED 1—COMPETITIVE SERVICE 2 2—EXCEPTED SERVICE	29. APPORTIONED POSITION FROM: TO: STATE 1—PROVED-1 2—WAIVED-2		

30. REMARKS: ☐ A. SUBJECT TO COMPLETION OF 1 YEAR PROBATIONARY (OR TRIAL) PERIOD COMMENCING ☐ B. SERVICE COUNTING TOWARD CAREER (OR PERMANENT) TENURE FROM: ☐ C. DURING PROBATION ☐ D. FROM APPOINTMENT OF 6 MONTHS OR LESS

SEPARATIONS: SHOW REASONS BELOW, AS REQUIRED. CHECK IF APPLICABLE:

67-NOT RECORDED
18 MAR 8 1968

31. DATE OF APPOINTMENT AFFIDAVIT (Accessions only)		34. SIGNATURE (Or other authentication) AND TITLE J. E. Hoover Director	
32. OFFICE MAINTAINING PERSONNEL FOLDER (If different from employing office)		35. DATE 3/5/68	
33. CODE DJ 02		EMPLOYING DEPARTMENT OR AGENCY FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20535	
4. PERSONNEL FOLDER COPY			

(Mount Clipping in Space Below)

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. DeLoach	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Bishop	
Mr. Casper	
Mr. Callahan	
Mr. Conrad	
Mr. Felt	
Mr. Gale	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Sullivan	
Mr. Tavel	
Mr. Trotter	
Tele. Room	
Mr. Holmes	
Miss Gandy	

S.L. FBI Head to Retire, Agency Names Successor


Earl M. Dalness, special agent in charge of the Salt Lake City office of the FBI since April 15, 1965, will retire Thursday after spending more than 25 years with the federal agency.

New agent in charge here will be James Wallace LaPrade, 41, who has been assigned to the inspection division at FBI headquarters in Washington, D.C., since April, 1967.

A native of Franklin County, Va., he served in the U.S. Navy from 1944 to 1946 and obtained a bachelor of science degree from Lynchburg College, Lynchburg, Va., in 1948. Mr. LaPrade entered the FBI in May, 1951, and upon completion of a course of training he served in the Chicago and New York City field offices.

He was transferred to FBI headquarters in 1960 and from May, 1963, until his current inspection position, he served as assistant special agent in charge of the Milwaukee office.

Mr. Dalness, a native of Grand Forks, N.D., and a graduate of the University of North Dakota, served as an agent in the Los Angeles, St.



Mr. Dalness Mr. LaPrade

Louis and Dallas offices; a supervisor in Washington, D.C.; assistant agent in charge at Pittsburgh and Cincinnati; an inspector in Washington, D.C., and special agent in charge at Mobile, Ala., for two years before coming to Salt Lake City.

He and his wife, Irene, reside at 40-9th East.

Mr. Dalness' retirement officially begins March 5, but he plans to leave the office Thursday to take advantage of leave time. Within a few months he and his wife intend to move to Riverside, Calif., where they own a home.

"I have had a wonderful career in the FBI," he said, "but I think it is time to move out and give some of the younger agents a chance."

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.)

21 SALT LAKE TRIBUNE
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH

Date: 2/27/68
Edition: MORNING
Author:
Editor:
Title:

Character:
or
Classification:
Submitting Office:
☐ Being Investigated

4-15/16 1968
MAR 11 1968

file

3-15/16

RECORDED

LaPrade

**ELECTION, DECLINATION, OR WAIVER
OF LIFE INSURANCE COVERAGE**
FEDERAL EMPLOYEES GROUP LIFE INSURANCE PROGRAM

**IMPORTANT
AGENCY INSTRUCTIONS
ON BACK OF ORIGINAL**

TO COMPLETE THIS FORM—

1 FOLLOW THESE GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS:

- Read the back of the "Duplicate" carefully before you fill in the form.
- Fill in BOTH COPIES of the form. Type or use ink.
- Do not detach any part.

2 FILL IN THE IDENTIFYING INFORMATION BELOW (please print or type):

NAME (last)	(first)	(middle)	DATE OF BIRTH (month, day, year)	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER		
LaPRADE	J.	WALLACE	July 27, 1926	225	22	3932
EMPLOYING DEPARTMENT OR AGENCY			LOCATION (City, State, ZIP Code)			
U. S. Department of Justice - FBI			Washington, D. C. 20535			

3 MARK AN "X" IN ONE OF THE BOXES BELOW (do NOT mark more than one):

Mark here
if you
WANT BOTH,
optional and
regular
insurance

☐
(A)

ELECTION OF OPTIONAL (IN ADDITION TO REGULAR) INSURANCE

I elect the \$10,000 additional optional insurance and authorize the required deductions from my salary, compensation, or annuity to pay the full cost of the optional insurance. This optional insurance is in addition to my regular insurance.

Mark here
if you
DO NOT WANT
OPTIONAL but
do want
regular
insurance

☒
(B)

DECLINATION OF OPTIONAL (BUT NOT REGULAR) INSURANCE

I decline the \$10,000 additional optional insurance. I understand that I cannot elect optional insurance until at least 1 year after the effective date of this declination and unless at the time I apply for it I am under age 50 and present satisfactory medical evidence of insurability. I understand also that my regular insurance is not affected by this declination of additional optional insurance.

Mark here
if you
WANT NEITHER
regular nor
optional
insurance

☐
(C)

WAIVER OF LIFE INSURANCE COVERAGE

I desire not to be insured and I waive coverage under the Federal Employees Group Life Insurance Program. I understand that I cannot cancel this waiver and obtain regular insurance until at least 1 year after the effective date of this waiver and unless at the time I apply for insurance I am under age 50 and present satisfactory medical evidence of insurability. I understand also that I cannot now or later have the \$10,000 additional optional insurance unless I have the regular insurance.

**4 SIGN AND DATE. IF YOU MARKED BOX "A" OR "C",
COMPLETE THE "STATISTICAL STUB." THEN RETURN
THE ENTIRE FORM TO YOUR EMPLOYING OFFICE.**

SIGNATURE (do not print)

J. Wallace LaPrade

DATE

February 2, 1968

FOR EMPLOYING OFFICE USE ONLY

FEB 14 1968 (official receiving date stamp)

See Table of Effective Dates on back of Original

ORIGINAL COPY—Retain in Official Personnel Folder

STANDARD FORM No. 176-T
JANUARY 1968
(For use only until April 14, 1968)
176-101

INSTRUCTIONS TO EMPLOYING AGENCY

1. **Who must file.**—All employees not excluded by law or regulation from insurance coverage, including those who have previously waived coverage, are required to complete and file Standard Form 176-T. Employees who are in the service on February 14, 1968, as well as those who are appointed after that date but before April 14, 1968, must file the form.
2. **Automatic cancellation of previously filed waivers.**—All "Waivers of Life Insurance Coverage" (SF 53) on file are automatically canceled as of the first day of the first pay period beginning on or after February 14, 1968. Payroll offices are to begin regular insurance deductions on the automatic cancellation date for employees who do not file a new waiver, i.e., those who do not check box C of SF 176-T, on or before that date.
3. **Employees failing to file.**—If an employee does not return a completed SF 176-T, contact him and urge him to do so even if he does not want optional insurance (he will, of course, be automatically covered for regular insurance). If he still fails to file SF 176-T by April 14, 1968, or 31 days after appointment, whichever is later, file one for him as of that date: mark box B, and note in the space provided for his signature "employee contacted—failed to elect optional insurance." See note 2 below.
4. **Review of completed forms.**—(a) Review both copies of the SF 176-T for legibility, completeness, and consistency. Reconcile with the employee any obvious major

discrepancy such as a mark in more than one box.

(b) If the employee marked box A or box C, make sure the Statistical Stub is complete. Then detach and mail stubs, in a bundle, weekly to:

Office of Federal Employees' Group Life Insurance
(Statistical Study)
4 East 24th Street
New York, New York 10010

(c) If the employee marked box B, detach and destroy the stub.

5. **Date of receipt and effective date.**—(a) Stamp date of receipt by employing office in the space provided for this purpose on both the Original and the Duplicate.
(b) The effective date is determined from the table below.
6. **Disposition of forms.**—(a) File the Original SF 176-T in the official personnel folder in all cases.
(b) Any necessary payroll change, with effective date, may be posted in the space reserved on the Duplicate for employing office.
(c) The Duplicate may be destroyed, if no payroll action is required, or after the requirements of the agency's payroll system have been met.
7. **Use of SF 176-T.**—SF 176-T "Election, Declination, or Waiver of Life Insurance Coverage" should not be used after the initial filing period (after April 14, 1968). A revised edition will be available for use after that date.

TABLE OF EFFECTIVE DATES

DATE SF 176-T RECEIVED BY EMPLOYING OFFICE	EMPLOYEE'S DECISION	EFFECTIVE DATE (IF NO WAIVER, SF 53, IN EFFECT)	
		OF DECISION	OF DEDUCTIONS
On or before February 14, 1968.	Elects optional (in addition to regular) (box A).	Coverage effective February 14, 1968.	Deductions begin 1st day of 1st pay period beginning on or after February 14, 1968.
	Declines optional (but not regular) (box B).	Declination effective February 14, 1968.	
	Waives regular (so ineligible for optional) (box C).	Waiver effective last day of pay period in which February 14, 1968 falls.	Deductions stop last day of pay period in which February 14, 1968 falls.
After February 14 but not later than April 14, 1968.	Elects optional (in addition to regular) (box A).	Coverage effective on date of receipt.	Deductions begin 1st day of 1st pay period beginning on or after date of receipt.
	Declines optional (but not regular) (box B).	Declination effective on date of receipt, but employee loses automatic optional protection on February 14, 1968.	
	Cancels previously elected optional (but not regular) (box B).	Cancellation effective last day of pay period in which received.	Deductions for optional stop last day of pay period in which received.
	Waives regular (so ineligible for optional) (box C).	Waiver effective last day of pay period in which received.	Deductions stop last day of pay period in which received.

- NOTES: 1. Because regular insurance coverage and deductions are automatic unless waived (by checking box C), A and B elections do not affect regular insurance effective dates.
2. An employee for whom the agency files SF 176-T because he failed to file is deemed to have declined optional, but not regular, insurance.
3. An employee with an uncanceled waiver (SF 53) on file cannot be insured any earlier than the first day he is in duty and pay status in a pay period beginning on or after February 14, 1968; filing of an SF 176-T before that date will not cancel an SF 53 any earlier. Deductions begin the day he becomes insured.
4. The effective date of regular (and optional) insurance coverage for an employee who has been on leave without pay for more than 1 year is the first day he is in pay and duty status. Deductions are effective the same day.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 2/28/68

FROM : SAC, SALT LAKE CITY

SUBJECT: ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF TRANSFER ORDERS

ReBulet _____

Name J. WALLACE (LA) PRADE	Contemplated departure date
Title SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	Annual leave on route
Transfer to SALT LAKE CITY	Contemplated arrival date
Departed on transfer	Destination
Arrival on transfer (time and date) 3:30 PM 2/27/68	Reported for duty 3:30 PM 2/27/68

Person to be notified in case of an emergency while on route on transfer (to be furnished when such person and address differ from information previously furnished the Bureau; if employee will be traveling with person who normally would be notified in the event of an emergency, he should furnish name and address of some other person)

Name	Relationship
Address	

Comments:

67-NOT RECORDED

5 MAR 7 1968

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 2/28/68

FROM : SAC, Salt Lake City

SUBJECT: J. WALLACE LA PRADE (SAC)

(Employee's present payroll name)

SALT LAKE CITY

(Division)

PAYROLL NAME (List as desired on payroll)

ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE CHANGE

Present telephone number (city)

363-5717 (Salt
Lake City)☒ FD-310 enclosed

470 So. 13th E., Rm. 110, Salt Lake City, Utah

84102

Local address - (Number Street City State (zip code))

THE FOLLOWING MUST BE EXECUTED IN REPORTING MARRIAGES OR BIRTHS

MARITAL STATUS

Married to - Show full (maiden) name of spouse

Date and place of marriage

Is spouse a Bureau employee? ☐ Yes ☐ NoFD-310 enclosed ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you have previously filed any designation of beneficiary forms, it will be necessary for you to execute new forms in the event you now desire to cancel or alter prior designations.

NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF PERSON TO BE NOTIFIED IN CASE OF EMERGENCY

BIRTHS

Girl named

Boy named

Born on

Birthplace

To employee and (Name of spouse)

This is their _____ child

NOT RECORDED
BUREAU MAR 6 1968
SALT LAKE CITYENCLOSURE DETACHED
AND HANDLED SEPARATELYNOTED
3/1/68
JPM

THREE

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : MR. TOLSON

DATE: February 16, 1968

FROM : W. M. FELT

SUBJECT: INSPECTOR J. WALLACE LaPRADE
INSPECTION DIVISION
UNDER TRANSFER TO SALT LAKE CITY AS SAC

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

Inspector LaPrade is returning to Washington, D. C., this date from an inspection assignment. He will be in the office during the week beginning 2/19/68 for conferences with Bureau officials.

Mr. LaPrade has two cars and for this reason would like to depart Washington, D. C., on Saturday, 2/24/68, via personally owned car to arrive in Salt Lake City on 2/27/68. Retiring SAC Dalness will be in the office through 2/29/68.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the proposed itinerary of Inspector LaPrade be approved.

- 1 - Mr. Mohr
- 1 - Mr. Callahan
- 1 - Movement Unit

WMF:wmj
(5)

REC-135

67-430332-199

Searched	Numbered
MAR 18 1968	MAR 18 1968

Memo Mr. Callahan
3/17/68
J. Callahan
THREE

63
1968

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

REPORT OF PERFORMANCE RATING

Name of Employee: J. WALLACE LA PRADEWhere Assigned: SALT LAKE CITY
(Division)

(Section, Unit)

Official Position Title and Grade: SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGERating Period: from APRIL 1, 1967 to MARCH 31, 1968ADJECTIVE RATING: SATISFACTORY
*Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory*Employee's
Initials

Rated by:

J. F. Mohr
Signature

Assistant to the
Director
Title

4-3-68
Date

Reviewed by:

Clyde Tolson
Signature

Associate
Director
Title

4-3-68
Date

Rating Approved by:

J. E. Hoover
Signature

Director

4-3-68
Date

TYPE OF REPORT

☒ Official
☒ Annual

☐ Administrative
☐ 60-Day
☐ 90-Day
☐ Transfer
☐ Separation from Service
☐ Special

32 9 APR 11 1968

3/11

REPORT OF MEDICAL EXAMINATION

88-108

1. LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME LaPRADE, J WALLACE			2. GRADE AND COMPONENT OR POSITION Special Agent in Charge		3. IDENTIFICATION NO.	
4. HOME ADDRESS (Number, street or RFD, city or town, zone and State) 470 So 13th E, Salt Lake City, Utah			5. PURPOSE OF EXAMINATION FBI Annual		6. DATE OF EXAMINATION 27 Mar 1968	
7. SEX Male	8. RACE Cauc	9. TOTAL YEARS GOVERNMENT SERVICE MILITARY _____ CIVILIAN _____		10. AGENCY FBI Justice		11. ORGANIZATION UNIT
12. DATE OF BIRTH 27 Jul 26 (41)		13. PLACE OF BIRTH Franklin City, Virginia		14. NAME, RELATIONSHIP, AND ADDRESS OF NEXT OF KIN		
15. EXAMINING FACILITY OR EXAMINER, AND ADDRESS 2791st USAF Hospital, Hill AFB, Utah				16. OTHER INFORMATION		
17. RATING OR SPECIALTY				TIME IN THIS CAPACITY (Total)		LAST SIX MONTHS

CLINICAL EVALUATION		
NOR- MAL	(Check each item in appropriate column; enter "NE" if not evaluated)	ABNOR- MAL
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	18. HEAD, FACE, NECK AND SCALP	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	19. NOSE	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	20. SINUSES	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	21. MOUTH AND THROAT	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	22. EARS—GENERAL (Int. & ext. canals) (Auditory acuity under items 20 and 21)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	23. DRUMS (Perforation)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	24. EYES—GENERAL (Visual acuity and refraction under items 25, 26 and 27)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25. OPHTHALMOSCOPE	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	26. PUPILS (Equality and reaction)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	27. OCULAR MOTILITY (Associated paralytic movements, nystagmus)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	28. LUNGS AND CHEST (Include breasts)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	29. HEART (Thrust, size, rhythm, sounds)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	30. VASCULAR SYSTEM (Vascularities, etc.)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	31. ABDOMEN AND VISCERA (Include hernia)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	32. ANUS AND RECTUM (Hemorrhoids, fistulas) (Feculae, if indicated)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	33. ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	34. G-U SYSTEM	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	35. UPPER EXTREMITIES (Strength, range of motion)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	36. FEET	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	37. LOWER EXTREMITIES (Except feet) (Strength, range of motion)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	38. SPINE, OTHER MUSCULOSKELETAL	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	39. IDENTIFYING BODY MARKS, SCARS, TATTOOS	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	40. SKIN, LYMPHATICS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	41. NEUROLOGIC (Equilibrium tests under item 28)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	42. PSYCHIATRIC (Specify any personality deviation)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	43. PELVIC (Females only) (Check how done)	
<input type="checkbox"/> VAGINAL <input type="checkbox"/> RECTAL		

NOTES. (Describe every abnormality in detail. Enter pertinent item number before each comment. Continue in item 73 and use additional sheets if necessary.)

CLOSURE
10/8

REC-132

67-430338-151	
Searched	Numbered
4 APR 22 1968	

THREE
Jhu

40. Tiny papilloma left angle of mouth. No significant abnormality

(Continue in item 73)

44. DENTAL (Place appropriate symbols above or below number of upper and lower tooth, respectively.)																		REMARKS AND ADDITIONAL DENTAL DEFECTS AND DISEASES	
O—Restorable tooth I—Nonrestorable tooth X—Missing tooth XXX—Replaced by dentures (d X d)—Fixed bridge, brackets to include abutments																			
R	X																	X	L
I	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	E	
T	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	F	
																		X	T

Exam TYPE III
CLASS I

Lt Col Buchholz

LABORATORY FINDINGS

45. URINALYSIS: A. SPECIFIC GRAVITY 1.028		46. CHEST X-RAY (Place, date, film number and result) Hill AFB, UT, 27 Mar 68, Chest film #3538—Lung fields are clear. Heart is normal in size & shape.	
B. ALBUMIN Neg	D. MICROSCOPIC Few epi cells	47. SEROLOGY (Specify test used and result) VDRL: Non reactive	
C. SUGAR Neg	48. EKG Normal	49. BLOOD TYPE AND RH FACTOR	
50. OTHER TESTS Blood cholesterol-149 mg %			

Employee's initials: *J*

without shoes		without clothing		MEASUREMENTS AND OTHER FINDINGS																																															
51. HEIGHT	5' 10 3/4"	52. WEIGHT	162	53. COLOR HAIR	Brown	54. COLOR EYES	Brown	55. BUILD. (Check one)	SLENDER 1	MEDIUM 1	HEAVY X	OBESE	56. TEMPERATURE	98.4°																																					
57. BLOOD PRESSURE (Arm at heart level)																																																			
A. SITTING		SYS 130 DIAS. 88		B. RECUMBENT		SYS 120 DIAS. 80		C. STANDING (3 min.)		SYS 128 DIAS. 94		58. PULSE (Arm at heart level)																																							
												A. SITTING 78																																							
												B. AFTER EXERCISE 84																																							
												C. 2 MIN. AFTER 74																																							
												D. RECUMBENT 72																																							
												E. AFTER STANDING 3 MIN. 92																																							
59. DISTANT VISION																																																			
RIGHT 20/20				CORR. TO 20/20				60. REFRACTION				61. NEAR VISION																																							
LEFT 20/20				CORR. TO 20/20				BY S. CX				20/20 CORR. TO 20/20 BY																																							
								BY S. CX				20/18 CORR. TO 20/20 BY																																							
62. HETEROPHORIA (Specify distance)																																																			
ES°		EX°		R. H.		L. H.		PRISM DIV.		PRISM CONV.		PC 11. PD																																							
63. ACCOMMODATION																																																			
RIGHT 5.8				LEFT 5.8				64. COLOR VISION (Test used and result)				65. DEPTH PERCEPTION (Test used and score)																																							
								Passed VTS-CV				UNCORRECTED																																							
												CORRECTED																																							
66. FIELD OF VISION																																																			
Full																																																			
70. HEARING																																																			
RIGHT WV 15 /15 SV 20 /15				71. AUDIOMETER ISO				72. PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PSYCHOMOTOR (Tests used and score)																																											
				<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td></td> <td>250</td> <td>500</td> <td>1000</td> <td>2000</td> <td>3000</td> <td>4000</td> <td>6000</td> <td>8000</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>dB</td> <td>dB</td> <td>dB</td> <td>dB</td> <td>dB</td> <td>dB</td> <td>dB</td> <td>dB</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RIGHT</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>10</td> <td>10</td> <td>15</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>LEFT</td> <td></td> <td>20</td> <td>15</td> <td>15</td> <td>40</td> <td>30</td> <td>40</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>							250	500	1000	2000	3000	4000	6000	8000		dB	dB	dB	dB	dB	dB	dB	dB	RIGHT		0	0	0	10	10	15		LEFT		20	15	15	40	30	40							
	250	500	1000	2000	3000	4000	6000	8000																																											
	dB	dB	dB	dB	dB	dB	dB	dB																																											
RIGHT		0	0	0	10	10	15																																												
LEFT		20	15	15	40	30	40																																												
LEFT WV 14 /15 SV 20 /15																																																			
73. NOTES (Continued) AND SIGNIFICANT OR INTERVAL HISTORY																																																			

(Use additional sheets if necessary)

74. SUMMARY OF DEFECTS AND DIAGNOSES (List diagnoses with item numbers)

Normal healthy adult male of 41 yrs of age.

75. RECOMMENDATIONS—FURTHER SPECIALIST EXAMINATIONS INDICATED (Specify)

77. EXAMINEE (Check)

A. ☒ IS QUALIFIED FOR strenuous physical exertion
B. ☐ IS NOT QUALIFIED FOR

78. IF NOT QUALIFIED, LIST DISQUALIFYING DEFECTS BY ITEM NUMBER

76. A. PHYSICAL PROFILE

P	U	L	H	E	S

B. PHYSICAL CATEGORY

A	B	C	E

79. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN

WENDELL NOALL, M.D., Chief, Civ Emp Hlth Svc

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

80. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN

81. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF DENTIST OR PHYSICIAN (Indicate which)

SIGNATURE

82. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF REVIEWING OFFICER OR APPROVING AUTHORITY

SIGNATURE

NUMBER OF ATTACHED SHEETS

**Attachment to Standard Form 88, Report of Medical Examination
For Information and Guidance of Medical Examiner.**

Name of Examinee LaPRADE, J. WALLACE
(Type or print) Last First Middle

The following portions of the attached examination report form need not be completed:

2	9	62	69
3	11	65	72
4	14	67	76
8	17	68	

46. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.
48. Not required unless examinee is over 35 years of age or examination indicates such is desirable.
49. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.
71. Audiometer examinations should be afforded whenever possible for all Special Agent applicants and Special Agents. Applicants for the Special Agent position will not be accepted if the hearing loss exceeds a 15 decibel average in either ear in the conversational speech range (500, 1000, 2000 cycles).

For All Examinees, Whether Clerical or Special Agent Applicants or Employees:

The medical examiner should answer the following question:

Examinee ☒ is ☐ is not qualified for strenuous physical exertion.

To be Answered in the Case of All Male Employees and Male Applicants:

1. Does examinee have any defects restricting or prohibiting his participation in defensive tactics and dangerous assignments which might entail the practical use of firearms?

☒ No ☒ Yes If "yes" please specify defects. _____

2. Does examinee have any defects prohibiting safe operation of motor vehicles?

☒ No ☐ Yes If "yes" please specify defects. _____

3. For safe driving of motor vehicles, Civil Service Commission requires distant vision must test at least 20/40 in one eye and 20/100 in the other, corrected or uncorrected. Should examinee wear corrective glasses while operating a motor vehicle? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If recommendation is based on a factor other than above standard, indicate basis _____

ENCLOSURE

17-43033-151

Desirable Weight Ranges for Males


Height	Small Frame	Medium Frame	Large Frame
5'4"	117 - 125	123 - 135	131 - 148
5'5"	120 - 129	126 - 139	134 - 152
5'6"	124 - 133	130 - 143	138 - 157
5'7"	128 - 137	134 - 148	143 - 162
5'8"	132 - 141	138 - 152	147 - 166
5'9"	136 - 146	142 - 156	151 - 170
5'10"	140 - 150	146 - 161	155 - 175
5'11"	144 - 154	150 - 166	160 - 180
6'	148 - 158	154 - 171	164 - 185
6'1"	152 - 163	158 - 176	169 - 190
6'2"	156 - 167	163 - 181	174 - 195
6'3"	160 - 171	168 - 186	178 - 200
6'4"	169 - 180	178 - 196	188 - 210
6'5"	174 - 185	182 - 202	192 - 216

4. Examinee's frame is ☐ small ☐ medium ☒ large

5. Considering above weight table, the examinee's frame, and other individual physical characteristics, I consider his present weight ☒ Satisfactory ☐ Excessive ☐ Deficient

6. Under proper medical supervision, employee should ☐ lose _____ pounds
☐ gain _____ pounds

Remarks: _____


 W. NOALL, M.D., Chief of Medical Clinic, Hill Svc

MAR 27 1968

Date
 2791st USAF Hospital, Hill AFB, UT

7-13-68

PLAIN TEXT

TELEGRAM

URGENT

MR. J. WALLACE LA PRADE
DELIVER BY MESSENGER
3050 BONNIE BRAE AVENUE
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84117

I WANT TO EXTEND MY HEARTFELT SYMPATHY TO YOU AND YOUR
FAMILY ON THE PASSING OF YOUR FATHER. THOUGH I REALIZE THAT
THERE IS NOTHING WHICH COULD COMPENSATE FOR SUCH A LOSS, I DO
WANT YOU TO KNOW THAT MY THOUGHTS ARE WITH YOU AND THAT YOUR
FRIENDS AND ASSOCIATES IN THE FBI SHARE YOUR SORROW.

JOHN EDGAR HOOVER

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

JUL 13 1968

WESTERN UNION

REC-138

Leave Office

JBA

(4)

SAC LaPrade telephonically advised 7-13-68 that his father died of a heart attack during previous evening. He will depart Salt Lake City Sunday morning for residence of father, Roanoke, Va. and will return to office Thursday. Richmond Office instructed to have \$15 spray from Director and associates sent.

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

INITIALED
DIRECTOR'S OFFICE

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

PERSONAL UNIT



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20535

Dear Sir:

For inclusion in the fund to be paid to the designated beneficiary of any Special Agent of the FBI who has previously contributed to this fund and who dies from any cause except self-destruction while employed as a Special Agent, I am forwarding herewith (by Check - Money Order) the sum of \$20, payable to S.A.I.F., to be included in said fund. Payment will be made for death by self-destruction after the Agent has been a member of the fund for a continuous period of two years. It is understood and agreed that the sum tendered herewith is a voluntary, gratuitous contribution to said fund which I understand is to be administered in the following manner.

The Director of the FBI will appoint a committee which shall consider all matters pertaining to the acquisition, safe keeping and expending of said fund, which committee will recommend appropriate action to the Director in pertinent matters. The Assistant Director of the Administrative Division of the FBI shall receive all contributions and account for same to the Director. Upon the death of any Special Agent who is a member of said fund the appointed committee will consider the case and submit a recommendation to the Director as to its conclusions. Appropriate instructions will then be issued to the Assistant Director of the Administrative Division, directing him to pay to the designated beneficiary the sum of \$20,000. The liability of the fund shall not under any circumstances exceed the amount of monies in the fund at the time any liability shall occur.

EXECUTE IN DUPLICATE AND SUBMIT BOTH COPIES TO THE BUREAU

Official Bureau Name (please type or print)	Date	Office of Assignment (or SOG Division)
SA J. WALLACE LaPRADE	5/14/68	Salt Lake City

The following person is designated as my beneficiary for Special Agents Insurance Fund:

Name (primary beneficiary; use given first name if female)	Relationship
Elizabeth K. LaPrade	Wife

Address	
3050 Bonnie Brae Avenue, Salt Lake City, Utah 84117	

Name (contingent beneficiary, if desired; use given first name if female)	Relationship
None	

Address	
---------	--

Do you desire to designate the above-listed beneficiaries as the beneficiary and contingent beneficiary respectively of the Chas. S. Ross Fund as well? ☒ Yes ☐ No If not, the entire following portion must be executed.

The following person is designated as my beneficiary under the Chas. S. Ross Fund providing \$1500 death benefit to beneficiary of agents killed in the line of duty, other than travel accidents.

Name (primary beneficiary; use given first name if female)	Relationship
--	--------------

Address	
---------	--

Name (contingent beneficiary, if desired; use given first name if female)	Relationship
---	--------------

Address	
---------	--

Very truly yours,

Payment Received
Special Agents Insurance Fund

JUN 4 1968

J. Edgar Hoover, Director

J. Wallace LaPrade
 Special Agent

DO-6

OFFICE OF DIRECTOR
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

July 22, 1968

The attached acknowledgement of sympathy was sent to the Director from J. Wallace LaPrade, Salt Lake City, Utah, and the following note was written therein:

"Dear Mr Hoover:

Your telegram of condolences and the floral arrangement sent for the funeral were most thoughtful and comforting.

I am most appreciative for your expressions of sympathy.

J. Wallace LaPrade"

hcv

MR. TOLSON _____
MR. DELOACH _____
MR. MOHR _____
MR. BISHOP _____
MR. CASPER _____
MR. CALLAHAN _____
MR. CONRAD _____
MR. FELT _____
MR. GALE _____
MR. ROSEN _____
MR. SULLIVAN _____
MR. TAVEL _____
MR. TROTTER _____
MR. JONES _____
TELE. ROOM _____
MISS HOLMES _____
MRS. METCALF _____
MISS GANDY _____

*To thank you for
your kindness and sympathy
at a time when it was
deeply appreciated*

*Telegram
Sent
7-13-68
nlm*

67-NOT RECORDED
4 JUL 24 1968

151

August 14, 1968

58-1

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Salt Lake City, Utah

Dear Mr. LaPrade:

I want to commend, through you, those agents in the Salt Lake City Division who participated so effectively relative to recruiting applicants for employment at the Seat of Government.

These men have performed in an excellent fashion and, as a result, they have contributed substantially to the success achieved in the recruiting activities in their division. Please convey my appreciation to them for their fine services.

Sincerely yours,

REC-137

J. Edgar Hoover

43032P-153
Noted
AUG 16 1968 YR

1 - SAC, Salt Lake City (Personal Attention)
Place a copy of this letter in files of personnel who participated in this matter but were not individually recognized.

1 - Miss Usilton (Sent Direct)

JMP:sas
(5)

Based on Adams - Callahan memo 8/8/68 re Bureau Applicant Recruitment Program, Salt Lake City Division. Incentive Award and Commendation Matter.

from
pender

LDH
Ritz

procl

MAILED 11
AUG 14 1968

V. [signature]

- Tolson _____
- DeLoach _____
- Mohr _____
- Bishop _____
- Casper _____
- Callahan _____
- Conrad _____
- Felt _____
- Gale _____
- Rosen _____
- Sullivan _____
- Tavel _____
- Trotter _____
- Tele. Room _____
- Holmes _____
- Gandy _____

Mr. A. Jones

September 30, 1968

PERSONAL

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Salt Lake City, Utah

Dear Mr. LaPrade:

A thorough review has been made of the conduct of an investigation in the Extortion case involving [redacted] The Agent to whom this case was assigned delayed excessively in interviewing the victim after receipt of the complaint. It was incumbent upon you to supervise the matter closely in order to prevent such delinquency, and you were remiss in failing to do so.

I will expect you to be carefully attentive to discharging your responsibilities in this regard in the future so there will be no necessity again for criticism of a similar nature.

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

John Edgar Hoover
Director

- 1 - Movement
1 - SOG Salt Lake City Personnel File



DGS:mfl
(5)

Based on memo Rosen to DeLoach, 9-26-68, CRM:mcw.

OCT 3 1968

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

b6
b7c



REC-128

8/11/98 100
XEROX

JGA

MM

msl

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

October 15, 1968

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Salt Lake City, Utah.

Dear Mr. LaPrade:

I am pleased to commend, through you, those agents in the Salt Lake City Division who performed so effectively in the investigation and apprehension of [redacted] the subject of an Extortion case.

These men conducted a meticulous and painstaking investigation to identify the subject. Thereafter, [redacted] was located and arrested without incident, despite the fact that he was considered to be highly dangerous. I want you to convey my appreciation to them for the high caliber of their efforts.

Sincerely yours,
J. Edgar Hoover

Searched _____ Numbered _____
10 OCT 17 1968

REC-148

1 - SAC, Salt Lake City (Personal Attention)

Based on information submitted, Bureau does not consider individual letters of commendation, as you recommended, are warranted. Place copy of this letter in personnel files of all participants.

1 - Miss Usilton (Sent Direct)

JMP:bla
(8)

Based on Salt Lake City letter 9/27/68 and addendum Special Investigative Division 10/10/68 re Special Agents Wallace T. Ferguson, Benny R. Huddleston and Loftis J. Sheffield. Commendation Matter; Salt Lake City Office.

Copies prepared and attached for placing in files of SAs Wallace T. Ferguson, Benny R. Huddleston and Loftis J. Sheffield.

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

October 22, 1968

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Salt Lake City, Utah

Dear Mr. LaPrade:

It was gratifying to note that your division had no accidents involving Bureau automobiles during fiscal year 1968. I am pleased to advise that in recognition of this splendid achievement, your division has been given a safe driving award, which is enclosed,

It is obvious from this outstanding record that the personnel using the Bureau's automotive equipment exercised laudable care and attention in operating this equipment. I do not want the occasion to pass without expressing my appreciation.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

REC-148



Enclosure

1 - Miss Usilton (Sent Direct)

1 - SOG, Salt Lake City Field Office File

RHC:bjk (5) *bjk*

Based on memo Adams-Callahan 10-16-68 re Bureau Automobile Accidents-Fiscal Year 1968.



Lepr

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

edm

59A

me

pin west

dst

OCT 25 1968

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

NAME: LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE LAPRADE J WALLACE	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER 225-22-3932
--	---

NOTIFICATION OF BASIC CHANGE

CODE-NATURE OF ACTION		EFFECTIVE DATE		DATE OF LAST EQUIV. INCR.
<input type="checkbox"/>	892—QUALITY INCREASE	<input type="checkbox"/>	1/12/69	1/14/68
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	893—WITHIN GRADE INCREASE	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	894—PAY ADJUSTMENT	<input type="checkbox"/>		
OTHER (SPECIFY IN REMARKS)				
GRADE OR LEVEL GS-15	STEP OR RATE STEP 4	OLD SALARY \$21,098.00	NEW SALARY \$21,757.00	

DATA ON UNPAID ABSENCE

PERIOD(S)	TOTAL EXCESS	IN PAY STATUS AT END OF WAITING PERIOD	INITIALS
		YES	3/

- ☒ EMPLOYEE'S WORK IS OF AN ACCEPTABLE LEVEL OF COMPETENCE.
- ☐ EMPLOYEE'S PERFORMANCE RATING IS SATISFACTORY OR BETTER.

REMARKS:

67-NOT RECORDED
16 JAN 17 1969

JOHN EDGAR HOOVER
DIRECTOR

1/ 8/69
(DATE)

PERSONNEL FILE COPY

January 2, 1969

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Salt Lake City, Utah


Dear Mr. LaPrade:

It gives me much pleasure to commend, through you, those agents of the Salt Lake City Office who participated so effectively incident to the investigation involving [redacted] and others, subjects of several Bank Robbery cases.

b6
b7C

Everyone fulfilled his obligations in a noteworthy manner, thereby making valuable contributions to the success realized with the solution of seven bank robbery matters. Please advise them of my appreciation.

Sincerely yours,
J. Edgar Hoover



1 - SAC, Salt Lake City (Personal Attention)
Place a copy of this letter in files of personnel who participated in this matter but were not individually recognized.

1 - Miss Usilton (Sent Direct)

67-430338-157	
Searched	Numbered
2	3
JAN 3 1969	
57	

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

RHC:jas Jos (5)

REC-120

Based on Salt Lake City letter 12-12-68 and addendum General Investigative Division 12-20-68 re [redacted] aka - Fugitive, BR

b6
b7C

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

REPORT OF MEDICAL EXAMINATION

88-110

1. LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME LAPRADE, J. WALLACE			2. GRADE AND COMPONENT OR POSITION SP		3. IDENTIFICATION NO.						
4. HOME ADDRESS (Number, street or RFD, city or town, zone and State) 3050 Bonnie Brae Ave, Salt Lake City, Utah			5. PURPOSE OF EXAMINATION FBI Annual		6. DATE OF EXAMINATION 26 Mar 1969						
7. SEX Male		8. RACE Cauc		9. TOTAL YEARS GOVERNMENT SERVICE MILITARY CIVILIAN		10. AGENCY FBI Justice		11. ORGANIZATION UNIT			
12. DATE OF BIRTH 27 Jul 1926 (42)			13. PLACE OF BIRTH Franklin City, Virginia			14. NAME, RELATIONSHIP, AND ADDRESS OF NEXT OF KIN					
15. EXAMINING FACILITY OR EXAMINER, AND ADDRESS 2791st USAF Hospital, Hill AFB, UT 84401						16. OTHER INFORMATION					
17. RATING OR SPECIALTY						TIME IN THIS CAPACITY (Total)			LAST SIX MONTHS		

CLINICAL EVALUATION		
NOR- MAL	(Check each item in appropriate column; enter "NE" if not evaluated)	ABNOR- MAL
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	18. HEAD, FACE, NECK, AND SCALP	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	19. NOSE	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	20. SINUSES	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	21. MOUTH AND THROAT	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	22. EARS—GENERAL (Int: if both canals) (Auditory acuity under items 20 and 21)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	23. DRUMS (Perforation)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	24. EYES—GENERAL (Visual acuity and refraction under items 59, 60 and 62)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25. OPHTHALMOSCOPIC	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	26. PUPILS (Equality and reaction)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	27. OCULAR MOTILITY (Associated parallel movements, nystagmus)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	28. LUNGS AND CHEST (Include breasts)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	29. HEART (Thrust, size, rhythm, sounds)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	30. VASCULAR SYSTEM (Varicosities, etc.)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	31. ABDOMEN AND VISCERA (Include hernia)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	32. ANUS AND RECTUM (Hemorrhoids, fistulas) (Fistulas, if indicated)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	33. ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	34. G-U SYSTEM	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	35. UPPER EXTREMITIES (Strength, range of motion)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	36. FEET	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	37. LOWER EXTREMITIES (Except feet) (Strength, range of motion)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	38. SPINE, OTHER MUSCULOSKELETAL	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	39. IDENTIFYING BODY MARKS, SCARS, TATTOOS	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	40. SKIN, LYMPHATICS	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	41. NEUROLOGIC (Equilibrium tests under item 72)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	42. PSYCHIATRIC (Specify any personality deviation)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	43. PELVIC (Females only) (Check how done)	
	<input type="checkbox"/> VAGINAL <input type="checkbox"/> RECTAL	

NOTES. (Describe every abnormality in detail. Enter pertinent item number before each comment. Continue in item 73 and use additional sheets if necessary.)

430338-158

REC-136

1 APR 1969

ENCLOSURE

(Continue in item 73)

44. DENTAL (Place appropriate symbols above or below number of upper and lower teeth, respectively.)																		REMARKS AND ADDITIONAL DENTAL DEFECTS AND DISEASES TYPE III CLASS I Capt Hoopes																																																																																														
O—Restorable teeth I—Nonrestorable teeth X—Missing teeth XXX—Replaced by dentures (G.N.B.)—Fixed bridge, brackets to include abutments																																																																																																																
<table><tr><td>R</td><td>X</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>X</td><td>L</td></tr><tr><td>I</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>E</td></tr><tr><td>G</td><td>32</td><td>31</td><td>30</td><td>29</td><td>28</td><td>27</td><td>26</td><td>25</td><td>24</td><td>23</td><td>22</td><td>21</td><td>20</td><td>19</td><td>18</td><td>17</td><td>X</td><td>F</td></tr><tr><td>H</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>T</td></tr><tr><td>T</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table>																						R	X																X	L	I																		E	G	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	X	F	H																		T	T														
R	X																X	L																																																																																														
I																		E																																																																																														
G	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	X	F																																																																																														
H																		T																																																																																														
T																																																																																																																

LABORATORY FINDINGS

45. URINALYSIS: A. SPECIFIC GRAVITY 1.024		46. CHEST X-RAY (Place, date, film number and result) Hill AFB, UT; 26 Mar 69; Chest film #3333-Lung fields are clear. Heart normal in size & shape.	
B. ALBUMIN Neg		D. MICROSCOPIC Essen neg	
C. SUGAR Neg		49. BLOOD TYPE AND RH FACTOR	
47. SEROLOGY (Specify test used and result) VDRL: Non reactive		50. OTHER TESTS Cholesterol - 183 mg%	
48. EKG Normal			

Employee's initials: **h**

w/o shoes w/o clothing				MEASUREMENTS AND OTHER FINDINGS																																		
51. HEIGHT: 5' 10 3/4"		52. WEIGHT: 158		53. COLOR HAIR: Brown		54. COLOR EYES: Brown		55. BUILD: (Check one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SLENDER <input type="checkbox"/> MEDIUM <input type="checkbox"/> HEAVY <input type="checkbox"/> OBESE		56. TEMPERATURE: 98																												
57. BLOOD PRESSURE (Arm at heart level):						58. PULSE (Arm at heart level):																																
A. SITTING		B. RECUMBENT		C. STANDING (3 min.)		D. SITTING		E. AFTER EXERCISE		F. 2 MIN. AFTER																												
SYS 124		SYS 120		SYS 128		A. 72		B. 76		C. 74																												
DIAS. 82		DIAS. 80		DIAS. 86																																		
59. DISTANT VISION				60. REFRACTION				61. NEAR VISION																														
RIGHT 20/20		CORR. TO 20/		BY S.		CX		20/20		CORR. TO																												
LEFT 20/20		CORR. TO 20/		BY S.		CX		20/20		CORR. TO																												
62. HETEROPHORIA (Specify distance)																																						
ES°		EX°		R. H.		L. H.		PRISM DIV.		PRISM CONV. CT																												
63. ACCOMMODATION				64. COLOR VISION (Test used and result)				65. DEPTH PERCEPTION (Test used and score)																														
RIGHT 4.6 D		LEFT 5.0 D		Basic colors only				Keystone																														
66. FIELD OF VISION				67. NIGHT VISION (Test used and score)				68. RED LENS TEST																														
Normal								Normal																														
70. HEARING				71. AUDIOMETER ISO-1964																																		
RIGHT WV 15 /15 SV 15 /15				<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td></td> <td>250 Hz</td> <td>500 Hz</td> <td>1000 Hz</td> <td>2000 Hz</td> <td>3000 Hz</td> <td>4000 Hz</td> <td>6000 Hz</td> <td>8000 Hz</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RIGHT</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>10</td> <td>20</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>LEFT</td> <td>35</td> <td>25</td> <td>10</td> <td>20</td> <td>15</td> <td>40</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>									250 Hz	500 Hz	1000 Hz	2000 Hz	3000 Hz	4000 Hz	6000 Hz	8000 Hz	RIGHT	0	0	0	0	10	20			LEFT	35	25	10	20	15	40		
	250 Hz	500 Hz	1000 Hz	2000 Hz	3000 Hz	4000 Hz	6000 Hz	8000 Hz																														
RIGHT	0	0	0	0	10	20																																
LEFT	35	25	10	20	15	40																																
LEFT WV 10 /15 SV 15 /15																																						
72. PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PSYCHOMOTOR (Tests used and score)																																						

73. NOTES (Continued) AND SIGNIFICANT OR INTERVAL HISTORY

No significant interval history

Normal, healthy adult male

(Use additional sheets if necessary)

74. SUMMARY OF DEFECTS AND DIAGNOSES (List diagnoses with item numbers)

71. Moderate hearing loss, left

75. RECOMMENDATIONS—FURTHER SPECIALIST EXAMINATIONS INDICATED (Specify)

77. EXAMINEE (Check one)

A. ☒ IS QUALIFIED FOR strenuous physical exertion

B. ☐ IS NOT QUALIFIED FOR

78. IF NOT QUALIFIED, LIST DISQUALIFYING DEFECTS BY ITEM NUMBER

79. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN

H B DAINES, M.D., Occupational Medical Officer

80. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN

81. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF DENTIST OR PHYSICIAN (Indicate which)

82. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF REVIEWING OFFICER OR APPROVING AUTHORITY

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

76. A. PHYSICAL PROFILE

P	U	L	H	E	S

B. PHYSICAL CATEGORY

A	B	C	E

NUMBER OF ATTACHED SHEETS

**Attachment to Standard Form 88, Report of Medical Examination
For Information and Guidance of Medical Examiner**

Name of Examinee LaPRADE, J. WALLACE
(Type or print) Last First Middle

The following portions of the attached examination report form need not be completed:

2	9	62	69
3	11	65	72
4	14	67	76
8	17	68	

- 45, 46 and 47. Required for all Special Agent applicants but not for any other applicant unless the examining physician deems one, two or all three of the examinations necessary. 45, 46 and 47 are required in examination of any current employee.
48. Not required unless examinee is over 35 years of age or examination indicates such is desirable.
49. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.
71. Audiometer examinations should be afforded whenever possible for all Special Agent applicants and Special Agents. Applicants for the Special Agent position will not be accepted if the hearing loss exceeds a 15 decibel average in either ear in the conversational speech range (500, 1000, 2000 cycles).

For All Examinees, Whether Clerical or Special Agent Applicants or Employees:

The medical examiner should answer the following question:

Examinee ☒ is ☐ is not qualified for strenuous physical exertion.

To be Answered in the Case of All Male Employees and Male Applicants:

- Does examinee have any defects restricting or prohibiting his participation in defensive tactics and dangerous assignments which might entail the practical use of firearms?
☒ No ☐ Yes If "yes" please specify defects. _____
- Does examinee have any defects prohibiting safe operation of motor vehicles?
☒ No ☐ Yes If "yes" please specify defects. _____
- For safe driving of motor vehicles, Civil Service Commission requires distant vision must test at least 20/40 in one eye and 20/100 in the other, corrected or uncorrected. Should examinee wear corrective glasses while operating a motor vehicle? ☐ Yes ☒ No
If recommendation is based on a factor other than above standard, indicate basis _____

67-430338-158

APR 17 1969

Desirable Weight Ranges for Males

REC'D

ADMIN. DIV.

Height	Small Frame	Medium Frame	Large Frame
5'4"	117 - 125	123 - 135	131 - 148
5'5"	120 - 129	126 - 139	134 - 152
5'6"	124 - 133	130 - 143	138 - 157
5'7"	128 - 137	134 - 148	143 - 162
5'8"	132 - 141	138 - 152	147 - 166
5'9"	136 - 146	142 - 156	151 - 170
5'10"	140 - 150	146 - 161	155 - 175
5'11"	144 - 154	150 - 166	160 - 180
6'	148 - 158	154 - 171	164 - 185
6'1"	152 - 163	158 - 176	169 - 190
6'2"	156 - 167	163 - 181	174 - 195
6'3"	160 - 171	168 - 186	178 - 200
6'4"	169 - 180	178 - 196	188 - 210
6'5"	174 - 185	182 - 202	192 - 216

4. Examinee's frame is ☐ small ☐ medium ☒ large

5. Considering above weight table, the examinee's frame, and other individual physical characteristics, I consider his present weight ☒ Satisfactory ☐ Excessive ☐ Deficient

6. Under proper medical supervision, employee should ☐ lose _____ pounds

☐ gain _____ pounds

Remarks: _____

H B Daines MD
 Signature of Medical Examiner
 H B DAINES, M.D., Occup Med Off
 2791st USAF Hospital, Hill AFB, UT 84401
 26 Mar 1969
 Date

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

REPORT OF PERFORMANCE RATING

Name of Employee: J. WALLACE LA PRADE

Where Assigned: SALT LAKE CITY
(Division) (Section, Unit)

Official Position Title and Grade: SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

Rating Period: from APRIL 1, 1968 to MARCH 31, 1969

ADJECTIVE RATING: EXCELLENT Employee's Initials
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

Rated by:	<u>J. P. MOHR</u>	<u>Assistant to</u>	<u>4/1/69</u>
	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Date</i>
Reviewed by:	<u>Clyde Tolson</u>	<u>Associate Director</u>	<u>4/1/69</u>
	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Date</i>
Rating Approved by:	<u>J. E. Hoover</u>	<u>Director</u>	<u>4/1/69</u>
	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Date</i>

107-430338-159
APR 16 1969
TYPE OF REPORT

☒ Official
☒ Annual

☐ Administrative
☐ 60-Day
☐ 90-Day
☐ Transfer
☐ Separation from Service
☐ Special

11
9 APR 18 1969

372

April 25, 1969

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Salt Lake City, Utah

Dear Mr. LaPrade:

I want to commend, through you, those agents in the Salt Lake City Office who participated so capably in the investigation of the Crime on Indian Reservation case involving [redacted]

b6
b7C

These men contributed substantially to the success achieved with the apprehension of the subject through the thorough and persistent fashion in which they performed. Please convey my appreciation to them for their fine services.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

MAILED 22

APR 25 1969

COMM-FBI

1 - SAC, Salt Lake City (Personal Attention)

Place a copy of this letter in files of personnel who participated in this matter but were not individually recognized.

1 - Miss Usilton (Sent Direct) REC-133

JMP
(5)

67-430338-160
Searched

8 APR 29 1969

Based on Eddy-Gale memo 4/22/69 re [redacted]

[redacted] - Victim, Crime on Indian
Reservation - Murder, Burglary.

b6
b7C

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐


MAY 5 1969

April 29, 1969

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Salt Lake City, Utah

Dear Mr. LaPrade:


Your headquarters are changed for official reasons from Salt Lake City, Utah, to St. Louis, Missouri, as Special Agent in Charge, effective upon your arrival there on or after this date. Travel and transportation expenses and applicable allowances and benefits for you and your dependents incidental to this transfer as provided by the Administrative Expenses Act of 1946, as amended; Bureau of the Budget Circular Number A-56, dated October 12, 1966, and implementing regulations prescribed by this Bureau, shall be paid to you or on your behalf. However, before these expenses can be paid by the Government you must agree in writing (Bureau Form 3-34b) to remain with the FBI for one year following the first day you report for duty at the new station. If you are being transferred to a duty station outside the continental United States, only the written agreement form, FD-382, need be executed. **Expedite transfer and advise within 48 hours departure and arrival dates.**



REC-134

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover
John Edgar Hoover
Director



Enclosure

- 1 - SAC, St. Louis (Personal Attention)
1 - SAC, Salt Lake City (Personal Attention) (Enclosures 2) Execute the enclosed Forms 3-34b and return the original and copy to the Bureau.

Red
Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

(4)

APPROPRIATE BUREAU PERSONNEL WILL BE NOTIFIED.

MAY 8 1969

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI
ATTENTION: PERSONNEL SECTION

DATE: 5/9/69

FROM : SAC, SALT LAKE CITY (67-503)

SUBJECT: PERFORMANCE RATINGS INCIDENTAL TO
TRANSFER OF SAC J. WALLACE LaPRADE

*Agreement
Gorech*

Enclosed are special performance ratings for following employees, submitted by SAC LaPRADE incidental to his transfer from Salt Lake City Office:

JANE PACKARD, Chief Clerk
LOUISE B. PRAUGHT, Supervisory Clerk-Stenographer
FRANCES J. RILEY, Secretary to SAC
WILLIAM P. VAUGHAN, Radio Maintenance Technician

Ratings on investigative personnel not being prepared as SAC submitted annual ratings on all those under his direct supervision as of 3/31/69 and there has been no substantial change in performance of any such personnel since that time.

② - Bureau (Enc. 4) ENCLOSURE
1 - Salt Lake City
JWL:FR
(3)

Handled separately

67-430338-1672	
Searched	Numbered
1 MAY 13 1969	

REC-148



6 MAY 20 1969

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: **May 15, 1969**

FROM : SAC, ST. LOUIS

SUBJECT: ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF TRANSFER ORDERS

ReBulet 4/29/69

Name	Contemplated departure date
J. WALLACE LaPRADE	
Title	Annual leave on route
SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	
Transfer to	Contemplated arrival date
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI	
Departed on transfer	Destination
Arrival on transfer (time and date)	Reported for duty
8:15 P.M., May 14, 1969	8:15 A.M., May 15, 1969.

Person to be notified in case of an emergency while en route on transfer (to be furnished when such person and address differ from information previously furnished the Bureau; if employee will be traveling with person who normally would be notified in the event of an emergency, he should furnish name and address of some other person)

Name	Relationship
John	19
Address	

Comments

Comments: **67-NOT RECORDED**

4 - Bureau
1 - MAY 21 1969
1 - St. Louis

JVL:nl

(2)

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: May 15, 1969

FROM : SAC, ST. LOUIS

SUBJECT: J. WALLACE LaPRADE
(Employee's present payroll name)ST. LOUIS

(Division)

PAYROLL NAME (List as desired on payroll)

ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE CHANGE

Present telephone number (city)

CE 1-7311

(Area Code 314)

☐ FD-310 enclosed

Warwick Hotel, Rm. 461, 1428 Locust, St. Louis, Mo.,

Local address - (Number Street City State (zip code))

68103.

THE FOLLOWING MUST BE EXECUTED IN REPORTING MARRIAGES OR BIRTHS

MARITAL STATUS

Married to - Show full (maiden) name of spouse

Date and place of marriage

Is spouse a Bureau employee? ☐ Yes ☐ NoFD-310 enclosed ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you have previously filed any designation of beneficiary forms, it will be necessary for you to execute new forms in the event you now desire to cancel or alter prior designations.

NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF PERSON TO BE NOTIFIED IN CASE OF EMERGENCY

BIRTHS

Girl named

Boy named

Born on

Birthplace

To employee and (Name of spouse)

This is their _____ child

Enc.

1- Bureau

67-1011-1011
JWL:nln
(2) MAY 20 1969

145

THREE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20535

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Date: 4-29-69

Mr. John Edgar Hoover
Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Hoover:

In connection with my transfer from Salt Lake City, Utah,
to St. Louis, Missouri, I agree to remain with the service of
the FBI for 12 months following the effective date of this transfer. It
is understood that the effective date of this transfer is the day I report
for duty at the new station. It is also understood that should I violate
this agreement for personal reasons within my control, I become
obligated to refund to the Government all costs incurred on my behalf
for travel, transportation, and related expenses as described in the
Government Travel Regulations.

Signature
J. Wallace LaPrade, SAC

73
67-NOT RECORDED
1 MAY 23 1969

COPY RETAINED BY
VOUCHER / STAT SECTION
VOUCHER / STAT SECTION

5-8-69

UNITED AIR LINES

May 12, 1969

Mr. Tolson	✓
Mr. DeLoach	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Bishop	✓
Mr. Casper	
Mr. Callahan	
Mr. Conrad	
Mr. Felt	
Mr. Gale	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Sullivan	
Mr. Tavel	
Mr. Trotter	
Tele. Room	
Miss Holmes	
Miss Gandy	

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Special Agent in Charge
Federal Bureau of Investigation
125 South State Street
Salt Lake City, Utah

Dear Wally:

Sure enough, I knew that one day the Bureau would tap you for an additional challenge, so again, it's our loss and someone else's gain.

We have a great deal of respect for the fine business and community relationships that you created. Of particular importance is the feeling among my employees that they could always count on you and your fine staff for prompt and effective help whenever needed.

As they say in the Land of Zion -- Blessings on you -- and I do hope that we will enjoy getting together sometime in the near future.

Sincerely,

E. D. Peak

E. D. Peak, Manager
Customer Services

cc: (Mr. J. Edgar Hoover)

67-430338-163	
Searched	Numbered
2	26 1969

MAY 22 1969

8 MAY 23 1969

JUN 12

F B I

66

EXP. PROC. 30

MAY 13 1969

McShenker

W. H. K.
6/1/69

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI
ATTENTION: PERSONNEL SECTION

DATE: 6/12/69

FROM : SAC, ST. LOUIS

SUBJECT: J. WALLACE LaPRADE
POSSIBLE LITIGATION AGAINST
FORMER LANDLORD DUE TO
INJURY SUSTAINED BY SON

Enclosed is a copy of a letter directed to [redacted] dated 6/12/69, concerning injuries sustained by [redacted] son, during the time residence was maintained in Salt Lake City, Utah.

b6
b7C

It is not known from the doctor's examination as of 6/12/69, whether there will be any continuing trouble due to the injury sustained, and I deemed it advisable accordingly to formally place on notice the landlord as to his liability and negligence. I do not plan to institute a suit unless my son's condition is such as this would be advisable.

This is being furnished for the information of the Bureau, and the Bureau will be kept advised of any action I take in litigation.

AM
mm

(2) - Bureau (Encl. 1) ENCLOSURE
1 - St. Louis
JWL/ral
(3)

REC-145

6/12/69

3/6/70



JUN 20

J. Wallace LaPrade
1520 Market Street,
Room 2704
St. Louis, Missouri 63103.

June 12, 1969

To:



Logan, Utah 84321.

b6
b7C

Re: Injury sustained by [redacted]
at 3050 Bonnie Brae Avenue, Salt Lake City,
Utah, May 16, 1969, attributable to negli-
gence of landlord of said premises.

b6
b7C

In accordance with lease between [redacted] and
[redacted] County of Cache, State of
Utah, known as landlord, and J. Wallace LaPrade and Elizabeth
K. LaPrade of Salt Lake City, County of Salt Lake, State of
Utah, tenant for premises commonly known as 3050 Bonnie Brae
Avenue, in the City of Salt Lake, County of Salt Lake and
State of Utah, it was clearly set forth and agreed the land-
lord was responsible for certain maintenance of premises,
namely exterior walls, interior walls, structural repair,
interior decorating, exterior painting and yard surfacing.

b6
b7C

Victim [redacted] suffered a broken bone, left
foot, on May 16, 1969, at 3050 Bonnie Brae Avenue, which is
above-described premises, and said injury was due to negli-
gence of the landlord in not affording required repairs to
garage door which fell on this child who is [redacted] years of age
and a minor.

b6
b7C

The landlord was made aware of the faulty spring mechanism
of the garage door, admitted repair was needed, stated it
would be so repaired; however, the landlord never did in
fact effect the required repairs. Failure to provide same
constitutes negligence on the part of the landlord and the
injuries sustained by the victim are thus the responsibility
of the landlord. The landlord was on the premises at 3050
Bonnie Brae Avenue on May 17, 1969, at which time the injured
victim was observed and the landlord was made aware of how
the injury was sustained.

1:64

Expenses of medical attention, compensation for suffering, mental anguish and additional burden imposed on parents of victim which continues are to be borne by the landlord due to negligence.

The landlord will be apprised of claim to be submitted at such time as all bills have been tendered and medical assessment of future condition of victim received.

Respectfully submitted this 12th day of June, 1969.

J. Wallace LaPrade
as tenant and parent of victim.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: June 9, 1969

FROM : SAC, ST. LOUIS

SUBJECT: J. WALLACE LaPRADE
(Employee's present payroll name)ST. LOUIS
(Division)

PAYROLL NAME (List as desired on payroll)

ENCLOSURE DETACHED AND
HANDLED SEPARATELY

ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE CHANGE

Present telephone number (city)
Area Code 314
731-3040

(Room 152)

Red Carpet Inn, 4690 N. Lindbergh, / St. Louis, Mo.,
Local address - (Number Street City State (zip code)) 63042.☒ FD-310 enclosed

THE FOLLOWING MUST BE EXECUTED IN REPORTING MARRIAGES OR BIRTHS

MARITAL STATUS

Married to - Show full (maiden) name of spouse

Date and place of marriage

Is spouse a Bureau employee? ☐ Yes ☐ NoFD-310 enclosed ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you have previously filed any designation of beneficiary forms, it will be necessary for you to execute new forms in the event you now desire to cancel or alter prior designations.

NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF PERSON TO BE NOTIFIED IN CASE OF EMERGENCY

BIRTHS

Girl named

Boy named

Born on

Birthplace

To employee and (Name of spouse)

This is their ____ child

1 - Bureau (Enc.)

1 - St. Louis

JWL:mln 12 300

(3)

61

THRU

July 2, 1969

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
St. Louis, Missouri

Dear Mr. LaPrade:

I want to commend, through you, those agents of the St. Louis Division who participated so effectively in the Bureau's Police Training Program during the past year.

Through the excellent manner in which these police instructors performed in connection with the training sessions, they contributed substantially to the highly successful results achieved. Please convey my appreciation to them for their noteworthy services.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

1 - SAC, St. Louis (Personal Attention)

Place a copy of this letter in files of personnel who participated in this matter but were not individually recognized.

1 - Miss Usilton (Sent Direct)

SES:ses (5)

Based on St. Louis letter 6/24/69 and addendum Training Division 6/30/69 re Police Training Accomplishments. St. Louis Division.

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: June 26, 1969

FROM : SAC, ST. LOUIS

SUBJECT: J. WALLACE LaPRADE
(Employee's present payroll name)ST. LOUIS
(Division)

PAYROLL NAME (List as desired on payroll)

ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE CHANGE

Present telephone number (city) Area Code 314 872-8189	1403 Timberwood Lane, Creve Coeur, Missouri 63141.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FD-310 enclosed	Local address - (Number Street City State (zip code))

THE FOLLOWING MUST BE EXECUTED IN REPORTING MARRIAGES OR BIRTHS

MARITAL STATUS

Married to - Show full (maiden) name of spouse	Date and place of marriage
Is spouse a Bureau employee? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	FD-310 enclosed <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

If you have previously filed any designation of beneficiary forms, it will be necessary for you to execute new forms in the event you now desire to cancel or alter prior designations.

NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF PERSON TO BE NOTIFIED IN CASE OF EMERGENCY

BIRTHS

Girl named	Boy named <i>John</i>
Born on <i>67-11-15</i>	Birthplace
<div style="border: 2px solid black; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;"> 67-NOT RECORDED </div>	
To employee and (Name of spouse) <i>93</i>	
This is their _____ child	

Enc. *67-210*
1 - Bureau
1 - St. Louis
JWL:nln
(2)
Noted 6/27

THREE

July 14, 1969

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
St. Louis, Missouri

Dear Mr. LaPrade:

I want to take this opportunity to commend, through you, the agents of the St. Louis Division who performed so capably in the investigation and apprehensions of [redacted] the subjects of an Interstate Transportation in Aid of Racketeering case.

b6
b7C

The arrests of [redacted] were achieved only through skill and initiative demonstrated by these men. All exhibited fine efforts in discharging their assignments which contributed greatly to the success realized in this case and I would like you to convey to them my appreciation for their laudable services.

b6
b7C

Sincerely yours, [signature]

REC-146

J. Edgar Hoover

JUL 22 1969

1 - SAC, St. Louis (Personal Attention)

Place a copy of this letter in files of personnel who participated in this matter but were not individually recognized.

1 - Miss Usilton (Sent Direct)

BLA [signature]

(5)

Based on St. Louis letter 7/3/69 and addendum Special Investigative Division 7/9/69 re Recommendation For Commendations, St. Louis Office.

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

July 17, 1969

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
St. Louis, Missouri

Dear Mr. LaPrade:

It is with considerable pleasure that I commend you and, through you, the personnel of your division for the splendid statistical accomplishments realized during the Fiscal Year 1969.

From the fine record achieved, it is apparent that everyone carried out his individual responsibilities with noteworthy enthusiasm, initiative and aggressiveness. The efforts put forth by all are admirable and I want you to express my appreciation to each participant for his fine contributions.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

67-430332-167
Searched _____ Numbered _____

10 JUL 18 1969

1 - SAC, St. Louis (Personal Attention)

Place copy of this letter in files of appropriate participating personnel.

1 - SOG St. Louis Field Office file.

1 - Miss Usilton (Sent Direct)

JAB:bla
(6)

Based on memo Rosen-DeLoach 7/11/69 re Criminal Investigations - Accomplishments Fiscal Year 1969.

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

8 JUL 24 1969

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

July 11, 1969

[Handwritten signature]

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
St. Louis, Missouri

Dear Mr. LaPrade:

I want to commend, through you, those agents in the St. Louis Division who performed in such a fine manner relative to the investigation of the Bank Robbery case involving Maness.

b6
b7C

Through their noteworthy diligence and skill in conducting this investigation, Maness was apprehended quickly and a substantial portion of the loot recovered. They performed in an excellent fashion and I want you to convey my appreciation to them.

Sincerely yours,
J. Edgar Hoover
REC-145
JUL 30 1969

MAILED 10
JUL 11 1969
COMM-FBI

1 - SAC, St. Louis (Personal Attention)

Based on information submitted, Bureau does not consider individual letters of commendation, as you recommended, are warranted. Place copy of this letter in personnel files of all participants.

1 - Miss Usilton (Sent Direct)

BLA

(5)

Based on St. Louis letter 7/3/69 and addendum General Investigative Division 7/8/69 re Recommendation For Commendations, St. Louis Office.

[Handwritten initials]
Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Copies prepared and attached for placing in personnel files of: (OVER)

[Handwritten signatures and initials]
77 JPM
mme
a/s

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
FBI, St. Louis

Cletis B. Bidewell
James E. Lindsay
W. Richard Thirlwell
Richard E. Whitaker

October 16, 1969

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
St. Louis, Missouri


Dear Mr. LaPrade:

I am indeed pleased to note that during Fiscal Year 1969 there were no accidents involving Bureau vehicles chargeable to personnel in your division. In recognition of this excellent record, your office has earned a safe driving award, which is enclosed.

In achieving this fine record, it is apparent employees utilizing the Bureau's automotive equipment were operating these vehicles with the utmost care and alertness. It is a pleasure to express my appreciation.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover



Enclosure

REC-138

67-430338-169
Searched
6 OCT 17 1969
6 OCT 17 1969

1 - Miss Usilton (Sent Direct)

1 - SOG, St. Louis Field Office file

*JAB:blg

(5)

Based on memo Adams-Callahan 10/10/69 re Bureau Automobile accidents - Fiscal Year 1969.

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

August 25, 1969

PERSONAL ATTENTION

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
St. Louis, Missouri

Dear Mr. LaPrade:

The results of the recent inspection of your office have been reviewed and I have approved the following ratings for the various operations: Administrative Operations - Fair; Physical Condition and Maintenance, Investigative Operations, Personnel Matters and Applicant Recruitment Matters - Very Good; Contacts - Excellent.

Your office space, including that in the Resident Agencies, was found to be neat and well maintained. Suggestions made for improved safety and security should be promptly implemented. I was pleased to learn that no safety defects were detected in your automobiles and that your automotive operating and repair costs are being held at acceptable levels. While there has been no increase in the number of automobile accidents since the last inspection, you should continue to remind your personnel that accidents can be prevented and they should drive defensively.

The racial situation in your territory has been relatively quiet, but the potential for violence is evident in the recent church confrontations, the rent strike in public housing, and in the large ghetto area in St. Louis. It is your responsibility to keep abreast of any developing racial situations in order to be cognizant in advance of significant occurrences. Promptly comply with Inspector's instructions concerning the need for additional quality informants as well as further geographical coverage in the ghetto.

Your record of statistical accomplishments for the recently concluded fiscal year and the first month of the current fiscal year is

- 1 - Mr. Callahan (Attention Mr. J. B. Adams) (With Enclosure)
- 1 - SGG Inspection File St. Louis Office
- 1 - Personnel File J. Wallace LaPrade

KL B:bhg (6)

See Note Page 3.

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade

most favorable. I expect you to vigorously follow the progress of each Agent to insure that this favorable record is maintained. Your overall solution rate of violations of the Bank Robbery Statutes is only slightly improved since the last inspection. You must follow closely the Inspector's instructions and specific suggestions to improve your solution rate in these highly important cases.

Your Criminal Intelligence and Interstate Gambling Activities Programs were rated very good. Your Criminal Informant and Security Informant Programs were also rated very good. Continue to stress quality and productive accomplishments and immediately implement the Inspector's instructions to obtain additional coverage on the New Left including Students for a Democratic Society.

While missed applicant and fugitive deadlines in your office were below the field average, missed deadlines in civil rights matters were above and you must follow all deadline matters closely. I also expect closer attention to be afforded the submission of worth-while human interest items. The four substantive errors detected disclose a need for tighter supervision as do the adverse administrative trends pointed out to you by the Inspector. Immediate corrective action should be taken concerning the administrative handling of valuables in your office.

I was pleased to learn your Chief Clerk's Office was well organized and that both form errors and misfiled index cards were below the field average, but your immediate attention must be afforded to reducing backlogged pending and project work. The favorable production level of the stenographers and typists of your office should permit you to avoid stenographic delinquency.

I am pleased with the improvement in recruiting qualified Agent and clerical applicants. Only with your strong personal leadership and the cooperation of all personnel assigned to your office can you succeed in meeting all quotas to insure a steady flow of qualified clerical applicants.

Your speech, police training and National Academy programs were found to be effective. You and the Assistant Special Agent in Charge should take prompt action to meet those SAC contacts in your territory whom you have not yet had an opportunity to meet. I was pleased to learn that those individuals met by the Inspector speak highly of the FBI and the personnel of your office.

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade

You and the Assistant Special Agent in Charge must carefully study the inspection findings left with you by the Inspector. Within thirty days of the receipt of this letter advise the Bureau of corrective action taken.

Sincerely yours,

John Edgar Hoover
Director

NOTE: Based on memo W. M. Felt to Mr. Tolson captioned "Inspection - St. Louis Office, Inspector Karl L. Brouse, 8/1 - 14/69," dated 8/25/69, KLB:bhg.

MR. TOLSON

August 25, 1969

~~SECRET~~

W. M. FELT

INSPECTION - ST. LOUIS OFFICE
INSPECTOR KARL L. BROUSE
8/1 - 14/69

Classified by 7026
Exempt from GDS Category 23
Date of Declassification Indefinite
10/11/78

SUMMARY

Officials: J. Wallace LaPrade, SAC since 5/15/69 (Joseph H. Gamble, SAC 8/17/68 - 5/20/69); Edward J. Krupinsky, ASAC since 1/24/66. Last inspection: 7/5 - 19/68.

Physical Condition and Maintenance - Very Good (Last Inspection - Very Good). Office centrally located in modern, downtown Federal Building. Space, including that in Resident Agencies, functional, neat and well maintained; suggestions made for improved safety and security. 18 of 48 vehicles (2 trucks) inspected -- generally well maintained. Auto storage secure; fleet adequate. Auto operating and repair costs are below field averages. 5 auto accidents since last inspection (same as last inspection) -- no employees held responsible. Defensive driving stressed.

Investigative Operations - Very Good (Last Inspection - Very Good). Racial situation relatively quiet, but potential for violence evident in recent church confrontations, rent strike in public housing and large ghetto area (St. Louis 40% Negro). Militant black student groups, Nation of Islam, and 2 Klan-type groups within territory. Racial informants including those in ghetto improved (110 vs. 97); additional coverage required -- instructions given with emphasis on quality and geographical coverage in ghetto.

Case load 6/30/69 - 2953 (2779 active); up about 7% from last inspection. Includes 431 active cases at records centers. Average case load and closings per Agent (excluding record check matters) consistently above field average. Delinquency equal to or below field 5 of past 9 months. Accomplishments for Fiscal Year (FY) 1969 and for first month FY 1970 up significantly in all categories. 4 substantive errors detected, slightly above field average (handled separately). 7 of 14 bank robberies (50%); 14 of 32 burglaries and larcenies, occurring since last inspection solved for overall solution rate of 46%, a slight improvement. 23 violations (16 burglary) remain unsolved. Specific instructions issued and suggestions made to improve solutions with stress on burglaries. Criminal Intelligence and Interstate Gambling Activities Programs rated very good -- one La Cosa Nostra (LCN) family in St. Louis -- 17 members identified. Substantial increase in case load and personnel assigned since last inspection. 5 gambling convictions FY 1969; 2 others await trial. 6 top-echelon informants furnishing worthwhile information; targets increased. Need for LCN member informant and development of ITAR - Prostitution cases emphasized. Criminal Informant and Security

Enclosure *132*

1 - Mr. Callahan (Attention Mr. J. B. Adams) (Sent Separately)
1 - Personnel Files J. Wallace LaPrade and Edward J. Krupinsky
KLB:bhg (6)

CONTINUED- OVER

~~SECRET~~

Memorandum to Mr. Tolson
Re: Inspection - St. Louis Office

Informant Programs rated very good. Accomplishments and coverage improved -- penetration of Communist Party excellent. Additional coverage needed on New Left including Students for a Democratic Society -- instructions given. Missed applicant and fugitive deadlines below field average; civil rights above. Office submitted no human interest items in 1968 and none thus far in 1969 -- instructions given.

Administrative Operations - Fair (Last Inspection - Very Good). St. Louis 4-desk office -- justified. Work generally equitably distributed; minor realignment suggested by Inspector and SAC agreed. Chief Clerk's Office well organized but both pending and project work backlogged. Form errors and misfiled index cards below field averages. Errors in #3 registers and daily reports high -- fringe benefits not affected; instructed to reduce. Stenographic production slightly above and retyped pages equal to field averages. Minor stenographic delinquency cleared during inspection. Administrative trends detected and corrected included improper recording of dissemination of information; failure of Senior Resident Agent to initial daily reports; Baltimore Special Source requests not approved by SAC as required; and complaints and affidavits not all being reviewed by legal instructor. Valuables all accounted for but administrative handling weak -- firm corrective instructions given. Overtime essential, productive and equitably shared.

Personnel Matters - Very Good (Last Inspection - Very Good). Complement of 120 employees as of 8/1/69 (66 Agents and 54 clerks including 4 clerical vacancies to be filled). considered adequate and not excessive. SAC agrees. One Agent on probation -- productive and attitude excellent -- retention justified. No Agents on limited duty, unavailable or overweight. Morale appears high.

Applicant Recruitment Matters - Very Good (Last Inspection - Very Good). Since last inspection, St. Louis recruited 13 Agents (1 appointment outstanding) against overall quota of 10; met monthly quota 5 of 10 months involved. During same period, 49 clerks recruited (quota 46); met monthly quota 6 of 12 months involved. Significant improvement achieved over comparable period prior to last inspection, when 3 Agents and 24 clerks recruited. Specific instructions given to meet quotas and to emphasize steady flow of clerical applicants.

Contacts - Excellent (Last Inspection - Excellent). Valuable and diverse services provided by 20 well-dispersed SAC contacts (18 last inspection). 1 developed by present SAC. Expanded public appearance program including utilization of radio and television media. Liaison, police training, and National Academy programs effective. Relations with press (except St. Louis Post-Dispatch); Federal and civic officials, including U. S. Attorney very cordial. All persons contacted spoke highly of Director, FBI and St. Louis personnel.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. SAC J. Wallace LaPrade, GS-15 at \$21,757, aged 43, veteran, not on probation, at St. Louis since 5/15/69, second office as SAC. LaPrade makes an excellent

Memorandum to Mr. Tolson
Re: Inspection - St. Louis Office

~~SECRET~~

personal appearance, is knowledgeable and aggressive. Discharging responsibilities in an effective manner and qualified to continue as SAC. If approved, attached letter will advise him of inspection findings.

2. ASAC Edward J. Krupinsky, GS-14 at

b6

b7C

3. Recommendations concerning other personnel handled separately.

~~SECRET~~

October 21, 1969

PERSONAL

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
St. Louis, Missouri

Dear Mr. LaPrade:


A review of Bureau records discloses a failure on your part to meet your assigned quota with respect to the Clerical Recruitment Program for Seat of Government during the combined period July, August, and September, 1969. You have been advised on several occasions of the critical need existing for qualified employees and it is evident, therefore, that you have failed to recognize the necessity of giving this program top priority.

Accordingly, I will expect you to take immediate steps to insure that sufficient personnel are assigned to recruiting activities and that you afford the program aggressive leadership so that there will be no basis to again criticize you for a similar deficiency.

REC 137
Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

John Edgar Hoover
Director



67-1550-170
Searched _____ Numbered _____
10 OCT 22 1969

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Walters _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

1 - Mr. Felt
1 - Mr. O'Connell
1 - Miss Usilton
1 - SOG, St. Louis Office Personnel File

GLM:wjb
(7)

Based on memo Adams to Callahan, 10-16-69, GLM:wjb.

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

JBA
HMB
R
JBA
HMB

[Handwritten signature]
November 20, 1969


Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
St. Louis, Missouri

Dear Mr. LaPrade:


It is with pleasure that I commend, through you, Special Agents J. Lee Saville and Marvin R. Doran who performed in such a noteworthy manner in detaining three individuals, one of whom had just burglarized an apartment.

The alert and aggressive actions of these agents were excellent and, as a result of their fine efforts, these persons were taken into custody by local authorities. Please convey to them my appreciation for their effective services.

Sincerely yours,
J. Edgar Hoover



REC-137



1 - SAC, St. Louis (Personal Attention)

Based on information submitted, Bureau does not consider individual letters of commendation, as you recommended for above-named agents, are warranted. Place copy of this letter in their files.

1 - Mrs. Randolph (Sent Direct)

BLA *lea*
(7)

Based on St. Louis letter 11/13/69 re SAs J. Lee Saville and Marvin R. Doran, St. Louis Division; Commendation Matter.

Copies prepared and attached for placing in files of: J. Lee Saville and Marvin R. Doran.

Lee B

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Walters _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

December 12, 1969

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
St. Louis, Missouri

Dear Mr. LaPrade:


It is with pleasure that I commend, through you, those agents of the St. Louis Office who performed in such a fine manner in connection with the investigation of the Interstate Transportation in Aid of Racketeering case involving

The successful results attained in this complex undertaking can be attributed in a large degree to the skillful and aggressive efforts of each participant. Please convey to everyone concerned my appreciation for his excellent services.

REC-130

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover



MAILED 4

DEC 12 1969

COMM-FBI

1 - SAC, St. Louis (Personal Attention)

Based on information submitted, Bureau does not consider individual letters as you recommended, are warranted for SAs Patrick W. Bradley and Robert L. Bender. Place copy of this letter in their files and in the files of the other participants.

1 - Mrs. Randolph (Sent Direct)

BLA bla
(22)

Based on St. Louis letter 12/3/69 and addendum Special Investigative Division 12/9/69 re Recommendation for Commendations; St. Louis Division.

Copies prepared and attached for placing in files of: Over

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Walters _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Soyars _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

XEROX
JAN 19 1970

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
FBI, St. Louis

Robert L. Bender
Patrick W. Bradley
Thomas L. Buckley
C. James Christy
David F. Cunningham
Marvin R. Doran
John M. Dunay
Douglas A. Dunnam
James H. Earle
James T. Haggerty
Donald E. Jones
Neil G. Martin
J. Lee Saville
P. Francis Sheridan
Dean R. Shumway
Robert J. Wilkison
James E. Lindsay

KW ~~INDEX~~
JAN 19 1970

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : MR. TOLSON

DATE: 3-19-70

FROM : R. R. BEAVER

SUBJECT: J. WALLACE LA PRADE
SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE
ST. LOUIS OFFICE

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

This is to consider SAC LaPrade for promotion to Grade GS 16. By memorandum 12-30-69, it was recommended and approved that he be passed over for promotion at that time as his office had received a Fair rating in the last inspection due to adverse administrative trends in the Chief Clerk's Office and deficiencies on the part of a Senior Resident Agent. There had also been weaknesses in the applicant recruiting program.

The Fair rating mentioned above arose from an inspection which was conducted approximately 60 days after LaPrade had reported to the St. Louis Office and another inspection has just been completed wherein the office was rated Excellent on Personnel Matters and Contacts and Very Good on Physical Condition and Maintenance, Investigative Operations, Administrative Operations and Applicant Recruiting Matters. All deficiencies noted previously had been corrected. The statistical accomplishments for the St. Louis Office as of 2-28-70 showed an increase of 174% in fines, savings and recoveries, 27% in fugitives apprehended and 6% in automobiles recovered. There was a decrease of 3% in convictions.

LaPrade entered on duty 5-7-51 and is in Grade GS 15, \$23,749. He was designated SAC in Salt Lake City on 2-27-68 at a time when the current SAC was retiring as the result of an inspection wherein the office received three Unsatisfactory ratings. LaPrade did an excellent job in bringing that office to an above-average condition and was subsequently transferred to the St. Louis Office as SAC on 5-14-69. Since being designated an SAC, he has been censured twice, the last on 10-21-69 for failure to meet the combined quota of clerical applicants for the Seat of Government during the prior three months. As noted above, this situation has been corrected. On the other hand, he has been commended on two occasions and personnel of his office commended through him on ten occasions. The Director last saw him on 2-21-68 and stated he made a substantial personal appearance, seemed interested in

Enc.

RRB:crt
(2)

64-30538-174
Searched _____ Numbered _____
9 MAR 23 1970

(OVER...)

Memorandum to Mr. Tolson
Re: SAC J. Wallace LaPrade

his new assignment (as SAC) and rated him above average. In the recently completed inspection the Inspector stated that LaPrade was an impressive, forceful administrator, qualified to continue as SAC. He was rated Excellent on his 1969 annual performance report and an Excellent rating is being proposed for him on his next annual rating due 3-31-70.

The St. Louis Office has 3390 investigative matters, 76 agents and 54 clerical employees assigned.

RECOMMENDATION:

That SAC J. Wallace LaPrade be promoted to Grade GS 16. This promotion will be effective 5-16-70 to fill vacancy created by the retirement of Legat Ferris, Mexico City.

*Letter prep
3-20-70
rhyj*

P

JPM

V

MR

*OK.
d*

PERMANENT BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE OF SAC LA PRADE ATTACHED.

March 16, 1970

PERSONAL ATTENTION

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
St. Louis, Missouri

Dear Mr. LaPrade:

The results of the recent inspection of the St. Louis Office have been reviewed and the following ratings for your operations have been approved: Physical Condition and Maintenance and Contacts - Excellent; Investigative Operations, Administrative Operations, Personnel Matters, and Applicant Recruitment Matters - Very Good.

Your office space, including that in the Resident Agencies, was found to be excellently maintained and well organized. Follow closely with the General Services Administration concerning the acquisition of additional space to alleviate your present crowded condition.

Your automotive fleet is adequate and I was pleased to note your operating and repair costs of vehicles were below the Bureau averages. Stress safe and defensive driving with your personnel.

Although the racial situation within your territory has been relatively quiet, the continued attacks on police officers and school disorders could well be the forerunners of violent uprisings. You must promptly implement the Inspector's instructions to develop additional informant coverage in the Black Nationalist group and among the unaffiliated black extremists. Strive for coverage which will notify your office in advance of potentially explosive situations.

I was pleased to note the very favorable record of statistical accomplishments recorded by your office during Fiscal Year 1969. However, detracting from this performance is your present deficit of three percent in convictions for the current fiscal year.

1 - Mr. Callahan (Att: Mr. J. B. Adams) (With Enclosures - 2)

① Personnel File of J. Wallace LaPrade

1 - Inspection File of St. Louis Office (SOG)

WAS:wmj

NOTE: Based on memo

W. M. Felt to Mr. Tolson, 3/16/70

(6) "Inspection - St. Louis Office; Inspector W. A. Sullivan; 2/12-27/70."

1 MAR 23 1970

WAS:wmj

COPIES YELLOW

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade

Your solutions of bank robbery matters have declined. You must insure these matters are afforded continuous and vigorous attention. One of the most effective means to cope with bank burglars is to develop effective informants, and I insist such coverage be developed promptly. The twenty-five bank robbery violations, including seventeen burglaries, which remain unsolved point up the need for resourceful and imaginative investigative efforts.

Your Criminal Informant and Security Informant Programs were rated very good. Although you have excellent coverage of the Communist Party, there is a need to develop member informants among student activists in New Left groups.

Your Criminal Intelligence and Interstate Gambling Activities Programs were rated very good. Intensify your efforts to develop member informants in La Cosa Nostra. The increase in the number of top-echelon informants and targets is encouraging.

Your record of meeting deadlines is very creditable; however, the detection of four substantive errors highlights the need for tighter supervision and close adherence to rules and regulations. Likewise, the proper handling of evidence and confidential material should be stressed frequently to all personnel.

I was pleased to note that your Chief Clerk's Office is operating satisfactorily and has been able to reduce pending and project work. The favorable productivity of your stenographic personnel is gratifying.

There has been considerable improvement in your applicant recruiting activities in recent months. This must continue to receive your close and personal attention.

I am pleased to note that you are cognizant of the importance of good public relations and you have expanded your radio and television appearances. Your National Academy, police training and liaison programs were determined to be effective.

You and the Assistant Special Agent in Charge must carefully review the inspection findings and within thirty days of the receipt of this letter inform the Bureau of the corrective action taken.

Sincerely yours,

John Edgar Hoover
Director

MR. TOLSON

March 16, 1970

W. M. FELT

INSPECTION - ST. LOUIS OFFICE
INSPECTOR WILLIAM A. SULLIVAN
2/12 - 27/70

~~SECRET~~ *pl/ps 10-1-78 23*
Classified by 7005
Exempt from GDS, Category 3
Date of Declassification Indefinite

SUMMARY

Officials: J. Wallace LaPrade, SAC since 5/15/69; Edward J. Krupinsky, ASAC since 1/23/66. Last inspection: 8/1 - 14/69.

Physical Condition and Maintenance - Excellent (Last Inspection - Very Good). Office centrally located in modern, downtown Federal Building. Space, including Resident Agencies, excellently maintained, well organized and secure. Headquarters space somewhat crowded and SAC following closely with General Services Administration for additional space. Older cars inspected -- no safety defects. Auto storage secure, fleet adequate and not excessive. Auto operating and repair costs below field averages; 6 auto accidents since last inspection (5 last inspection) - no employees held responsible. Safe and defensive driving stressed.

Investigative Operations - Very Good (Last Inspection - Very Good). Racial situation relatively quiet but continued, isolated attacks on police officers and school disorders indicate potential for violence. Although there is no Black Panther Party (BPP) chapter in the St. Louis Division, black extremists, including Black Nationalist group, sympathize and support aims and purposes of BPP. Office instructed to obtain member informant coverage of Black Nationalists and increased coverage of unaffiliated black extremists.

Case load 2/28/70 - 3390 (3187 active, including 743 matters at National Personnel Records Center); represents 14.7% increase in active matters since last inspection. Case assignments per Agent (excluding record checks) above average of similar size offices and field; case closings per Agent above field average but below similar size offices -- improved turnover stressed. Delinquency above average and instructed to reduce. Accomplishments for Fiscal Year (FY) 1969 up in all four categories; for first 8 months of FY 1970, up in 3 but down 3% in convictions. Inspector issued instructions to concentrate on statistic-producing classifications so that last year's accomplishments will be exceeded. 4 substantive errors detected, slightly above field average (handled separately). 60% (3 of 5) of bank robberies and 25% (2 of 8) of burglaries (no larcenies) solved since last inspection for overall solution rate of 38.5% (5 of 13). Need for improved informant coverage to solve burglaries emphasized. 25 violations, including 17 burglaries, remain pending unsolved. Criminal Intelligence and Interstate Gambling Activities Programs rated very good; 17 (22 suspected) La Cosa Nostra members identified -- need for member informants stressed. 5 convictions resulting from program recorded thus far during FY 1970. Top-Echelon Criminal Informant Program increased -- 7 top-echelon informants and 24 targets (6 top-echelon

1 - Mr. Callahan (Att: Mr. J. B. Adams) (Sent Separately) CONTINUED - OVER
WAS:wml (6) 1 - Personnel Files of J. Wallace LaPrade & Edward J. Krupinsky

Memo for Mr. Tolson
Re: Inspection - St. Louis Office

informants and 15 targets last inspection). Criminal Informant Program rated very good. Security Informant Program rated very good; excellent informant coverage of Communist Party and splinter groups. No chapters of Students for a Democratic Society (SDS) organized on campuses this school year due to factionalism split; need for member coverage of known SDS members stressed by Inspector. Missed fugitive, applicant and civil rights deadlines below field average.

Administrative Operations - Very Good (Last Inspection - Fair). St. Louis is a 5-desk office -- justified. SAC instructed to follow closely heavy work load on Desk Number 3. Chief Clerk's Office operating satisfactorily; pending work above average, but considerably decreased since last inspection; project work below average. Stenographic productivity equals field average; retypes are slightly above average. Form errors and misfiled index cards below average. One administrative write-up involving improper handling of mail by Resident Agent (handled separately). Overtime necessary, productive and equitably shared.

Personnel Matters - Very Good (Last Inspection - Very Good). Complement 2/13/70 - 136 (77 Agents, 59 clerical). SAC and Inspector agree complement adequate but not excessive. One Agent on probation - retention justified. No Agents on limited duty, overweight or unavailable. Morale appears high.

Applicant Recruitment Matters - Very Good (Last Inspection - Very Good). Since last inspection St. Louis recruited 4 Agent applicants (quota was 6) and 37 clerical applicants (quota 28). Office censured 10/69 for failure to make quotas. Program improved appreciably as result of added emphasis and direction. Currently have 15 clerical appointments outstanding.

Contacts - Excellent (Last Inspection - Excellent). Valuable and diversified services furnished by 21 SAC contacts (20 last inspection). 3 developed by SAC LaPrade. Public appearance program improved with expanded use of radio and television media. Speech, liaison, National Academy and police training programs effective. Relations with Federal, civic and business leaders and officials including U. S. Attorney and press (except St. Louis Post-Dispatch) very favorable. Highest regard expressed for Director, FBI and St. Louis personnel.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. SAC J. Wallace LaPrade, GS-15 @ \$23,749, aged 43, veteran, not on probation, at St. Louis since 5/15/69, second office as SAC. He makes an excellent appearance and is an aggressive, forceful administrator. Qualified to continue in present assignment. Attached for approval is letter advising him of the inspection findings.

CLOSED SECTION

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Walters _____
Soyars _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

TO : MR. MOHR

DATE: February 1, 1971

FROM : N. P. Callahan

SUBJECT: J. WALLACE LaPRADE
SAC, St. Louis, Missouri
(Ordered Transferred by Letter Dated 1-27-71
as SAC, Security Division, New York Office)
SEAT OF GOVERNMENT CONFERENCES
PROPOSED FOR 2-16-71 EN ROUTE TO NEW YORK)

By letter dated 1-27-71 SAC J. Wallace LaPrade, St. Louis Office, was ordered transferred to New York to serve as SAC of the Security Division of that office. The Director indicated that LaPrade should stop by the SOG for conferences on his way to New York.

SAC LaPrade was telephonically contacted. He stated that he could complete official matters at the St. Louis Office and depart on transfer via personally owned automobile on 2-14-71. He would be able to report for SOG conferences by the opening of business 2-16-71 and would continue on to New York as soon as the conferences were concluded either on 2-16-71 or 2-17-71. (2-15-71 is a legal holiday)

RECOMMENDATION:

REC-146

67-4303-186

Searched _____ Indexed _____
4 FEB 16 1971

That SAC J. Wallace LaPrade be approved for SOG conferences en route on transfer from St. Louis, Missouri, to New York, New York; where he has been designated SAC of the Security Division.

EWV:jlh

(5)

- 1 - Miss Holmes
- 1 - W. C. Sullivan
- 1 - Movement

AD 10 A.M. Feb. 16.

SAC LAPRADE TELEPHONICALLY ADVISED AND HE WILL BE HERE AS INSTRUCTED.

Ed Walsh
7/71

1 FEB 2 1971

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI

DATE:

FROM : SAC, ST. LOUIS

SUBJECT: ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF TRANSFER ORDERS

ReBulet 1/27/71

IT' HA 02 15 031

Name J. WALLACE LaPRADE	Contemplated departure date February 14, 1971
Title SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	Annual leave en route None
Transfer to NEW YORK, NEW YORK	Contemplated arrival date February 17 or 18, 1971
Departed on transfer	Destination
Arrival on transfer (time and date)	Reported for duty

Person to be notified in case of an emergency while en route on transfer (to be furnished when such person and address differ from information previously furnished the Bureau; if employee will be traveling with person who normally would be notified in the event of an emergency, he should furnish name and address of some other person)

Name

Relationship

Address

Comments: SAC will depart St. Louis via POA 2/14/71, arriving Washington, D.C. on 2/15/71, available at the SOG at 9:00 a.m. on 2/16/71 for conferences and will depart for New York from Washington, D.C., when conferences at the SOG have been completed, arriving in New York on 2/17 or 2/18/71. 1 - Bureau; 1 - New York; 1 - St. Louis. JWL:nln

1 NY Enroll
Section Records
Hobbs
Movement
February 1, 1971

See 1st letter to
H. B. 2/15/71
JWL

Not active
in
NY
at
this
time

RECORDED

February 10, 1971

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
St. Louis, Missouri

Dear Mr. LaPrade:

I wish to take this opportunity to commend, through you, those agents who participated so diligently incident to the Sabotage case involving [redacted] and others.

These agents overcame many difficulties in discharging their responsibilities and thereby contributed substantially to the success that was accomplished in this intensive and complex investigation. I ask that you please convey my appreciation to everyone concerned.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

67-430338-187

REC-145

10 MAR 3 1971

1 - SAC, St. Louis (Personal Attention)

Based on information submitted, Bureau does not consider individual letters of commendation for SAs Harold R. Dobson, Richard T. Hradsky, William T. Jones, Stanley F. Jacobsen, Clifford M. Spingler and Thomas L. Wiseman, as you recommended, are warranted. Place a copy of this letter in the files of all participants not otherwise recognized.

1 - SAC, Detroit (Personal Attention)

Place a copy of this letter in the file of SA William T. Jones after bringing to his attention.

1 - Mrs. Randolph (Sent Direct)

SMA (12)

Based on St. Louis letter of 1/28/71 and addenda Domestic Intelligence Division 2/2/71 and Administrative Division 2/4/71 re [redacted] Et Al; Sabotage; DGP; Anti-Riot Law; Recommendation For Commendations; St. Louis Office.

Tolson _____
Sullivan _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Brennan, C.D. _____
Callahan _____
Casper _____
Conrad _____
Dalbey _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Tavel _____
Walters _____
Soyars _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

MAR 9 1971

Copies prepared and attached for placing in the files of:

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

OVER

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
FBI - St. Louis, Missouri

Harold R. Dobson
Richard T. Hradsky
William T. Jones
Stanley F. Jacobsen
Clifford M. Spingler
Thomas L. Wiseman

F B I

Date: 2/11/71

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, ST. LOUIS (87-18599) P

SUBJECT: [REDACTED]
ITSP - FBW (POSSIBLE VIOLATION)
OO: IP

LVF 9

b6
b7C

Re St. Louis letter to Bureau, 2/5/71, and St. Louis airtel to Bureau, 2/6/71.

On 2/10/71, an individual who identified himself as [REDACTED] of Indianapolis called the St. Louis office collect; when charges refused, [REDACTED] said he would pay for call, and was connected with Supervisor.

b6
b7C

[REDACTED] requested information concerning Subject, and Supervisor suggested he contact Indianapolis office. At this time, call was accidentally disconnected.

b6
b7C

[REDACTED] again called St. Louis and talked to SAC J. WALLACE LA PRADE. He advised SAC LA PRADE that the call between himself and the Supervisor had been disconnected, and that he was interested in obtaining some information.

b6
b7C

When the SAC began asking [REDACTED] the specific nature of the information desired, [REDACTED] became belligerent, abusive, and profane. He stated that if he could not get the information from the St. Louis office, he would come to St. Louis personally and straighten out the matter and "clean out the office."

b6
b7C

- ② - Bureau
2 - Indianapolis
2 - St. Louis
RFM:ejm
(6)

REC-30

EX-104

87-114862-2

FEB 13 1971

1 FEB 25 1971

PERS. REC. UNIT

Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

FEB 10 1971

SL 87-18599

When SAC LA PRADE asked [] whether this was a threat, [] profanely emphasized that it was. At this point, SAC LA PRADE informed [] that there was no call for his profanity, and suggested that [] contact the Indianapolis office. [] then hung up.

b6
b7C

[] was treated courteously at all times, and the reason for his outburst is unknown.

b6
b7C

Subsequently on 2/10/71, [] identified in reairtel, contacted SA RICHARD F. MC ELIECE of this office. During the course of this conversation, SA MC ELIECE asked [] whether he knew []. [] said he did not, but that he would be talking to [] also identified in referenced communications, later and would inquire. Later, [] stated that he learned from [] in Indianapolis at [] represents New Hope Foundation which is affiliated with the Masons and which is building a home for retarded children. [] had referred [] to the foundation as a possible source of construction financing.

b6
b7C

According to [] told him that [] is of the Jewish faith, and when he learned that [] was being considered as a source of financing, he became extremely distraught and at a meeting on 2/9/71 he shouted loudly and profanely that the foundation did not want any Arab money. [] indicated that [] was very drunk when he had made these statements.

b6
b7C

Indianapolis is requested to contact [] attempt to determine whether he has any information concerning [] which may be of interest to the Bureau, and admonish him concerning the profane threats he made to SAC LA PRADE.

b6
b7C

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 2/18/71

FROM : SAC, NEW YORK

SUBJECT: ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF TRANSFER ORDERS

ReBulet _____

Name J. WALLACE LA PRADE	Contemplated departure date
Title SA	Annual leave en route NONE
Transfer to NEW YORK	Contemplated arrival date
Departed on transfer	Destination NEW YORK
Arrival on transfer (time and date) 2/17/71 2:05pm	Reported for duty 2/17/71 2:05pm

Person to be notified in case of an emergency while on route on transfer (to be furnished when such person and address differ from information previously furnished the Bureau; if employee will be traveling with person who normally would be notified in the event of an emergency, he should furnish name and address of some other person)

Name	Relationship
Address	

Comments:

1-BUREAU
1-NEW YORK
JTW:mv MAR 16 1971
(2)

Field pers. file
etc. sent
3-11-71
amj

THREE

roll
Records
4 - Movement

NOTIFICATION OF PERSONNEL ACTION

(FOR AGENCY USE)

1. NAME (CAPS) LAST-FIRST-MIDDLE LA PRADE, J. WALLACE (MR.)		MR.—MISS—MRS.	2. (FOR AGENCY USE)	3. BIRTH DATE (Mo., Day, Year) 7-27-26	4. SOCIAL SECURITY NO. 225-22-3932
5. VETERAN PREFERENCE 2 1—NO 2—5 PT. 3—10 PT. DISAB. 4—10 PT. COMP. 5—10 PT. OTHER			6. TENURE GROUP	7. SERVICE COMP. DATE RECEIVED	
9. FEGLI 1—COVERED (Regular only—declined Options) 2—INELIGIBLE 3—WAIVED 4—COVERED (Reg. & Opt.)			10. RETIREMENT 1—CS 2—FICA 3—FS 4—NONE 5—OTHER		11. (FOR CSC USE)
12. CODE NATURE OF ACTION REASSIGNMENT			13. EFFECTIVE DATE (Mo., Day, Year) 2-17-71		14. CIVIL SERVICE OR OTHER LEGAL AUTHORITY EXCEPTED BY LAW
15. FROM: POSITION TITLE AND NUMBER Supervisory Special Agent (Special Agent in Charge) 120			16. PAY PLAN AND OCCUPATION CODE GS Series 1811		17. (a) GRADE OR LEVEL 16
					(b) STEP OR RATE 2
					18. SALARY \$29,067 pa
19. NAME AND LOCATION OF EMPLOYING OFFICE					

20. TO: POSITION TITLE AND NUMBER Supervisory Special Agent (Special Agent in Charge of the Security Division in the New York Office) 120		21. PAY PLAN AND OCCUPATION CODE GS Series 1811		22. (a) GRADE OR LEVEL 16	(b) STEP OR RATE 2	23. SALARY \$29,067 pa
24. NAME AND LOCATION OF EMPLOYING OFFICE						

25. DUTY STATION (City—county—State) S. & E. FBI				26. LOCATION CODE	
27. APPROPRIATION		28. POSITION OCCUPIED 1—COMPETITIVE SERVICE 2 2—EXCEPTED SERVICE		29. APPORTIONED POSITION FROM: TO: STATE 1—PROVED-1 2—WAIVED-2	

30. REMARKS: ☐ A. SUBJECT TO COMPLETION OF 1 YEAR PROBATIONARY (OR TRIAL) PERIOD COMMENCING ☐ B. SERVICE COUNTING TOWARD CAREER (OR PERMANENT) TENURE FROM: ☐ C. DURING PROBATION

SEPARATIONS: SHOW REASONS BELOW, AS REQUIRED. CHECK IF APPLICABLE:

67-NOT RECORDED
15 MAR 29 1971

31. DATE OF APPOINTMENT AFFIDAVIT (Accessions only)		34. SIGNATURE (Or other authentication) AND TITLE E. Hoover Director	
32. OFFICE MAINTAINING PERSONNEL FOLDER (If different from employing office)		35. DATE 3-3-71	
33. CODE EMPLOYING DEPARTMENT OR AGENCY DJ 02 FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535			

5 PART

50-130-02

4. PERSONNEL FOLDER COPY

Mr. John F. Malone
Assistant Director
New York Office
Director, FBI (67-430338)

March 11, 1971

PERSONAL

J. WALLACE LaPRADE
Supervisory Special Agent
Special Agent in Charge of the Security Division
GS 16, \$29,067

There are transmitted herewith the field personnel file, copy of latest annual performance rating, copy of personnel status form, copy of latest physical and list of changes in salary of the above employee who is presently assigned to your office. The firearms record card will be forwarded under separate cover.

The following additional information is furnished you covering his assignment as a Supervisory Special Agent at the Seat of Government and as Special Agent in Charge at Salt Lake City, Utah and St. Louis, Missouri.

Assigned:	4/3/67	Inspection Division
	2/27/68	Salt Lake City, Utah
	5/15/69	St. Louis, Missouri
	2/17/71	New York City

Commended:	6/1/67	7/14/69
	11/21/67	7/17/69
	12/20/67	12/12/69
	3/14/68	4/24/70
	10/15/68	8/21/70
	1/2/69	9/11/70
	4/25/69	10/30/70
	7/2/69	1/7/71
	7/11/69	


Censured: 9/30/68
10/21/69

Enclosures (8)

1 - Mr. Casper - Mr. LaPrade's firearms record card should be furnished to the New York City Field Office.

dmj (63)
321971
MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Tolson _____
Sullivan _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Brennan, C.D. _____
Callahan _____
Casper _____
Conrad _____
Dalbey _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Tavel _____
Walters _____
Soyars _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____



WPC-WBAA

Name: J. WALLACE LA PRADE

Title: Special Agent in Charge
Security Division

EOD: 5/7/51

Grade: GS-16, \$29,067

Veteran.

ADIC JOHN F. MALONE

Mr. LaPRADE arrived in New York on transfer from St. Louis on 2/17/71, and on that date assumed duties as Special Agent in Charge of the Security Division of this office. In that capacity he has responsibility for investigation of Puerto Rican matters, New Left Organizations, PLP, Racial matters, CPUSA, White Hate groups, New Left Extremists, Weathermen, CP Fronts, Bombing Matters, Anti-riot Laws, and related informant matters; also Selective Service Act-1948 and applicant matters in all categories. He has under his direct supervision 10 Supervisors and 249 Agents. Mr. LaPRADE is capable of directing complicated investigative matters with an absolute minimum of supervision, having served as Special Agent in Charge of several field divisions prior to his present assignment. He has shown real enthusiasm and a fine grasp of the work of the Security Division.

Rating: EXCELLENT

ASSISTANT DIRECTOR W. M. FELT: For Inspector's comments see memo
(WMF:bhg, 4/6/71) W. M. Felt to MR. Tolson captioned

"Inspection - New York Office,
Assistant Director W. M. Felt, 2/25/71 - 3/19/71," dated 4/1/71, WMF:bhg.

New York Inspection
3/16/71

67-NOT RECORDED
8 APR 8 1971

EX-104
OCT 26 1978

10-
3-
Fm
Graw

March 18, 1971

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
New York, New York

Dear LaPrade:


Mohr has shown me the copy of your letter of March 10th to Senator McGovern and I greatly appreciate your vigorous defense of the FBI against the unwarranted attacks by the Senator in recent weeks. It was most thoughtful of you to send this to him.

Sincerely,

J. Edgar Hoover

9 MAR 25 1971

JBT:eib (3)



MAR 30 1971

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Tolson _____
Sullivan _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Brennan, C.D. _____
Callahan _____
Casper _____
Conrad _____
Dalbey _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Tavel _____
Walters _____
Soyars _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

74
18
COPY

J. WALLACE LA PRADE
201 East 69th Street
New York, N. Y. 10021
March 10, 1971

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Brennan, C.D.	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Felt	_____
Mr. Gale	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. Soyars	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

Dear Senator McGovern:

I am writing in connection with your statements condemning FBI and the administration of Director Hoover. The information you is replete with errors.

As a Special Agent and an administrator involved daily with many difficult problems in the areas of crime and subversion it is quite apparent the results obtained are attributable to loyal, dedicated, hard work - on the part of 99.9% of the Special Agents and other personnel. This they do not only because they believe in the FBI but also because they believe completely in America.

A well disciplined, highly capable team of Special Agents is what Director Hoover's leadership provides. This type leadership gets results our nation can not do without. No agent condones a team mate who does not measure up in every way - too many innocent lives are involved. Discipline is not only appreciated but demanded by the hard worker determined to do the best possible job.

Please make no mistake, those who are doing what the profession rightfully demands are pleased to be a part of this difficult but rewarding career.

Contrary to the statement of degeneration, the results obtained are greater today than anytime during Director Hoover's tenure or the history of the FBI.

All Special Agents of the FBI with whom I have ever worked consistently treat all citizens fairly and in strict, disciplined compliance with the Constitution. The administration and training of FBI agents is directed to this end.

It should be pointed out there are numerous instances when Director Hoover has lessened penalties recommended by subordinates. He has a genuine compassion for personnel making honest mistakes and is understanding of human error.

I trust you will listen to the producers of results and not the failures.

Very truly yours, *Xerox*
Dept of Justice
J. Wallace LaPrade
SAC NEW YORK OFFICE
PM

act/amt
3-18-71
for info
1-15-71
ENCLOSURE

05-26-71
1-29
J. Wallace LaPrade
SAC NEW YORK OFFICE
PM

Date 3/12/71

To: ☒ Director
Att.: Assistant to Director Mohr *JPM*
FILE
Title _____

☐ SAC _____
☐ ASAC _____
☐ Supv. _____
☐ Agent _____
☐ SE _____
☐ IC _____
☐ CC _____
☐ Steno _____
☐ Clerk _____
RE: _____
☐ Rotor #: _____

ACTION DESIRED

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledge | <input type="checkbox"/> Open Case |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Assign _____ Reassign _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Prepare lead cards |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bring file | <input type="checkbox"/> Prepare tickler |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Call me | <input type="checkbox"/> Recharge serials |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Correct | <input type="checkbox"/> Return assignment card |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Deadline _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Return file |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Deadline passed | <input type="checkbox"/> Return serials |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Delinquent | <input type="checkbox"/> Search and return |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinue | <input type="checkbox"/> See me |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Expedite | <input type="checkbox"/> Send Serials _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> File | to _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> For information | <input type="checkbox"/> Submit new charge out |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Handle | <input type="checkbox"/> Submit report by _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Initial & return | <input type="checkbox"/> Type |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Leads need attention | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Return with explanation or notation as to action taken. | |

*attached is copy letter sent to
Senator Mc Gowan -*

*ack / mnd
3-18-71
JPM*

SAC

Office

J. Wallace DeLoach
New York -

See reverse side

J. WALLACE LAPRADE

201 East 69th St.

New York, N. Y. 10021

March 10, 1971

Dear Senator McGovern:

I am writing in connection with your statements condemning the FBI and the administration of Director Hoover. The information you use is replete with errors.

as a Special Agent and an administrator involved daily with many difficult problems in the areas of crime and subversion it is quite apparent the results obtained are attributable to loyal, dedicated, hard work on the part of 99.9% of the Special Agents and other personnel. This they do not only because they believe in the FBI but also because they believe completely in America.

a well disciplined, highly capable team of Special Agents is what Director Hoover's leadership provides. This type leadership gets results our nation can not do without. No agent condones a team mate who does not measure up in every way - too many innocent lives are involved. Discipline is not only appreciated but demanded by the hard worker determined to do the best possible job.

Please make no mistake, those who are doing what the profession rightfully demands are pleased to be a part of this difficult but rewarding career.

Contrary to the statement of degeneration, the results obtained are greater today than anytime during Director Hoover's tenure or the history of the FBI.

all Special Agents of the FBI with whom I have ever worked consistently treat all citizens fairly and in strict, disciplined compliance with the Constitution. The administration and training of FBI Agents is directed to this end.

It should be pointed out there are numerous instances when Director Hoover has lessened penalties recommended by subordinates. He has a genuine compassion for personnel making honest mistakes and is understanding of human error.

I trust you will listen to the products of results and not the failures.

Very truly yours,
J. Wallace LaRoe

1. LAST NAME-FIRST NAME-MIDDLE NAME LaPrade, J. Wallace			2. GRADE AND COMPONENT OR POSITION		3. IDENTIFICATION NO.	
4. HOME ADDRESS (Number, street or RFD, city or town, State and ZIP Code)			5. PURPOSE OF EXAMINATION Annual		6. DATE OF EXAMINATION 3/31/71	
7. SEX M	8. RACE	9. TOTAL YEARS GOVERNMENT SERVICE MILITARY CIVILIAN		10. AGENCY FBI	11. ORGANIZATION UNIT	
12. DATE OF BIRTH 7/27/26		13. PLACE OF BIRTH Franklin County, Va.		14. NAME, RELATIONSHIP, AND ADDRESS OF NEXT OF KIN		
15. EXAMINING FACILITY OR EXAMINER AND ADDRESS US Army Disp - Ft. Hamilton, NY				16. OTHER INFORMATION		
17. RATING OR SPECIALTY				TIME IN THIS CAPACITY (Total)		LAST SIX MONTHS

CLINICAL EVALUATION	
NOR- MAL	ABNOR- MAL
18. HEAD, FACE, NECK AND SCALP	
19. NOSE	
20. SINUSES	
21. MOUTH AND THROAT	
22. EARS-GENERAL (For & ext. canals) (Auditory acuity under items 70 and 71)	
23. DRUMS (Perforation)	
24. EYES-GENERAL (Visual acuity and refraction under items 20, 22 and 23)	
25. OPHTHALMOSCOPIC	
26. PUPILS (Equality and reaction)	
27. OCULAR MOTILITY (Associated parallel movements, nystagmus)	
28. LUNGS AND CHEST (Include breasts)	
29. HEART (Thrust, size, rhythm, sounds)	
30. VASCULAR SYSTEM (Vessels, etc.)	
31. ABDOMEN AND VISCERA (Include hernia)	
32. ANUS AND RECTUM (Hemorrhoids, fistula) (Prostate, if indicated)	
33. ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	
34. G-U SYSTEM	
35. UPPER EXTREMITIES (Strength, range of motion)	
36. FEET	
37. LOWER EXTREMITIES (Strength, range of motion)	
38. SPINE, OTHER MUSCULOSKELETAL	
39. IDENTIFYING BODY MARKS, SCARS, TATTOOS	
40. SKIN, LYMPHATICS	
41. NEUROLOGIC (Equilibrium tests under item 72)	
42. PSYCHIATRIC (Specify any personality deviation)	
43. PELVIC (Females only) (Check how done) <input type="checkbox"/> VAGINAL <input type="checkbox"/> RECTAL	

NOTES. (Describe every abnormality in detail. Enter pertinent item number before each comment. Continue in item 73 and use additional sheets if necessary.)

Digital Exam - normal

RECEIVED

430 227 1970
APR 12 1971

(Continue in item 73)

44. DENTAL (Place appropriate symbols, shown in examples, above or below number of upper and lower teeth.)															REMARKS AND ADDITIONAL DENTAL DEFECTS AND DISEASES																																																																																																																																																																																	
<table border="0"><tr><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>5</td><td>6</td><td>7</td><td>8</td><td>9</td><td>10</td><td>11</td><td>12</td><td>13</td><td>14</td><td>15</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>Restorable</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>Non-</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>Missing</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>Replaced</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>Fixed</td></tr><tr><td>32</td><td>31</td><td>30</td><td>teeth</td><td>32</td><td>31</td><td>30</td><td>restorable</td><td>32</td><td>31</td><td>30</td><td>teeth</td><td>32</td><td>31</td><td>30</td><td>by</td><td>32</td><td>31</td><td>30</td><td>Partial</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>teeth</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>dentures</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>dentures</td></tr><tr><td>R</td><td>X</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>5</td><td>6</td><td>7</td><td>8</td><td>9</td><td>10</td><td>11</td><td>12</td><td>13</td><td>14</td><td>15</td><td>L</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>I</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>E</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>G</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>F</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>H</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>T</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>T</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table>															0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	1	2	3	Restorable	1	2	3	Non-	1	2	3	Missing	1	2	3	Replaced	1	2	3	Fixed	32	31	30	teeth	32	31	30	restorable	32	31	30	teeth	32	31	30	by	32	31	30	Partial								teeth								dentures				dentures	R	X	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	L				I																E				G																F				H																T				T																				C / I	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15																																																																																																																																																																																	
1	2	3	Restorable	1	2	3	Non-	1	2	3	Missing	1	2	3	Replaced	1	2	3	Fixed																																																																																																																																																																													
32	31	30	teeth	32	31	30	restorable	32	31	30	teeth	32	31	30	by	32	31	30	Partial																																																																																																																																																																													
							teeth								dentures				dentures																																																																																																																																																																													
R	X	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	L																																																																																																																																																																																
I																E																																																																																																																																																																																
G																F																																																																																																																																																																																
H																T																																																																																																																																																																																
T																																																																																																																																																																																																

LABORATORY FINDINGS

45. URINALYSIS: A. SPECIFIC GRAVITY 1.020		46. CHEST X-RAY (Place, date, film number and result) 31 MAR 1971	
D. ALBUMIN neg		B. ADA - Ft. Hamilton (70mm-)	
C. SUGAR neg		Dry - Normal Chest - No 49907	
47. SEROLOGY (Specify test and result)		48. BLOOD TYPE AND RH FACTOR	
WETTER Non-Reactive		WNL	
49. OTHER TESTS		50. OTHER TESTS	
Spec attached		CHOLESTEROL 205 MG %	

36

8

EKG

MEASUREMENTS AND OTHER FINDINGS

51. HEIGHT 72"	52. WEIGHT 163	53. COLOR HAIR BR	54. COLOR EYES BR	55. BUILD: <input type="checkbox"/> SLENDER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MEDIUM <input type="checkbox"/> HEAVY <input type="checkbox"/> OBESE	55. TEMPERATURE
57. BLOOD PRESSURE (Arm at heart level)			58. PULSE (Arm at heart level)		
A. SITTING SYS. 130 DIAS. 80	B. RECUM-BENT SYS. DIAS.	C. STANDING (3 min.) SYS. DIAS.	A. SITTING 72	B. AFTER EXERCISE	C. 2 MIN AFTER
59. DISTANT VISION			60. REFRACTION		
RIGHT 20/20	CORR. TO 20/	DY	CX		61. NEAR VISION
LEFT 20/20	CORR. TO 20/	DY	CX		DY
62. HETEROPHORIA (Specify distance)					
ES°	EX°	R.R.	L.H.	FRESH DIV.	FRESH CONV. CT
63. ACCOMMODATION		64. COLOR VISION (Test used and result)		65. DEPTH PERCEPTION (Test used and score)	
RIGHT	LEFT			UNCORRECTED	
66. FIELD OF VISION		67. NIGHT VISION (Test used and score)		CORRECTED	
				68. RED LENS TEST	
				69. INTRAOCULAR TENSION	
				17.3/17.3	
70. HEARING		71. 150 AUDIOMETER			
RIGHT WV	/15 SV	/15	350 030	500 018	1000 1000
LEFT WV	/15 SV	/15	2000 018	4000 018	6000 018
		72. PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PSYCHOMOTOR (Tests used and score)			
		RIGHT 30 10 0 15 5			
		LEFT 0 0 0 0 10			
73. NOTES (Continued) AND SIGNIFICANT OR INTERVAL HISTORY					

(Use additional sheets if necessary)

74. SUMMARY OF DEFECTS AND DIAGNOSES (List diagnoses with item numbers)

75. RECOMMENDATIONS—FURTHER SPECIALIST EXAMINATIONS INDICATED (Specify)

75. A. PHYSICAL PROFILE

P	U	L	H	E	S
1	1	1	1	1	1

77. EXAMINEE (Check)

A. ☒ IS QUALIFIED FORB. ☐ IS NOT QUALIFIED FOR

Retention in the F.B.I.

76. IF NOT QUALIFIED, LIST DISQUALIFYING DEFECTS BY ITEM NUMBER

D. PHYSICAL CATEGORY

A	S	C	E
1	1	1	1

79. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN

M.L. KAUF CPT MC

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

81. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF DENTIST OR PHYSICIAN (Indicate which)

RONALD B. ROTH, CPT DC

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

82. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF REVIEWING OFFICER OR APPROVING AUTHORITY

DAVID E. MILLER, CPT MC ACTING

PERSECTION CHIEF

NUMBER OF ATTACHED SHEETS

AGE IN YEARS: 44SECTION: Div 4Attachment to Standard Form 88, Report of Medical Examination
For Information and Guidance of Medical ExaminerName of Examinee
(Type or print)LaPrade

Last

J.

First

Wallace

Middle

The following portions of the attached examination report form need not be completed:

3	9	62	69
4	11	65	72
8	14	67	76
	17	68	

45, 46, 47 and 49; required for all Special Agent and FBI National Academy applicants but not for any other applicant unless the examining physician deems one, two, three or all four of the examinations necessary. 45, 46 and 47 are required in examination of any current employee.

48. Not required unless examinee is over 35 years of age or examination indicates such is desirable.

71. Audiometer examinations should be afforded whenever possible for all Special Agent applicants and Special Agents. Applicants for the Special Agent position will not be accepted if the hearing loss exceeds a 15 decibel average in either ear in the conversational speech range (500, 1000, 2000 cycles).

For All Examinees, Whether Clerical or Special Agent Applicants or Employees:

The medical examiner should answer the following question:

Examinee ☒ is ☐ is not qualified for strenuous physical exertion.

To be Answered in the Case of All Male Employees and Male Applicants:

1. Does examinee have any defects restricting or prohibiting his participation in defensive tactics and dangerous assignments which might entail the practical use of firearms?

☒ No ☐ Yes If "yes" please specify defects. _____

2. Does examinee have any defects prohibiting safe operation of motor vehicles?

☒ No ☐ Yes If "yes" please specify defects. _____

3. For safe driving of motor vehicles, Civil Service Commission requires distant vision must test at least 20/40 in one eye and 20/100 in the other, corrected or uncorrected. Should examinee wear corrective glasses while operating a motor vehicle? ☐ Yes ☒ No
If recommendation is based on a factor other than above standard, indicate basis _____

67-42353

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1967 O - 348-000
Desirable Weight Ranges for Males

APR 15 1971

Height	Small Frame	Medium Frame	Large Frame
5'4"	117 - 125	123 - 135	131 - 148
5'5"	120 - 129	126 - 139	134 - 152
5'6"	124 - 133	130 - 143	138 - 157
5'7"	128 - 137	134 - 148	143 - 162
5'8"	132 - 141	138 - 152	147 - 166
5'9"	136 - 146	142 - 156	151 - 170
5'10"	140 - 150	146 - 161	155 - 175
5'11"	144 - 154	150 - 166	160 - 180
6'	148 - 158	154 - 171	164 - 185
6'1"	152 - 163	158 - 176	169 - 190
6'2"	156 - 167	163 - 181	174 - 195
6'3"	160 - 171	168 - 186	178 - 200
6'4"	169 - 180	178 - 196	188 - 210
6'5"	174 - 185	182 - 202	192 - 216

4. Examinee's frame is ☐ small ☐ medium ☒ large

5. Considering above weight table, the examinee's frame, and other individual physical characteristics, I consider his present weight ☒ Satisfactory ☐ Excessive ☐ Deficient

6. Under proper medical supervision, employee should ☐ lose _____ pounds

☐ gain _____ pounds

Remarks:

Excellent health

Michael J. Key MD
Signature of Medical Examiner

31 Mar 71
Date

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

REPORT OF PERFORMANCE RATING

Name of Employee: J. WALLACE LaPRADE SSN 225-22-3932

Where Assigned: NEW YORK SECURITY DIVISION
(Division) (Section, Unit)

Official Position Title and Grade: SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE, GS-16

Rating Period: from 4/1/70 to 3/31/71

ADJECTIVE RATING: EXCELLENT
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

Employee's
Initials

Rated by: John F. Malone Assistant Director in Charge 3/31/71
Signature Title Date
JOHN F. MALONE

Reviewed by: W.P. Callahan Assistant Director APR 22 1971
Signature Title Date

Rating Approved by: W.P. Callahan Assistant Director APR 22 1971
Signature Title Date

TYPE OF REPORT

☒ Official
☒ Annual

☐ Administrative
☐ 60-Day
☐ 90-Day
☐ Transfer
☐ Separation from Service
☐ Special

REC-141 67-430338-191
Searched _____ Indexed _____
8 APR 15 1971

5 MAY 3 1971

99

THREE

PERFORMANCE RATING GUIDE FOR INVESTIGATIVE PERSONNEL
CHECKLIST AND NARRATIVE COMMENTS
(For use as attachment to Performance Rating Form FD-185)

Name of Employee J. WALLACE LaPRADE SSN 225-22-3932

Note: Only those items having pertinent bearing on employee's performance should be rated. All employees in same salary grade should be compared.

+ RATE ITEMS AS FOLLOWS: (See Manual of Rules and Regulations for detailed instructions.)
+ Outstanding (To warrant overall +, all rated elements must be +, and justified in writing.)

E Excellent (Overall E must be supported by E or + on majority of items, including important elements.)

✓ Satisfactory

- Unsatisfactory (If any item so rated, overall adjective rating can be no better than Satisfactory.) Any unsatisfactory item or overall Unsatisfactory rating must be supported in writing.

0 No opportunity to appraise. In other responses, use "X."

(Use INK for Checklist - DO NOT TYPE)

RESPOND TO EVERY ITEM

- + 1. Personal appearance.
+ 2. Personality and effectiveness of his personal contacts.
+ 3. Attitude (including dependability, cooperativeness, loyalty, enthusiasm, amenability, and willingness to equitably share work load).
+ 4. Physical fitness (including health, energy, stamina). Any physical limitations affecting performance? ☐ Yes ☒ No. Has employee used more sick leave (including annual leave or LOP for illness) during the rating period than the amount of sick leave earned during such period? ☐ Yes ☒ No. If answer to either is yes, explain.

- + 5. Resourcefulness, ingenuity, and initiative.
+ 6. Forcefulness and aggressiveness as required.
+ 7. Judgment, including common sense, ability to arrive at proper conclusions, ability to define objectives.
+ 8. Planning of work.
+ 9. Accuracy and attention to pertinent detail.
+ 10. Productivity, including amount of acceptable work produced and rate of progress on or completion of assignments. Also consider adherence to deadlines, unless failure to meet is attributable to causes beyond employee's control.
+ 11. Knowledge of duties, instructions, rules and regulations, including readiness of comprehension and "know how" of application.
+ 12. Performance results (rate if applicable and mark others 0) + A. Internal Security; + B. Criminal or General Investigative; + C. Fugitive; + D. Applicant; 0 E. Accounting; + F. Other, such as Supervisor.
 Comment on type of work handled entire rating period, including performance in other divisions, and appraisal of overall work performance:

Mr. LaPRADE arrived in New York on 2/17/71 and assumed duties as Special Agent in Charge of the Security Division of this office. He has responsibility for investigation of Puerto Rican matters, New Left Organizations, PLP, Racial Matters, CPUSA, White Hate groups, New Left Extremists, Weathermen, CP Fronts, Bombing Matters, Anti-riot Laws, and related informant matters; also Selective Service Act-1948 and applicant matters in all categories. He has under his direct supervision 10 Supervisors and 249 Agents. He has shown real enthusiasm and a fine grasp of the work of the Security Division. Prior to his assignment here, Mr. LaPRADE served as SAC of the St. Louis Division, with direct responsibility for all investigative and administrative operations of that office. He is capable of directing complicated investigative matters with an absolute minimum of supervision.

Complexity of matters handled: ☐ None ☐ Moderate ☒ Most complicated

Degree of supervision required: ☐ Above average ☐ Average ☒ Minimum ☐ None

A. Is employee available wherever needs of service require for general assignment? ☒ Yes ☐ No Special assignment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Is employee qualified to operate a motor vehicle incidental to his official duties? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If answer is "yes," personnel file must reflect the following: (a) Has valid State or local operator's license for type vehicle he is to use.
 (b) Is physically fit to drive. (c) Past safe driving record OK or has passed Bureau road test.

C. Specify general nature of assignment during most of rating period (such as security, criminal, applicant squad, Accountant, or as Resident Agent, supervisor, instructor, etc.):

SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

ADJECTIVE RATING: EXCELLENT
 (Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory)

EMPLOYEE'S INITIALS Just

(Checklist and Narrative Comments continued)

- E 13. Firearms.
0 14. Development of informants and sources of information. Comment on weaknesses or justify limited participation.

During rating period developed _____ informants; _____ potential informants.

AS SAC in St. Louis and later in the Security Division of this office, Mr. LaPRADE directed the development of informants in all categories as well as highly sensitive sources.

- E 15. Reporting: (Consider conciseness, clarity, organization, thoroughness, accuracy, adequacy and pertinency of leads, and administrative detail.)

0 A. Reports; E B. Memos, letters, wires.

- + 16. Performance as a witness. ☐ During rating period; ☒ Based on past performance; ☐ No experience.

- E 17. Executive evaluation (approved Supervisors, Relief Supervisors, Alternate Senior and Senior Resident Agents; underline applicable.)

+ A. Leadership

+ B. Ability to handle personnel

+ C. Making decisions

+ D. Assignment of work

+ E. Training subordinates

+ F. Devising procedures

+ G. Promoting high morale

+ H. Getting results

E I. Furthering equal employment opportunity

- + 18. Raids and dangerous assignments; + A. As leader; + B. As participant.

- + 19. Miscellaneous. Specify and rate:

+ Dictation; 0 Applicant recruitment; _____ Other _____

- E 20. Police Instruction: ☒ Qualified ☐ Participated ☐ Audited

21. Foreign Language Ability: Proficient in _____ language(s).

Can handle typical investigative problems as follows:

A. Conversation form _____ (language) ☐ Excellent ☐ Very Good ☐ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Unsatisfactory

B. Written form _____ (language) ☐ Excellent ☐ Very Good ☐ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Unsatisfactory

Frequency _____ language ability used during rating period _____.

Anticipated use during ensuing year _____.

22. Administrative Advancement: ☐ (Check block if not interested.)

A. ☒ Yes ☐ No Agent is completely available for administrative advancement.

B. ☒ Yes ☐ No Agent is considered qualified for administrative advancement, including experience, ability, personality and appearance.

C. If answer to B is "Yes," Agent's qualifications are considered ☐ Very Good ☐ Excellent ☒ Outstanding

Explain if interested but not now qualified.

23. Number of Incentive Awards 0.

Commendations received from Director: Individual 5 Through Superior 0.

Suggestions submitted 0.

If none, check block ☐.

24. Disciplinary Action and Justification for any Unsatisfactory Items. ☒ None
(List items taken into consideration on Checklist.)

EMPLOYEE'S INITIALS

Jan

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 4/8/71

FROM : SAC,

NEW YORK

Attention: Personnel Section

SUBJECT:

LaPRADE, J. WALLACE
PHYSICAL EXAMINATION
SPECIAL AGENT☐ Remylet _____
☐ ReBulet _____☒ Re physical examination _____
☐ Dental work was completed on _____
☐ Vision has been corrected to _____ Employee specifically instructed
_____ by _____ that he can operate a Bureau car
(date) (name of person giving instruction)
only when wearing the necessary glasses.☐ Results of ☐ chest X ray ☐ patch test ☐ urinalysis ☐ serology were negative.
☐ Enclosed physician's statement indicates he is qualified for strenuous physical exertion and use of firearms.
☐ Enclosed are ☐ paid ☐ unpaid medical bills.
☐ Attached are Bureau of Employees' Compensation forms _____☒ Physical examination reports are enclosed.
☐ Employee is scheduled for physical examination on _____
☒ Physical examination report has been reviewed and initialed.
☐ Employee returned to active duty _____
☐ Employee's physical condition is _____
☐ UACB he is being removed from limited duty.
☐ UACB he is being placed on limited duty.

67-NOT RECORDED-7

Remarks:

Color vision not evaluated - past records reflect color vision as normal.

① - Bureau
1 - New YorkHANDLED SEPARATELY
ENCLOSUREHAB:gt
(2)

110 APR 16 1971

TJH

~~SECRET~~

MR. TOLSON

April 1, 1971

W. M. FELT

INSPECTION - NEW YORK OFFICE
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR W. M. FELT
2/25/71 - 3/19/71

Classified by 726 2:3
Exempt from GDS, Category 2:3
Date of Declassification Indefinite
filed no 10-11-78

SYNOPSIS

Officials: John F. Malone, Assistant Director in Charge since 11/1/62; SACs Joseph E. Ponder (Number One Man to Malone), Administrative Division since 12/4/68; Richard J. Baker, Organized Crime Division since 10/4/68; Joseph E. Gamble, Criminal Division, in New York since 5/20/68; Roland E. Trent, Espionage Division since 6/8/70; J. Wallace LaPrade, Security Division since 2/17/71. Last inspection: 4/28 - 5/15/70.

Physical Condition and Maintenance - Very Good (Last Inspection - Very Good). Space well maintained. Security of headquarters and Resident Agencies stressed. No safety defects found in 59 older cars inspected. Costs of auto maintenance above field average. Economy stressed. 44 accidents during period. Down from 54 during last period. 7 employees held responsible (6 prior period). Safe driving stressed.

Investigative Operations - Very Good (Last Inspection - Very Good). No recent major racial disturbances but potential exists. Decrease in racial informants - improvement ordered.

Case load up since last inspection. Delinquency consistently below averages; case load and closings per Agent below average. Accomplishments to date this fiscal year up all categories. Slight increase bank robbery solution rate and substantial increase in violations and convictions. Criminal Intelligence and Interstate Gambling Activities Programs rated excellent. Criminal Informant Program rated very good and Security Informant Program very good. Intensified New Left investigations ordered along with renewed emphasis on Chinese communist matters. Expansion of DESPECO program also suggested. Number of substantive errors detected below average (handled separately).

Administrative Operations - Very Good (Last Inspection - Unsatisfactory). Work equitably distributed among 53 supervisory desks. Chief Clerk's Office effective. Streamlining suggestion made to expedite mail flow on rotors. Project work more than doubled due to new regulations re destruction of 5-year-old files. Realignment of clerical personnel suggested to aid in project work. Trend memoranda submitted

Enclosure

- 1 - Mr. Callahan (Attention Mr. J. B. Adams) (Sent Separately)
- 1 - Personnel Files John F. Malone, Joseph E. Ponder, Richard J. Baker, Joseph E. Gamble, Roland E. Trent, J. Wallace LaPrade

WMF:sg (10)

CONTINUE - OVER

~~SECRET~~

Memorandum to Mr. Tolson
Re: Inspection - New York Office

to strengthen handling of investigative matters and to destroy unnecessary material stored in investigative files. Pending work above field average. Misfiled index cards and form errors slightly above. Accuracy stressed. Stenographic production and retypes below field averages. Overtime equitably shared.

Personnel Matters - Very Good (Last Inspection - Very Good). Office requested 15 additional Agents. Inspector recommended transfer in of 7 (approved separately). Diversion to higher priority assignments and removal of clerical recruiting quota (approved separately) should provide balance. Now complement 1066 Agents, 506 clerks. Nine clerks diverted from rotors to project work to raise average work load on rotors and to increase personnel available for file destruction work. Two Agents on probation; one recommended for removal, other asked for resignation because of poor work performance (resigned effective close of business 8/29/71). Retention of Agents on limited duty status justified. Morale appears very good.

Applicant Recruitment Matters - Very Good (Last Inspection - Fair). Quota of 6 clerical employees reinstituted 2/1/71 for Dept of Government and removed 2/25/71 because of adverse recruiting conditions in New York. Only one Dept of Government clerk recruited in February, 1971; however, 19 clerical applicants under investigation and 4 additional recommended. Instructed to stress Agent Accountant applicants and Agents from minority groups.

Contacts - Excellent (Last Inspection - Excellent). All programs extremely effective and relations continue to be excellent. Highest esteem expressed for Director and FBI.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Assistant Director John F. Malone, GS-13 at \$36,000, aged 60, nonveteran, not on probation, at New York since 11/1/62. Makes an outstanding personal appearance and has a forceful personality. Handles contacts and public relations with great ability and proven results. He is fully qualified to continue in charge of New York Office. Attached for approval is letter advising him of inspection findings.

2. Joseph L. Fonder, SAC, Administrative Division and Number One Man to Assistant Director Malone. GS-17 at [redacted]

b6
b7c

Memorandum to Mr. Tolson
Re: Inspection - New York Office

b6
b7C

3. Richard J. Baker, SAC, Organized Crime Division, GS-17 at [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

4. Joseph H. Gamble, SAC, Criminal Division since 2/27/71 (formerly SAC, Security Division 5/20/69 - 2/26/71), GS-17 at \$32,540, aged 55, veteran, not on probation, third office as SAC, at New York since 5/20/69. Makes substantial personal appearance and has excellent personality. Hard-working executive who is loyal and most businesslike in carrying out his responsibilities. Six substantive errors (above average) detected in cases in his former Division (Security) and one error in Criminal Division. Gamble not culpable in any. Continue as SAC. Letter to Malone will advise Gambling of inspection findings.

5. Roland E. Trent, SAC, Espionage Division, GS-16 at [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

Memorandum to Mr. Tolson
Re: Inspection New York Office

6. J. Wallace LaPrade, SAC, Security Division, GS 16 at \$29,067, aged 44, veteran, not on probation, third office as SAC, at New York since 2/17/71. Makes a mature, businesslike appearance, and has an excellent personality. He is a hard-working, loyal administrator who has the respect of all office personnel. He is most knowledgeable concerning Bureau duties and responsibilities. Continue as SAC. Letter to Malone will also advise LaPrade of inspection findings.

Memorandum to Mr. Tolson
Re: Inspection - New York Office

DETAILS

PHYSICAL CONDITION AND MAINTENANCE VERY GOOD

Office space located in commercial building in Manhattan residential area appears secure, well maintained and conveniently located. Security of headquarters and all Resident Agency space heavily stressed. 50 older cars inspected. No safety defects. 5 Resident Agencies do not have garage facilities -- instructed to continue efforts to locate same. Automobile operating and repair costs above average; economy stressed. Utilization rate improved. 44 accidents since last inspection (54 in prior comparable period). Bureau personnel held responsible in 7 accidents (6 prior period). Safe and defensive driving stressed. Ten cars impounded in Bureau cases stored in contract garage. Instructed to explore turning these over to U. S. Marshal to conserve needed space.

INVESTIGATIVE OPERATIONS VERY GOOD

Racial situation generally quiet; however, strong potential for disorder exists particularly in public high school systems. Assistant Director in Charge instructed to initiate immediate corrective action to reverse the decline in racial informants. Improved coverage also ordered on foreign personalities who have influence on racial activities while visiting New York City area.

Case load 2/28/71 - 18,264 (16,210 active), above last inspection - 16,379 (14,719 active). Delinquency consistently below field average. Case load and case closings per Agent below averages. Statistical accomplishments for Fiscal Year (FY) 1970 ahead in 3 categories, down in convictions (-5%); for first 8 months FY 1971 up in all four categories. 14 substantive errors detected; slightly below field average (handled separately). Since last inspection solved 230 of 409 bank robberies, 5 of 23 burglaries, 1 of 16 larcenies. Overall solution rate 52.6% (last inspection 52%). 225 cases remain unsolved. Substantial increase in bank robbery convictions. Specific suggestions made to further improve solution rate. Criminal Intelligence and Interstate Gambling Activities Programs rated excellent. 750 La Cosa Nostra (LCN) members identified; estimated overall number 1400. 60 top-echelon informants (55 last inspection); 198 targets under development (187 last inspection). 7 informants are LCN members; 49 informants under development are LCN members. 30 convictions to date in organized crime matters; prosecution pending on 414 organized crime figures. Inspector stressed close following of prosecutions, continued improvement in informant development and full use of Title IIIs. Criminal Informant Program rated very good; increase in Criminal Informants (CIs) - 427 (372 last inspection); decrease in potential CIs - 793 (853 last inspection). Continued improvement noted also in accomplishments attributed to informants. Security Informant Program rated very good. 741 of approximately 923

Memorandum to Mr. Tolson
Re: Inspection - New York Office

Communist Party members identified. Inspector recommended redirection of informants to assist in identifying remainder of Communist Party members. Overall improvement noted in security informant coverage; however, office instructed to obtain additional quality and member informants. Inspector ordered intensification of investigation of New Left activities, particularly Weatherman faction. Definite need for informants in New Left. Active counterintelligence program being pursued.

At Inspector's suggestion, DESEC program for placing selected U. S. business officials from scientific and technical field in controlled contact with Soviet representatives, expanded to include an interview program wherein top officials of leading corporations based in New York City will be alerted to our interests.

Inspector ordered aggressive and imaginative action for development of informants and sources in the Chinese communist field in light of Red China's diplomatic recognition by Canada and probable acceptance in the United Nations. Favorable increase in double agents (22 to 32) and security informants (134 to 142). Missed applicant and civil rights deadlines below field average. Fugitive above. Closer attention stressed.

ADMINISTRATIVE OPERATIONS VERY GOOD

Work load distribution among 53 supervisory desks (including Assistant Director, five SACs and two night supervisors) justified. Chief Clerk's Office effective. Streamlining suggestion made to improve mail flow. Project work is now over 5,000 man-days, more than double last inspection, due to change in file destruction rules. Suggested realigning certain clerical personnel to make available additional manpower for closed files section and project work. Recommended matter be also an Agent project until program current. Trend memoranda submitted to insure review of indices references and recording of this action in case file; to strengthen handling of Selective Service Act fugitives outside USA, Bombing Suspects List, opening of White Slave Traffic Act cases, and the destruction of channelizing memoranda. Pending work above field average. Misfiled index cards and form errors slightly above field averages. Accuracy stressed. Stenographic production and retypes below field averages. Overtime necessary, productive and equitably shared.

PERSONNEL MATTERS VERY GOOD

At beginning of inspection authorized complement was 1059 Agents (includes 56 Agents being transferred in for assignment to organized crime matters) and 565 clerks. Office requested 15 additional Agents. Inspector recommended 7 additional Agents (approved separately) resulting in approved complement of 1066 Agents and 565 clerks. Based on Inspector's findings 9 clerical personnel diverted from rotor assignments to

Memorandum to Mr. Tolson
Re: Inspection - New York Office

~~SECRET~~

bring average work load on rotors to higher level and to increase personnel in closed files for project work. Office 27 clerks under authorized quota. No male employees overweight or unavailable. Two Agents on probation; one recommended for removal from probation; other being asked to resign because of unsatisfactory work performance (handled separately). 12 Agents on limited duty - probation justified. Morale appears very good.

APPLICANT RECRUITMENT MATTERS VERY GOOD

Since last inspection 29 clerical employees recruited for Seat of Government and 44 Special Agents recruited. Clerical quota of 6 reinstituted 2/1/71. Since quota reset, investigation instituted on 19 clerical applicants for Seat of Government and 4 additional clerical applicants favorably recommended. Quota removed 3/25/71 (approved separately). Instructed to stress recruitment of Special Agent Accountant applicants and Agents from minority groups.

CONTACTS EXCELLENT

Valuable and diversified services continue to be furnished by 45 well-dispersed SAC contacts (48 last inspection), 30 of whom developed by Assistant Director in Charge. Speech, liaison, National Academy and police training programs continue to be very effective. During 1970, office participated in 166 radio and 7 television programs (during 1969, 166 radio and 5 television programs). Relations with news media generally favorable. Relations with Federal, business and civic leaders and officials, including U. S. Attorneys, favorable. Persons contacted expressed highest esteem for Director, FBI and New York personnel.

~~SECRET~~

May 7, 1971

PERSONAL

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
New York, New York

Dear LaPrade:

Today marks your Twentieth Anniversary of Bureau service and in recognition of this noteworthy occasion I am pleased to present to you the FBI Twenty-Year Service Award Key.

During your period of service you have been closely associated with some of the organization's most essential activities. Throughout your record of work performance there are interspersed most favorable comments concerning your enthusiasm, willingness and industry, as well as the splendid attitude of wholehearted cooperation you have always displayed. These commendable traits are a source of much encouragement to me because they typify the very factors which have constituted the solid foundation supporting the Bureau's progress over the years.

I hope that the Bureau will have the benefit of your talents and experience for many years to come.

With best wishes and kind regards,

Sincerely,
J. Edgar Hoover

Enclosure

LDH:egg

(4)

67-430338

1 - SAC, New York (Personal Attention)

Salutation Perry File

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

MAILED 9
APR 30 1971
FBI

Tolson _____
Sullivan _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Crennan, C.D. _____
Callahan _____
Casper _____
Conrad _____
Dalbey _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Savol _____
Talters _____
Wyers _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

REC-106
67-430338-192
JBA
WDH
1971

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

NAME: LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE LAPRADE J WALLACE	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER 225-22-3932
---	--

NOTIFICATION OF BASIC CHANGE

CODE-NATURE OF ACTION		EFFECTIVE DATE		DATE OF LAST EQUIV. INCR.
<input type="checkbox"/>	892--QUALITY INCREASE	<input type="checkbox"/>	896--ADMIN. PAY INCREASE	5/16/70
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	893--WITHIN GRADE INCREASE	<input type="checkbox"/>	897--ADMIN. PAY DECREASE	
<input type="checkbox"/>	894--PAY ADJUSTMENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	OTHER (SPECIFY IN REMARKS)	
GRADE OR LEVEL GS-16	STEP OR RATE STEP 3	OLD SALARY \$29,067.00	NEW SALARY \$30,005.00	

DATA ON UNPAID ABSENCE

PERIOD(S)	TOTAL EXCESS	IN PAY STATUS AT END OF WAITING PERIOD	INITIALS
		YES	<i>J. Edgar Hoover</i>

☒ EMPLOYEE'S WORK IS OF AN ACCEPTABLE LEVEL OF COMPETENCE.

☐ EMPLOYEE'S PERFORMANCE RATING IS SATISFACTORY OR BETTER.

REMARKS:

67-NOT RECORDED
15 MAY 19 1971

J. Edgar Hoover

JOHN EDGAR HOOVER
DIRECTOR

5/16/71
(DATE)

PERSONNEL FILE COPY

May 18, 1971

PERSONAL

[Handwritten signature]

Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
New York, New York


Dear LaPrade:

I received a letter from Mr. Robert F. Royal wherein he comments favorably regarding your presentation before the New York Metropolitan Chapter of the American Society for Industrial Security on March 18th. I am enclosing a copy of his letter and a copy of my reply.

The excellent manner in which you represented the FBI before this group is most encouraging. Your efforts are a credit to the Bureau.

Sincerely,

J. Edgar Hoover



Enclosures (2)

REC 131

452-193
10

FMG:jkm (3)


jkm

- Tolson _____
- Sullivan _____
- Mohr _____
- Bishop _____
- Brennan, C.D. ☒
- Callahan _____
- Casper _____
- Conrad _____
- Dalbey _____
- Felt _____
- Gale _____
- Rosen _____
- Tavel _____
- Walters _____
- Soyars _____
- Tele. Room _____
- Holmes _____
- Gandy _____

MAY 24 1971

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

[Handwritten signatures and initials]



American Society for Industrial Security

YORK METROPOLITAN CHAPTER

May 8, 1971

Mr. J. Edgar Hoover, Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. - 20530

Dear Mr. Hoover:

I would like to express my sincere thanks for making J. Wallace LaPrade, Special Agent in Charge, Internal Security Division, Federal Bureau of Investigation, New York, available to the New York Metropolitan Chapter.

He spoke at our March 18, 1971 meeting on the "Responsibility of the Federal Bureau of Investigation in the Field of Internal Security." He also attended our Retail, Distribution and Transportation Security Seminar, held on Wednesday, April 21, 1971, in New York.

Mr. LaPrade gave an outstanding presentation to our New York Metropolitan Chapter on March 18; and he distinguished himself further on April 21 in giving our reception at the Retail, Distribution and Transportation Security Seminar an aura of importance.

May I express the sincere gratitude of our Chapter, its guests and friends for making Mr. LaPrade available to us. His efforts helped immeasurably in making both meetings a success.

May I also add the genuine sentiment of our Chapter, its officers and members in stating that we are behind you more than ever before in your valiant leadership of the F.B.I.

It was my great pleasure to have served under you as Special Agent from 1947 to 1954.

Sincerely,

Robert F. Royal
Chairman

RFR:WS

12 MAY 11 1971

CORRESPONDENCE

ENCLOSURE

National Office—2000 K St., N.W., Suite 404, Washington, D.C. 20006, Tel: 338-7676

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

REPORT OF PERFORMANCE RATING

Name of Employee: J. WALLACE LaPRADE SSN 225-22-3932

Where Assigned: NEW YORK SECURITY DIVISION
(Division) (Section, Unit)

Official Position Title and Grade: SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE, GS-16

Rating Period: from 2/17/71 to 5/17/71

ADJECTIVE RATING: EXCELLENT
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

Employee's
Initials

Just

Rated by: John F. Malone ASSISTANT DIRECTOR IN CHARGE 5/20/71
JOHN F. MALONE Title Date

Reviewed by: _____
Signature Title Date

Rating Approved by: _____
Signature Title Date

TYPE OF REPORT

☐ Official
☐ Annual

☒ Administrative
☐ 60-Day
☒ 90-Day
☐ Transfer
☐ Separation from Service
☐ Special

REC-139

430 338-194
7 MAR 1971

Just

10 JUN 7 1971

36

PERFORMANCE RATING GUIDE FOR INVESTIGATIVE PERSONNEL
CHECKLIST AND NARRATIVE COMMENTS
 (For use as attachment to Performance Rating Form FD-185)

Name of Employee J. WALLACE LaPRADE

Note: Only those items having pertinent bearing on employee's performance should be rated. All employees in same salary grade should be compared.

+ RATE ITEMS AS FOLLOWS: (See Manual of Rules and Regulations for detailed instructions.)

+ Outstanding (To warrant overall +, all rated elements must be +, and justified in writing.)

E Excellent (Overall E must be supported by E or + on majority of items, including important elements.)

✓ Satisfactory

- Unsatisfactory (If any item so rated, overall adjective rating can be no better than Satisfactory.) Any unsatisfactory item or overall Unsatisfactory rating must be supported in writing.

0 No opportunity to appraise. In other responses, use "X."

(Use INK for Checklist - DO NOT TYPE)

RESPOND TO EVERY ITEM

- + 1. Personal appearance.
- + 2. Personality and effectiveness of his personal contacts.
- + 3. Attitude (including dependability, cooperativeness, loyalty, enthusiasm, amenability, and willingness to equitably share work load).
- + 4. Physical fitness (including health, energy, stamina). Any physical limitations affecting performance? ☐ Yes ☒ No. Has employee used more sick leave (including annual leave or LWOP for illness) during the rating period than the amount of sick leave earned during such period? ☐ Yes ☒ No. If answer to either is yes, explain.

- + 5. Resourcefulness, ingenuity, and initiative.
- + 6. Forcefulness and aggressiveness as required.
- + 7. Judgment, including common sense, ability to arrive at proper conclusions, ability to define objectives.
- + 8. Planning of work.
- + 9. Accuracy and attention to pertinent detail.
- + 10. Productivity, including amount of acceptable work produced and rate of progress on or completion of assignments. Also consider adherence to deadlines, unless failure to meet is attributable to causes beyond employee's control.
- + 11. Knowledge of duties, instructions, rules and regulations, including readiness of comprehension and "know how" of application.
- + 12. Performance results (rate if applicable and mark others 0) + A. Internal Security; + B. Criminal or General Investigative; + C. Fugitive; + D. Applicant; 0 E. Accounting; + F. Other, such as Supervisor.
 Comment on type of work handled entire rating period, including performance in other divisions, and appraisal of overall work performance:

During the rating period Mr. LaPRADE has served as Special Agent in Charge of the Security Division of this office. He has under his direct supervision 10 supervisors and 249 agents. He is responsible for investigation of Racial Matters, CPUSA, New Left Extremists, CP Fronts, Bombing Matters, Anti-riot Laws, Puerto Rican matters, New Left Organizations, White Hate groups, and related informant matters; also MEDBURG, GARBURG, WEATHFUG, and Selective Service Act-1948; also applicant matters in all categories. He is capable of directing complicated investigative matters with an absolute minimum of supervision.

Complexity of matters handled: ☐ None ☐ Moderate ☒ Most complicatedDegree of supervision required: ☐ Above average ☐ Average ☒ Minimum ☐ NoneA. Is employee available wherever needs of service require for general assignment? ☒ Yes ☐ No Special assignment? ☒ Yes ☐ NoB. Is employee qualified to operate a motor vehicle incidental to his official duties? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If answer is "yes," personnel file must reflect the following: (a) Has valid State or local operator's license for type vehicle he is to use.
 (b) Is physically fit to drive. (c) Past safe driving record OK or has passed Bureau road test.

C. Specify general nature of assignment during most of rating period (such as security, criminal, applicant squad, Accountant, or as Resident Agent, supervisor, instructor, etc.): SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

ADJECTIVE RATING: EXCELLENT

(Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory)

EMPLOYEE'S INITIALS JWL

(Checklist and Narrative Comments continued)

- E
0 13. Firearms.
- 0 14. Development of informants and sources of information. Comment on weaknesses or justify limited participation.
During rating period developed 0 informants; 0 potential informants.

Mr. LaPRADE as Special Agent in Charge of the Security Division directs the development of informants in all categories pertaining to the work of his Division, as well as highly sensitive sources.

- E 15. Reporting: (Consider conciseness, clarity, organization, thoroughness, accuracy, adequacy and pertinency of leads, and administrative detail.)
0 A. Reports; E B. Memos, letters, wires.
- E 16. Performance as a witness. ☐ During rating period; ☒ Based on past performance; ☐ No experience.
- E 17. Executive evaluation (approved Supervisors, Relief Supervisors, Alternate Senior and Senior Resident Agents; underline applicable.)
+ A. Leadership + F. Devising procedures
+ B. Ability to handle personnel + G. Promoting high morale
+ C. Making decisions + H. Getting results
+ D. Assignment of work E I. Furthering equal employment opportunity
+ E. Training subordinates
- + 18. Raids and dangerous assignments; + A. As leader; + B. As participant.
- + 19. Miscellaneous. Specify and rate:
+ Dictation; 0 Applicant recruitment; _____ Other _____
- E 20. Police Instruction: ☒ Qualified ☐ Participated ☐ Audited
21. Foreign Language Ability: Proficient in _____ language(s).
Can handle typical investigative problems as follows:
A. Conversation form _____ ☐ Excellent ☐ Very Good ☐ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Unsatisfactory
(language)
B. Written form _____ ☐ Excellent ☐ Very Good ☐ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Unsatisfactory
(language)
Frequency _____ language ability used during rating period _____.
Anticipated use during ensuing year _____.
22. Administrative Advancement: ☐ (Check block if not interested.)
A. ☒ Yes ☐ No Agent is completely available for administrative advancement.
B. ☒ Yes ☐ No Agent is considered qualified for administrative advancement, including experience, ability, personality and appearance.
C. If answer to B is "Yes," Agent's qualifications are considered ☐ Very Good ☐ Excellent ☒ Outstanding
Explain if interested but not now qualified.

23. Number of Incentive Awards 0.
Commendations received from Director: Individual 0 Through Superior 1.
Suggestions submitted 0.
If none, check block ☐.
24. Disciplinary Action and Justification for any Unsatisfactory Items. ☒ None
(List items taken into consideration on Checklist.)

EMPLOYEE'S INITIALS

Just

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI

FROM : *[Signature]* ADIC JOHN F. MALONE, NEW YORK

SUBJECT: J. WALLACE LaPRADE
SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE
SECURITY DIVISION

DATE: 5/20/71

There is enclosed herewith a 90-day performance rating on SAC LaPRADE, covering the period 2/17-5/17/71.

The Security Division, directed by SAC LaPRADE, carries an average caseload of 6500 cases, including some of the most important and sensitive in this office such as GARBURG, MEDBURG, and WEATHFUG. A total of 249 Agents are currently assigned, with 11 Supervisors. The responsibilities of SAC LaPRADE demand his intensive personal supervision and require an extensive knowledge of the Bureau's work in the security field. His performance of his duties has been excellent in all respects and it is recommended that he be considered for promotion to grade GS-17.

JFM:MT
2-Bureau (Encl.)
1-NY

ENCLOSURE

HANDLED SEPARATELY

9 JUN 4 1971

94

REC-139

67-1130338-1195
SEARCHED
7 MAY 14 1971

THREE

XEROX
OCT 26 1978

*Memo Received
to Malone 6-3-71
JBA: Ginn*

*Xerox
Jepk
of Malone*



NOTIFICATION OF PERSONNEL ACTION

(FOR AGENCY USE)

1. NAME (CAPS) LAST-FIRST-MIDDLE LA PRADE, J. WALLACE		MR.-MISS-MRS. (MR.)	2. (FOR AGENCY USE)	3. BIRTH DATE (Mo., Day, Year) 7-27-26	4. SOCIAL SECURITY NO. 225-22-3932
5. VETERAN PREFERENCE 2 1-NO 2-5 PT. 3-10 PT. DISAB. 4-10 PT. COMP. 5-10 PT. OTHER			6. TENURE GROUP	7. SERVICE COMP. DATE 8-15-68	
9. FEGLI 1-COVERED (Regular only—deducted Optional) 2-INELIGIBLE 3-WAIVED 4-COVERED (Reg. & Opt)			10. RETIREMENT 1-CS 2-FICA 3-FS 4-NONE 5-OTHER		11. (FOR CSC USE)
12. CODE NATURE OF ACTION PROMOTION			13. EFFECTIVE DATE (Mo., Day, Year) 6-3-71		14. CIVIL SERVICE OR OTHER LEGAL AUTHORITY EXCEPTED BY LAW
15. FROM: POSITION TITLE AND NUMBER Supervisory Special Agent (Special Agent in Charge of the Security Division of the New York Office) 120			16. PAY PLAN AND OCCUPATION CODE GS	17. (a) GRADE OR LEVEL 16	(b) STEP OR RATE 3
18. SALARY \$30,005 pa					
19. NAME AND LOCATION OF EMPLOYING OFFICE					

20. TO: POSITION TITLE AND NUMBER Supervisory Special Agent (Special Agent in Charge of the Security Division of the New York Office) 120			21. PAY PLAN AND OCCUPATION CODE GS	22. (a) GRADE OR LEVEL 17	(b) STEP OR RATE 1	23. SALARY \$32,546 pa
24. NAME AND LOCATION OF EMPLOYING OFFICE						

25. DUTY STATION (City—county—State)				26. LOCATION CODE	
27. APPROPRIATION S. & E., FBI		28. POSITION OCCUPIED 1-COMPETITIVE SERVICE 2-EXCEPTED SERVICE	29. APPORTIONED POSITION FROM: TO: STATE 1-PROVED-1 2-WAIVED-2		

30. REMARKS: ☐ A. SUBJECT TO COMPLETION OF 1 YEAR PROBATIONARY (OR TRIAL) PERIOD COMMENCING _____
☐ B. SERVICE COUNTING TOWARD CAREER (OR PERMANENT) TENURE FROM: _____
☐ C. DURING PROBATION

SEPARATIONS: SHOW REASONS BELOW, AS REQUIRED. CHECK IF APPLICABLE: ☐

Basis for this position is Title 5, U. S. Code, Section 5108(c)(2).

This promotion is temporary and will remain in effect only for the duration of present assignment.

67-NOT RECORDED
17 JUN 10 1971

31. DATE OF APPOINTMENT AFFIDAVIT (Accessions only)		34. SIGNATURE (Or other authentication) AND TITLE J. E. Hoover Director	
32. OFFICE MAINTAINING PERSONNEL FOLDER (If different from employing office)		35. DATE 6-3-71	
33. CODE EMPLOYING DEPARTMENT OR AGENCY			

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : MR. MOHR

DATE: June 3, 1971

FROM : J. B. ADAMS

SUBJECT: SAC J. WALLACE LA PRADE
New York Office - Security Division
EOD 5-7-51; GS-16, \$30,005
PROMOTION

Tolson _____
Sullivan _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Brennan, G.D. _____
Callahan _____
Casper _____
Conrad _____
Dalbey _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Tavel _____
Walters _____
Soyars _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

Mr. Beaver

SAC LaPrade has completed over 90 days as SAC of the Security Division of the New York Office which is responsible for investigation of Racial Matters, CPUSA, New Left Extremists, Bombing Matters, New Left Organizations, as well as the MEDBURG, GARBURG, and WEATHFUG Matters. Assistant Director Malone has recommended SAC LaPrade for promotion to Grade GS-17 and has submitted a special performance rating in which he is described as having outstanding qualifications for administrative advancement and is rated highly in all aspects of his performance. He supervises 6500 cases, 249 agents, and 11 supervisors. He is also supervising the NEWKILL investigation.

SAC LaPrade entered on duty 5-7-51 and is in Grade GS-16, \$30,005, having been promoted to that grade 5-16-70. He has had well-rounded experience and prior to his present assignment served as SAC in the Salt Lake City and St. Louis Offices. On 2-16-71 the Director saw him and commented he made an excellent, mature, and substantial appearance, and the Director discussed with him the necessity for a firm but fair administration in connection with his new duties.

Since reporting to the New York Office 2-17-71 no administrative action has been taken against him and in connection with the New York inspection in 3/71, Mr. Felt stated SAC LaPrade was a hard-working, loyal administrator who had the respect of office personnel. He was most knowledgeable concerning his duties and responsibilities. The Office received overall ratings of very good in physical condition and maintenance, investigative operations, administrative operations, personnel matters, and applicant recruitment matters, and a rating of excellent in contacts. The Office, as of the end of 4/71, was up in all categories of statistical accomplishments, +35% in convictions; +96% in fines; savings, and recoveries; +8% in fugitives; and +24% in automobiles. The Domestic Intelligence Division has advised that SAC LaPrade is doing a fine job as SAC of the Security Division and knows of nothing which would preclude consideration of his promotion at this time.

Enc.

JBA:gms
(2)

67-430338-192
5 JUN 4 1971

(OVER)

Memo Adams to Mohr
Re: SAC J. Wallace LaPrade
Promotion

Assistant Director Malone is in Grade GS-18 and SACs Ponder, Baker, and Gamble are in Grade GS-17. SAC Startzell, who just arrived, is in Grade GS-16.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that SAC LaPrade be promoted to Grade GS-17.

JAM

*OK. [Signature]
[Signature]*

*let prep
6/3/71
[Signature]*

PERMANENT BRIEF OF THE FILE OF SAC LA PRADE IS ATTACHED

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 7/13/71

FROM : SAC, NEW YORK

SUBJECT: SAC J. WALLACE LA PRADE
(Employee's present payroll name)

NEW YORK

(Division)

PAYROLL NAME (List as desired on payroll)

ENCLOSURE DETACHED AND
HANDLED SEPARATELY

ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE CHANGE

Present telephone number (city)

Tenaflly, N. J.
(201) 871-1058

38 Benjamin Road, Tenaflly, New Jersey 07670

☒ FD-310 enclosed

Local address - (Number Street City State (zip code))

THE FOLLOWING MUST BE EXECUTED IN REPORTING MARRIAGES OR BIRTHS

MARITAL STATUS

Married to - Show full (maiden) name of spouse

Date and place of marriage

Is spouse a Bureau employee? ☐ Yes ☐ NoFD-310 enclosed ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you have previously filed any designation of beneficiary forms, it will be necessary for you to execute new forms in the event you now desire to cancel or alter prior designations.

NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF PERSON TO BE NOTIFIED IN CASE OF EMERGENCY

BIRTHS

Girl named

Boy named

Born on

Birthplace

To employee and (Name of spouse)

This is their _____ child

67-NOT RECORDED
3 JUL 19 1971

REC-123

June 3, 1971

PERSONAL


Mr. J. Wallace LaPrade
Federal Bureau of Investigation
New York, New York

Dear LaPrade:

I am indeed pleased to advise you
of your promotion to Grade GS 17, \$32,506
per annum, as Supervisory Special Agent
(Special Agent in Charge of the Security
Division of the New York Office), effective
this date.

This promotion is temporary and
will remain in effect only for the duration
of your present assignment.

Sincerely,
J. Edgar Hoover



Assistant Director, New York (PERSONAL ATTENTION)

- Mrs. Randolph

- Movement

- Miss Tibbetts

Tolson _____
Sullivan _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Brennan, C.D. _____
Callahan _____
Casper _____
Conrad _____
Dalbey _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Tavel _____
Walters _____
Soyars _____
Tele. Room _____
James _____
Beaver _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Mr. Tolson _____
 Mr. Sullivan _____
 Mr. Mohr _____
 Mr. Bishop _____
 Mr. Brennan CD _____
 Mr. Callahan _____
 Mr. Casper _____
 Mr. Conrad _____
 Mr. Dalbey _____
 Mr. Felt _____
 Mr. Gale _____
 Mr. Rosen _____
 Mr. Tavel _____
 Mr. Walters _____
 Mr. Soyars _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Miss Holmes _____
 Miss Gandy _____

Mr. Beaver

J. WALLACE LAPRADE

New York, New York
 June 4, 1971

Dear Mr. Hoover:

Please accept my sincere
 thanks and gratitude for the promotion
 to Grade GS-17 which I received today.

I want you to know of my
 appreciation for this recognition and
 assure you I will continue to do everything
 humanly possible to merit your confidence
 in my performance in this position.

Sincerely,

J. Wallace LaPrade
 J. Wallace LaPrade
 Special Agent in Charge

REC-134

67-130338-197
 Searched _____
 5 JUN 9 1971
 83

Mr. J. Edgar Hoover
 Director
 Federal Bureau of Investigation
 Washington, D.C.

JUN 15 1971
 FBI
 REC'D-CVLT/HVH

1 JUN 15 1971
 50

EXP. PROC.

JUN 2 1971

JUN 15 1971

25

26/ew